

## DOMESTIC.

House of Assembly.

Wednesday, Nov. 20.

Petitions brought up of Job Loder and others of the Gore District; of David Soles and others of the County of Simcoe; of Duncan Campbell and others of the County of Norfolk; District of London, of John Plountz and others of the United States, owning lands in the Townships of Woolwich and Waterloo, in the Gore District; of W. P. Patrick and Joseph Easton, Executors to the estate of the late Thomas Stovell of the Town of York.

Mr. Thompson gave notice of a bill on to-morrow to repeal part of an Act passed in the 3d year of His Majesty's reign, entitled "An Act granting to His Majesty a sum of money to defray the expense of erecting a Bonsecours fort in this Province, and for other purposes therein mentioned."

Mr. Morris gave notice of a Bill on Friday next, to amend the Court of Requests Law.

Mr. Berczy gave notice of a Bill on Monday next, to render the Judges of the Court of King's Bench independent of the Crown.

Mr. Shade gave notice of a Bill on Monday next, to divide the County of Halton into two separate counties.

Mr. Wilson gave notice of a Bill on to-morrow for the separation of the County of Norfolk from the London District into a separate District.

Mr. Jarvis gave notice of a Bill on Monday next, to make Stage proprietors and drivers liable to certain penalties to be prosecuted in a summary way for accidents to passengers conveyed by them.

Mr. Jarvis gave notice of a Bill on Monday next, to establish an asylum for insane persons.

Mr. Duncome gave notice of a Bill on Tuesday next to establish County Courts in this Province.

Mr. Howard gave notice of a Bill on Thursday next to repeal an Act passed in the 8th Geo. 4th entitled "An Act to require the justice of the several districts of this Province to publish a statement of their Distinct Accounts & to make further provision for the publication of District Accounts."

Mr. Duncome gave notice of a Bill on the last Thursday of this month, authorizing, establishing and endowing a Deaf and Dumb School in this province.

Mr. Duncome gave notice of a Bill on Wednesday next, providing for raising and expending £50,000 in MacAdamizing 500 miles of Road in this province, consisting of one East and Westerly road through such parts of this province as have not navigable waters in their vicinity, and Cross roads at suitable distances to connect the interior parts of the province with the Macadamized roads with navigable wa-

ters or with both.

On motion of Mr. Morris, ordered that the Clerk be directed to exhibit daily, in the Lobby a copy of the Journals for public information.

Mr. Boulton gave notice of a Bill on Monday next, to extend and define the qualification of persons entitled to vote at elections in this Province.

On motion of Mr. Jarvis, the bill to afford further relief to bail, in certain cases was read a first time and ordered for a second reading to-morrow.

On motion of Mr. Howard, leave to bring in a bill to allow electors to vote by ballot at all elections, to be held in this Province for the election of Members of the House of Assembly, the yeas and nays were taken, as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Bidwell, Campbell, Duncombe, Horner, Howard, Ketchum, Shaver, Werden, Wilson.—9

Nos—Messrs. Berczy, Campbell, Burwell, Chisholm, Elliott, Fraser A., Fraser D., Jarvis, Jones, McNab, Morris, Robinson, Shaver, Wilson.—14—decided in the negative.

Several other motions were also made, and on Mr. Bidwell moving a resolution for Mr. McNab's admission as a Member of the House, a debate ensued, which we omit for want of room. The result of the division was given in our last.

On motion of Mr. Jarvis, the bill to extend the limits to certain goals in this province was read a first time, second reading to-morrow.

Thursday, Nov. 21st.

There was no quorum to-day, and the Speaker adjourned the house at half-past twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 22d, 1833.

Mr. Robinson brought in a bill to make some alterations in the highways in this province, which was read a first time.

On motion of Mr. Morris, the journals of last session relating to a deposit from His Majesty's Government on School lands were read, whereupon he moved that the house resolve itself into a committee of the whole on to-morrow on the subject of School lands and education.

In committee of the whole house on His Excellency's speech, Mr. McNab in the chair.

Mr. Morris moved the resolutions in answer to the speech, which called forth little interest, except the last, which related to the subject of education, and was as follows:

*Resolved*—That the important subject of public education which has in several sessions occupied much of our attention, shall not fail to receive our early and most anxious consideration; particularly the means by which an improved system of township school education may be best promoted, and also the application of sales of school lands to that object, as well as to the endowment and support of free Grammar schools in the several districts.

Mr. Burwell said he had drawn up a resolution which he thought went more fully into that part of the speech than that proposed by the hon. member for Simcoe.

