

BOARD.

MRS. DAVY. (52 Wellington Street,) can accommodate six gentlemen with board, and large comfortable rooms at reasonable terms Nov 16th.

TO LET.

N. O. 1 VICTORIA TERRACE, at the head of Princess Street, furnished or unfurnished till 1st May 1879. S. C. McHILL, Clarence Street, Nov 16th.

Wanted,

TO BUY OR RENT.—A HOUSE suitable for a small family. Stables required on the lot; downtown preferred. Address J. A. GARDINER, City.

At W. S. GORDON'S Grocery, Princess St., will be found all kinds of Groceries and Provisions on the close cutting cash principle.

The Daily British At His, SATURDAY EVENG, NOV. 16, 1878.

REMINDERS.

SUNDAY. Re-opening of Christ Church, Cataract; Service at 4 p.m. Nov 16th.

Da. Horowitz at City Hotel—last day. CITY COUNCIL.—Regular Meeting at 8 p.m.

An editorial reply to a recent article in the Ottawa Free Press is crowded out today, but will appear in Monday's issue.

The prayer of the non Mormon women of Salt Lake City is likely to succeed in invoking official intervention in the suppression of polygamy. Already several cases involving the peculiar institution are set down to be tried before United States Supreme Court. For the credit of the neighbouring nation the filthy Mormon nuns cannot be abated a day too soon. Canadians will join in the jubilation which will greet its final abolition.

KINGSTON ELECTION GOSSELIN. KINGSTON ELECTION PROTEST.—THE CAUSES OF MR. JOHN'S DEFEAT.—THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE FOR THE LOCAL [Correspondence of Toronto Natural.]

The filing of a protest against the return of Mr. Gunn recalls attention to the Kingston election around which the chief interest centered during the General Election, owing to the fact that Sir John Macdonald was one of the candidates. A great deal of speculation has been indulged in to account for the defeat of Sir John by so decisive a majority. Both candidates were equally popular with the electors, so that it was a question of popularity on one hand and a preponderance of it on the other that the election turned on. And though, as is usual in all such cases, it is conceded that bribery and corruption entered the day, that explanation will not account for the whole of so large a majority to any reflecting and impartial mind. While there may or may not have been bribery practised (which the courts decide) the fact still remains that Sir John did his duty, went to town-residence and to the voter, and the complexity of the voting constituency since the 12th July and other exciting occasions, in the Cabinet and Ministerial departments the lions and lambs can lie down so lovingly together. Of course it is all for effect, but the impression prevails that this cozening is being decidedly ornithic. It is too good to be genuine.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

OUR NEUTRAL KNIGHTS.

Two Canadian Knights have retired from the ranks of party politics but by no means from prominence and public usefulness. We refer of course to Sir Francis Hincks and Sir A. T. Galt. The former of these has kept his name before the public by his able written letters to the press and his articles, chiefly of course on financial topics, in the *Journal of Commerce*. That is not at all likely to re-enter the political arena is very evident from the fact that he has actually declined to place himself at the head of a resurrected Reform party at the solicitation of so redoubtable a Reformer (!) as Mr. Patrick Blair, editor of the *Irish Canadian*. As to Sir A. T. Galt he is making himself useful in several capacities. He has come out lately and squarely as an uncompromising Temperance Reformer, a sphere in which he will command the God-speed of all who love their country.

Then, too, in his politically neutral capacity he rendered the country invaluable service as Fishery Commissioner, and his advice has already been sought in the same direction by the present Government. It is also said that the new Ministers, in their protectionist dilemma, are trying to secure his assistance as a sort of consulting physician in their application of the national policy nostrum to the suffering country and its struggling manufacturer. How Sir A. T. Galt can be coaching the Finance Minister, when Mr. Tilley is off to England, may seem a little strange, but doubtless Sir John can elicit all necessary advice, and utilise it on or before his Finance Minister's return. It is said Sir Alexander favours an "elastic" tariff. Just the thing for the Chieftain we should say. Any one who can devise an "elastic" tariff which will enable Sir John to adapt it to all the conflicting interests whose inordinate expectations he has excited will be regarded as the benefactor, if not of the country, at any rate of the Tory party in its present fiscal difficulty.

OUR LOCAL MEMBER.

