

Two English papers give lengthy extracts of the debate upon the Bill authorizing marriage with a deceased wife's sister. The analysis of the vote shows just how the legislation met an unexpected defeat:—(1) the opposition to it was comparatively solid; and (2) some of those who helped it through the second reading were absent. The final member who took part in the division on the second reading was 322. But it was rejected on the third reading by a majority of five in a House numbering 366 members, the division being: for, 160; against, 165. The Archbishop of Canterbury and sixteen Bishops voted against the Bill. Two prelates who were absent—the Bishop of Worcester and Ripon—paired in favor of the Bill, the former with the Bishop of Chester and the latter with Lord Crewe. The Arch-bishop of York paired against the Bill. The Duke of Westminster, who voted in the favor on the second reading, was absent on a fishing tour with the Marquis of Ormonde in the north-west of Scotland, and several Irish Peers who voted in the majority on the second reading were absent, having returned to Ireland. Among those who voted with the minority were the Prince of Wales, the Duke of Albany, the Dukes of Manchester and Sutherland, Lords Derby, Sydney, Granville, Cartingford, Northbrook, Halifax, Stanhope of Alderley, Don, Ribbledale, Fortune, Alesbury, Cawper, Roseberry, Coteshead, Sherbrooke, Wolverton, Abberlare, Morley, Buntington, Abberdon, Clinton, Charenton, Abercrombie, Sandie, Tweedmouth, Rossmay, Eustell, Traws and Braemar. Last year's bill was rejected on the second reading by a majority of four.

Some curious statements are added. One is to the effect that the Royal Family went strongly for the Bill and ought to have it passed as a preliminary step to the wedding of Princess Beatrice to her brother-in-law, but there does not appear to be reasonable ground for this assumption, inasmuch as the Prince of Wales and Duke of Edinburgh, two years ago, were an active as they are now in the agitation for a change in the marriage relations, and at such a date it is not probable that the union now discussed was arranged or contemplated. Again it is stated that the Bishops, being the great obstructionists in regard to this measure, must go; that they should not be engaged in law making, that they have not the democratic ideas and feelings of the age, and should be limited to the performance of their churchly functions. Just how they are to be excluded from the House of Lords is not intimated, but it is understood that the Radical element is at work and it will produce something or other.

## ELECTION TRIALS.

The results of the election trials, so far, are such as the "Grit" party has reason to be satisfied with. The Welsh and Northumbrian cases, against Liberals, have been adjourned, virtually abandoned, since the evidence presented is of the weakest, most non-committal character, and the prospect of supplementing it not very encouraging. The case against Dr. Dowling, in Limerick, does not create any serious apprehensions. The whole issue seems to hinge upon the alleged promise of the unsuccessful candidate to have Hickey, an impudent person, appointed License Inspector, at least nominate him for the office. A great deal has been made about this circumstance, which is regarded by Tory leaders and papers as a particularly prominent act of bribery, but it is evident that not much is expected to come of its discussion when, in connection with an adjournment, it is stated that the petition will probably be abandoned.

The election of Mr. Thomas Murray, another successful Liberal, was also, but hopefully. As we announced yesterday, the petitioner is not disposed to proceed to a trial. The charge against Mr. Arvey, in South Westport, could not be substantiated with evidence and the petition was dismissed.

The greatest failure of all on the part of the Tories, however, was in South Victoria, the constituency so long represented by the late Provincial Treasurer. Here the "dark" met with a rebuke from the judges. Mr. Justice Patterson "congratulated the county on the result of the election trial. In other election cases a great deal of time had to be spent very often in attempting to prove agency, but in this the almost entire record had been shown, and the manner in which the respondent had acted throughout was highly creditable to him, and the evidence did not at all support the inference to be drawn from the petition that corrupt practices had ever been any evidence or had ever been offered for the purpose of returning Mr. McElroy." Mr. Justice Ferguson said "he had been, apparently surprised, not that he had succeeded to find that corrupt practices had actually prevailed in the riding, but people in finding the party organs were led to expect, to find at least some fact to support accusations made by the press. He had been pleased to find an election conducted in the way this appeared to have been conducted. He had also been much pleased at the way in which the trial had been conducted on the part of the respondent. No attempt had been made to conceal anything, and every facility had been given for the free and full investigation." Of this success the Ottawa Citizen spoke editorially, saying that the "party of party" had reason to be gratified.

So far the trials have been confined to the trial of petitions against Liberals, they having been first tried. What will happen to the trials brought against the Conservatives in February last, it will be fortunate if the Juries can include in their verdicts similar to those passed by them at Lindsay in the case of Mr. McEvoy and the only one brought to a termination.

## LATE LOCAL NEWS.

## THE WOMEN'S LIST.

A large assembly filled St. Andrew's Church on the occasion of the marriage of Miss Matilda E. Douglas, daughter of Alex. MacKenzie, C. E., late of Kingston, to Henry E. Barlow, of Toronto. Edward Fisher presided at the organ. Rev. D. J. MacEachan performed the ceremony.

