

iest. I should have been better satisfied if the hon. gentleman had produced petitions which would justify us in taking upon ourselves so important a step.

Mr. HINCKS said he concurred almost entirely in what had been advanced by the hon. gentleman the Secretary of his Excellency, and the hon. gentleman who had just spoken. He believed the proper course was to apply in the first instance to the executive; but when he heard the hon. gentleman from Gaspé stand up and declare that he had repeatedly applied to the executive government, and that he had received only insult, he felt that there was some explanation necessary from the hon. gentlemen on the treasury benches. Hon. gentlemen must recollect that the system government has been altered, and consequently they would now be entitled to expect more ready access to the head of the government with all matters of complaint, and a more speedy and certain redress of all abuses. Upon these grounds he would be very much inclined to recommend that the hon. gentleman from Gaspé should withdraw his motion and take the course which had been suggested by the hon. gentleman from the third riding of the county of York, and move for an address to his Excellency for the production of all papers connected with the case. The hon. gentleman would then come before the house better prepared to suggest such further steps as might be found necessary to be taken. If the papers are forthcoming, hon. gentlemen will have an opportunity of arriving at a correct judgment before entering upon so important a step as that proposed by the hon. gentleman. With regard to the observations made by the hon. gentleman from Bonaventure, it is to be recollected that that gentleman is connected with the individual against whom these accusations are made. There has been a good deal of recrimination indulged in, and I hope it will now be at an end. I cannot at present vote for the motion.

Mr. BALDWIN said he certainly thought a great deal which had fallen from the hon. gentleman opposite (Mr. Ogden) deserved attention. Many observations which he (Mr. Baldwin) had intended to make had been anticipated by the hon. gentleman from Oxford. But instead of withdrawing the motion he thought the house would agree with him in postponing the consideration of the question until Friday next. It appeared the matter had been under the consideration of a former Governor and a former council; and without intending to pay a very great compliment to the hon. gentlemen opposite who form part of the present council, he would say this, that he would be better satisfied that the matter should come before them; for he would not hesitate to say, that he was not entirely satisfied with the manner in which the affairs of the executive department of the government had been administered on former occasions. (Hear, hear.) With regard to the necessity for petitions being brought before the house, he would observe that this is not the first complaint which they had heard respecting the administration of justice in the district of Gaspé; there had been petitions before the House of Assembly of Lower Canada that he considered were quite sufficient. They could not, however, proceed too carefully, and he trusted, therefore, the consideration of the subject would be postponed.

Mr. DALY observed that he believed the hon. gentleman from Gaspé himself was in possession of all the documents relating to the case.

Mr. BOSWELL.—My own opinion is that the sooner the House takes action upon the matter the better, and I am utterly opposed to any postponement. Sir, I am willing to admit that questions of this kind must arise, and that it sometimes becomes necessary that a body having the high power which this hon. body is invested with, should enquire into the course of the administration of justice; but I trust that on all occasions the House will exercise their power with becoming dignity; and I do not hesitate to say that it would be exceedingly undignified to enter into the consideration of this subject upon a motion such as that which had been made. The hon. gentleman from Gaspé comes down to the House and tells us the administration of justice in the district which he represents is exceedingly bad; that an eminent Judge who presides in that District is in the habit of going into the Court in a state of intoxication, and that the people of that District are indignant to an extent almost impossible to express. How is he borne out in this assertion? Have the people sent a single petition? Without imputing to the hon. gentleman any thing improper, I would ask where is our information upon which to found a proceeding which would contribute to bring the administration of justice into disrepute? The more grave and serious the accusation, the more necessary is it that the House should be well informed before they take the first step in the matter. I shall decidedly vote against the motion.

Mr. CHRISTIE said the house must certainly acquit him of any intention of forcing upon the house the consideration of the subject. His object was now in part accomplished by having drawn the attention of the gentlemen of his Excellency's Council to the matter. He would therefore readily move for leave to withdraw his motion.