Mr. Robinson's card to the electors will not take them by surprise. Since his enthusiastic re-nomination by the Reformers of Kingston his candidature has been a sufficiently well known fact. Besides it was foregone conclusion with men of both parties. Apart from political differences, Mr. Robinson by his untiring and successful devotion to the interests of this city in Parliament has laid his constituents under obligation, of which his triumphant election will be a fitting recognition. In the face of Mr. Robinson's great services for Kingston, the proofs of which abound on every hand, it is not surprising that the Conservative wire-pullers hesitate to name his opponent. True an ambitious young member of their party has sought to extricate them from their difficulty by early and modestly announcing himself as Mr. Robinson's opponent, but from all accounts this independent action of the young aspirant has not appreciably diminished their embarrassment. Whoever may be finally chosen to lead the federal hope of the ultra wing of the local Tory party, whether under their direct auspices, or under the guise of "independence," is as certain of defeat as any mortal can make himself sure of anything. Mr. Robinson very properly rests his case on his record; he appeals to his faithful discharge of the trust committed to his hands as member in the existing and previous Parliaments. That appeal will be responded to by the polls, not only by his fellow workmen, but by all classes, with a triumphant endorsement of his course in Parliament. Mr. Robinson's re-election by a large majority is one of the sure things of the future.

KINGSTON ELECTION GOSSELIN. KINGSTON ELECTION PROTEST.—THE CAUSES OF MR. JOHN'S DEFEAT.—THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE FOR THE LOCAL

[Correspondence of Toronto Natural.]

The filing of a protest against the return of Mr. Gunn recalls attention to the Kingston election around which the chief interest centered during the General Election, owing to the fact that Sir John Macdonald was one of the candidates. A great deal of speculation has been indulged in to account for the defeat of Sir John by so decisive a majority. Both candidates were equally popular with the electors, so that it was a question of popularity on one hand and a preponderance of it on the other that the election turned on. And though, as is usual in all such cases, it is conceded that bribery and corruption entered the day, that explanation will not account for the whole of so large a majority to any reflecting and impartial mind. While there may or may not have been bribery practised (which the courts decide) the fact still remains that Sir John did his duty, went to town-residence and to the voter, and the complexity of the voting constituency since the 12th July and other exciting occasions, in the Cabinet and Ministerial departments the lions and lambs can lie down so lovingly together. Of course it is all for effect, but the impression prevails that this cozening is being decidedly ornithic. It is too good to be genuine.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The appointment of a brother of the murdered man Hackett to be Hon. John O'Connor's private Secretary, and of an Ultramontane amanuensis for the "Grand Sovereign of the Universe," Bowell, is a stroke of a policy, which is being voted decidedly "thin." The question is being canvassed what all the row is about on the 12th July and other exciting occasions, in the Cabinet and Ministerial departments the lions and lambs can lie down so lovingly together. Of course it is all for effect, but the impression prevails that this cozening is being decidedly ornithic. It is too good to be genuine.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The appointment of a brother of the murdered man Hackett to be Hon. John O'Connor's private Secretary, and of an Ultramontane amanuensis for the "Grand Sovereign of the Universe," Bowell, is a stroke of a policy, which is being voted decidedly "thin." The question is being canvassed what all the row is about on the 12th July and other exciting occasions, in the Cabinet and Ministerial departments the lions and lambs can lie down so lovingly together. Of course it is all for effect, but the impression prevails that this cozening is being decidedly ornithic. It is too good to be genuine.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will not go to confirm that Tory prediction that the disaffected Irish Catholic Conservatives were to be conciliated by the elevation of the Hon. John O'Connor to the Bench. Doubtless Sir John intended to appoint him, just as the Hamilton Spectator for the other day informed us he had intended to appoint the late Mr. O'Reilly to the Bench in 1875, when the bold facts of the case were that Sir John Macdonald had actually cancelled that gentleman's appointment to a county judgeship at the dictation of a colleague. Let me no doubt Sir John's intentions—that is to say, his protestations of intention. We are reminded, however, that the highway to the most unwise of places said to be paved with good intentions, and some or other of the Tory Chieftain's avowed and actual intentions are so antagonistic as to utterly undermine all faith in his most deliberate promises. Like many of his dupes Sir John's Irish Catholic supporters will be requested for the thousandth time to accept the will for the deed.

The efficiency of the Bench is the chief, in fact the only, point worthy of consideration in the selection of our Judges. From this point of view we have already said that the recent political appointments will give general satisfaction. True, that may be, however, they will