Mr. Morris rose and said there was a sufficient portion of the speech which proposed to him to confer on it by the establishment of King's College. The house will use diligence in considering and favoring the requisite extension of the Royal charter under which the University is at present established; and His Excellency's assurance that His Majesty will readily give effect to any measure proposed by us regarding its future government and

the appropriation of the school lands to promote the purposes of the institution, is an additional proof of His Majesty's paternal care for the advancement of the most important interests of his subjects."

Mr. Morris said he had availed any opportunity to impress the resolution which might be considered as a pledge concerning King's College, as he did not think the province was prepared to reap the full benefit of a University. Free Grammar schools were wanted in the several Districts as preparation to King's college before the full benefit of the institution could be realized.

Mr. Boulton believed it was customary to follow the language of the speech, and did not see why the hon. member who moved the resolutions had departed from it in this instance.

Mr. Berczy would like to vote for the resolution of the hon. member for Middlesex, but could not as he thought it contained a pledge of some part of the house for the establishment of King's College.

Mr. Werden agreed in substance with the remarks of Mr. Morris. The trouble and expense in Prince Edward of prosecuting petty offences was so great, that it was generally neglected, in consequence of which crime was on the increase.

Mr. McNab had not so despicable an opinion of the magistrates as the hon. member for Lenox and Addington. He believed they were as upright and as good as in any country. If the bill was printed he believed some hon. members would change their opinion of it.

Mr. Thompson was in favor of the principle of the bill, as something of the kind was necessary to lessen the business of the Quarter Sessions.

Mr. Bidwell moved an amendment that the bill be read a second time that day three months. He did so in order to record his opinion on the journals against the principle of the bill. He considered it objectionable on several grounds. In the first place it was taking away one of the dearest privileges of a British subject, trial by jury; and putting his character and all he held valuable into the hands of a magistrate who might be selected by the prosecutor or account of a difference of political views; and the very principle which gave such a power was objectionable. It was also objectionable that the magistrate should be allowed to fix a fine, as a person could be indicted by this bill for what he could not do so.

He ought, perhaps, to inform the house that he had speculated largely in U. E. rights; he did so because he had a right to do so; and the present order in Council was made to prevent the lands getting into the hands of speculators.

Mr. Berczy's amendment was adopted, and the bill was passed a second time that day three months. He did so in order to record his opinion on the journals against the principle of the bill. He considered it objectionable on several grounds. In the first place it was taking away one of the dearest privileges of a British subject, trial by jury; and putting his character and all he held valuable into the hands of a magistrate who might be selected by the prosecutor or account of a difference of political views; and the very principle which gave such a power was objectionable. It was also objectionable that the magistrate should be allowed to fix a fine, as a person could be indicted by this bill for what he could not do so.

Mr. Morris' resolution carried, and he then moved the next resolution, that the subject of education should as heretofore receive their serious consideration.

Mr. Jarvis thought the words "as heretofore," should be struck out, as the subject had hardly received any consideration from that house.

Mr. Perry said the hon. member who made that objection had not been long in that house; but not in another quarter.

Mr. Wilson had doubts as to the propriety of using that expression, which would seem to throw a reflection on another branch of the Legislature. If that house should pass a bill on the subject and it had not received attention in another quarter, the house could have a right to make a representation.

Mr. Werden said it was as he had said, that the increase in Prince Edward of land grant was too great, and would do no good to individuals and to the people of Upper Canada.

Mr. Boulton believed it was the first time he had heard the hon. and learned member from Lenox and Addington say that a wholesome check did not exist through public opinion in this province. He (Mr. Bidwell) was always appealing to public opinion. Some check to crime was necessary; and when the house went into committee on the bill there would be an opportunity to make a representation.

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.

In support of this opinion he would merely remark, that if it would be convenient for two or three magistrates to settle petty disputes without trouble and expense, look at the other side. Would it not put in their power to settle cases of great moment?

In times of great excitement, where party spirit runs high, and persons have been mobbed, would it not be doing a great deal of harm to give magistrates, who themselves were interested, power to judge between them and the law?

Mr. Morris' was sorry for the motion of the hon. member for Lenox and Addington, as there might be many good things in the bill; and let amendments be moved in committee to those parts which were objectionable. No person could attend our courts without seeing a great loss of time by vexatious suits which benefited no body but gentlemen of the legal profession. (Hear, hear.) He did not throw out such remarks as a reflection on them; but as a truth. He was sorry the hon. member (Mr. B.) had set out this session with a temper calculated to retard the business of the country.

Mr. Perry, in considering any measure, the question always was, whether it did more good than harm. The question with respect to this bill, was it proper to put this power into the hands of the Justices or not? He thought not.