Mr. MERRITT said he thought the hon. gentleman was correct in withdrawing his motion. He had made a grave accusation, which that house could not reject as destitute of foundation, as it must be supposed that the hon. gentleman has the confidence of the country which he represents. But the question was now brought under the notice of the administration, whose bounden duty it was to inquire into all abuses in the administration of justice, (hear, hear,) and he thought it should be left in their hands. This he thought was the correct course to be pursued.

Attorney-General DRAPER said, if he understood the hon. gentleman, the mover of the resolution, that there was no new complaint, since the old one had been fairly answered, he did not consider that the question, therefore, was fairly before them, and he thought that they were not bound to take notice of it.

The motion was withdrawn.

Pursuant to the order of the day, the house resolved itself into a committee of the whole, for considering the laws in force in Upper Canada relating to Courts of Request.

Mr. Atty. Gen. DRAPER rose and said he had given notice at an early part of the session, that he should bring under the consideration of the house, the laws now in force in that part of Canada heretofore called Upper Canada, relating to the Courts of Request; and he was impelled to this step in consequence of the reiterated complaints which had been made against those courts, (and which had come under his (Mr. Draper's) notice in the performance of his official and professional duties,) that they had failed to attain those ends which the Legislature had in view when the act was passed for their establishment.

It was not his intention, at present, to occupy the time of the committee with an exposition of the details of the measure which he proposed to substitute, in the place of the law now in existence. If the house should concur with him in thinking that some amendment was necessary, the consideration of those details would naturally arise at a future day; all that he was desirous of doing at present was to establish the position

that those courts were capable of improvement. He would first mention that this question had been brought under the notice of the Government two or three years ago, in consequence of an hundred complaint from different sections of the country. The hon. and learned gentleman opposite (Mr. Baldwin) could bear witness to this particular; he would go further back than the period of that hon. gentleman's connection with the Government extends, and he (Mr. Draper) had had at that early period of the establishment of the court, as many as thirty applications submitted to him at one time for relief from the improper decisions which had taken place in that court.

In some cases the Commissioners had acted in the teeth of the statute; sometimes contrary to every principle of justice; sometimes taking on themselves to decide points which courts of a higher jurisdiction would hesitate to decide upon. They constituted themselves a sort of general court for legislation, and not for the administration of the law. Such was the nature of the complaints which the country preferred against the operation of Courts of Request. He would not do the Commissioners of that court, the injustice to suppose that those complaints were in all cases well founded; he would be sorry to have it supposed that he would at once, endorse all complaints. They were all well aware that an unsuccessful suit is very apt to find cause of complaint, not in the weakness of his own case, but in the (as he may imagine) wrong decision of the courts. But at the same time it would be right to observe that when the very constitution of the court is considered, and the great number of persons who must necessarily be engaged in them, it was almost impossible to expect that the government could find persons in all the remote sections of the Province, who were perfectly qualified for presiding over courts of that description, to whom the Government could entrust, even so limited a jurisdiction. In the year 1838 there were one hundred and seventy three courts, and one thousand and sixty eight Commissioners. He believed that hon. gentleman would agree with him that it would be a difficult matter to find nearly eleven hundred persons who might properly be entrusted with the administration of justice in those courts. Reasoning upon general principles, even were there to be a large number of vacancies at this moment, the filling them up would be found to be a matter of serious difficulty, and one involving a serious responsibility. It had been a matter of considerable difficulty to find persons to fill the situation of Judges of the District Courts, though fewer in number, and where the Judge is not permitted to indulge discretionary powers, but is bound down by positive rules of law. He believed the experience of hon. gentlemen, speaking as a matter of general reflection, would bear him out in saying that in some cases these appointments have not been successful. We are now acting under a law which was passed at a period when it was more difficult to find persons of experience and ability to preside over the courts; and let hon. gentlemen reflect how many thousand cases there are in which people go into those courts to seek for justice, and there is nothing more likely to create a discontented feeling than when people find that in a tribunal into which they are obliged to go for justice, there are not persons qualified to transact the business of the courts.

One of the arguments in favor of these courts has always been, and I admit it is one which has much weight, that while they afford an expeditious remedy in cases of trifling debts, they are at the same time attended with little expense. But it must be recollected that it is not simply the cheapness and expedition of obtaining judgment that is to be regarded, but you should be well assured that the judgment is a proper one, and that you have such a decision as may be relied upon. If, therefore, I can establish that the complaints against these Courts have been made out my case. I will state one or two leading features of these complaints. I recollect one case, and rather an amusing one. There had been committed one of those breaches of moral and social rights which sometimes occur to the disgrace of those who are concerned in them—a case of seduction. One would have supposed that this was one of those cases which could not be tried under the head of debt or contract. The commissioners of the court, however, thought differently. They tried the case in two shapes—one on behalf of the Doctor for his bill, and the other on the part of the father for the loss of the daughter's services. And I will mention another case, where a man had lost certain property under such circumstances as would naturally give rise to an action of Trower. The commissioners of the court, however, in their wisdom, determined that it was a case which came under their jurisdiction, and they gave judgment accordingly. Besides all this it was strongly contended that the Court of Requests might hold jurisdiction in cases of tythes. I could multiply cases upon cases, did I not know that there are many hon. gentlemen in this house of the legal profession, who can bear testimony to the extraordinary proceedings of some of the commissioners of these courts. I do not wish to be understood as charging upon them a desire of doing wrong; and I would not do that there are many feelings were I not to add that there are many cases also, where the gentlemen presiding over those courts have given great satisfaction. I could mention many instances (were it not invidious to do so) such as I have last alluded to. The reasons of this, is that in such cases the government have been fortunate in finding persons of uprightiness and intelligence, which is impossible should be the case, to a very great extent, in a system of jurisprudence, which requires a thousand or more commissioners; this is what we should first strike at. Do away with the necessity of employing so large a number; and we shall have the matter more tangible, and more within our reach; but where you find a Court of Request, there is a division of responsibility which very often amounts to no responsibility at all. Unless they subject themselves to an action in such a manner as is tangible, however improperly they may act, however ruinous may be their judgement, unfortunately it must stand, the evil is incurable, there are no means of redress. I have known another instance of flagrant abuse where a merchant in extensive business was appointed a commissioner of the Court of Request; he had a vast number of debts which came within the jurisdiction of the court, the first step he took was to obtain notes from all persons who owed him; so far all was right, the next thing he did was to transfer all these notes to his own clerk, and then to employ a bailiff at a percentage, to serve the parties with summonses; and he himself gave judgement upon them. (Hear, hear, hear.) But it is only necessary to show that such cases can occur, to convince this house that the matter requires amendment.

When this circumstance became known, he was of course promptly removed. It would be out of place if I were now to enter into the details of the measure which I trust the committee will at a future day give me an opportunity of bringing under their notice. I will now call the attention of the committee to the only remaining point which I desire to bring under their notice, at the present moment; and whatever other alterations may be, this is one to which my attention has been particularly drawn, namely; the payment of the fees presiding, not by fees, but by salaries. I have brought most cases into the more prejudicial system now in force, I do not think any system more prejudicial to the poor than the present administration of justice, that the more the services of a judge, that the more in this respect is neglected, that the more of all others, is most to be desired. I believe that the amendments which I shall propose will have the effect of lessening the amount to this committee the amount accruing upon business in those courts will state, from returns which I have received for the year 1838, the amount proposed is £10,000. The remedy proposed is to establish a graduated scale of fees; let these fees be paid in the hands of a public officer, the Judge who shall be appointed to preside in each court be paid a salary from the treasury and let it be the duty of a Barrister or some other person, competent to undertake the duty now endeavored as briefly as possible to explain to this committee the reasons which induced me to make this motion, obtained from entering into the subject, they concur with me in saying that per there should be some amendments to the present law upon the subject.

Mr. MERRITT said the hon. gentleman made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the law which regulates Courts of Request, was not sally approved of. The request was made out a strong case, but he thought that this committee would be obliged to require more material evidence, than the resolution of the Court of Request, (Mr. M.) would certainly be opposed to the operation of the