Two English papers give lengthy extracts of the debate upon the Bill authorizing marriage with a deceased wife's sister. The analysis of the vote shows just how the legislation met an unexpected defeat:—(1) the opposition to it was comparatively solid; and (2) some of those who helped it through the second reading were absent. The final member who took part in the division on the second reading was 322. But it was rejected on the third reading by a majority of five in a House numbering 366 members, the division being: for, 160; against, 165. The Archbishop of Canterbury and sixteen Bishops voted against the Bill. Two prelates who were absent—the Bishop of Worcester and Ripon—paired in favor of the Bill, the former with the Bishop of Chester and the latter with Lord Crewe. The Arch-bishop of York paired against the Bill. The Duke of Westminster, who voted in the favor on the second reading, was absent on a fishing tour with the Marquis of Ormonde in the north-west of Scotland, and several Irish Peers who voted in the majority on the second reading were absent, having returned to Ireland. Among those who voted with the minority were the Prince of Wales, the Duke of Albany, the Dukes of Manchester and Sutherland, Lords Derby, Sydney, Granville, Cartingford, Northbrook, Halifax, Stanhope of Alderley, Don, Ribbledale, Fortune, Alesbury, Cawper, Roseberry, Coteshead, Sherbrooke, Wolverton, Abberlare, Morley, Buntington, Abberdon, Clinton, Charenton, Abercrombie, Sandie, Tweedmouth, Rossmay, Eustell, Traws and Braemar. Last year's bill was rejected on the second reading by a majority of four.

Some curious statements are added. One is to the effect that the Royal Family went strongly for the Bill and ought to have it passed as a preliminary step to the wedding of Princess Beatrice to her brother-in-law, but there does not appear to be reasonable ground for this assumption, inasmuch as the Prince of Wales and Duke of Edinburgh, two years ago, were an active as they are now in the agitation for a change in the marriage relations, and at such a date it is not probable that the union now discussed was arranged or contemplated. Again it is stated that the Radical element is at work and it will produce something or other.

The choir of St. Paul's Church intend holding a moonlight excursion on Friday night, the 20th, by ste. Maid.

Rev. R. S. Forster, pastor of St. John's Church, Belleville, has been freed from the rectory of Adolphustown.

The rush to Bowes & Banquette's to-day was so great that several times they closed their doors to keep the crowd out.

Mr. F. X. Constance & Co.'s last correspondence on the cotton question is held over, other matter having precedence.

The Farmers come to the city to day in scores and made a big display, but to-day's representation is nothing to what next Saturday will be.

General Dobbs, Edwards, Layton, and Geary, Sparks and Lyle, of the London Field Battery, have arrived here to take a course of instruction in the School of Gunnery.

Major Van Hogen of Port Hope, father of the Cadet of that name while in Toronto the other day purchased a dozen white helmets, kept one for himself and presenting the balance to those in town whom he considered would wear them with the greatest degree of dignity and comeliness.

HOLMANS LAST NIGHT.

The audience at the Opera House last evening was larger, but the support was not equal to the demands of the Company. The "Bohemian Girl" was produced more creditably. The singing was inspiring, the best of the season so far. Miss Sallie Holman and Mr. Dalton as "Archie" and "Count Arathorn" respectively exceeded themselves. The prima donna was very vivacious and passing all through the opera. Mr. Dalton's best effort was "The heart bowed down," which merited loud applause. Mr. Brookhouse Bowler was in fine voice, and as "Thaddeus" sang with great spirit and effect. He has been before the public for many years, but does not wax in popularity nor does his tenor lose its power. Miss Blanche Holman was very good as the Gipsy Queen, and Mr. A. D. Holman and his band of gypsies led in some very interesting scenes. Mr. Liniger appeared in some of his specialties and had a warm reception. The Count is now in a dying state. His drama has been hourly expected.

## MEN OF THE HOUR.



JOSEPH FRANKLIN.

The Count de Chamblain, grandson of Charles X., is the last apostle of the doctrine of the divine right of kings, unless we except Wm. I. of Prussia, who said as he placed the crown upon his own head: "I rule by the favor of God, and of no one else." Count de Chamblain, supported by F. Lawrence, of Toronto, and G. J. Land, of Gaspé, appeared, leaning on his brother's arm, attended by Misses Laura Britton and Annie Brongh, of Gaspé. The ceremony was performed by Rev. John Davidson, of Alma. Previous to their departure for the west the young couple were serenaded by the battery band.

## NEWS ITEMS.

Tremendous bazaar every day at Bowes & Banquette's.

To-night, parades for your own prices at Hardy & Murray's.

It is wonderful the piles of blankets that were sold at Bowes & Banquette's to-day.

Captains Wass and Langton, S.A., of Toronto, arrived from the West this afternoon.

In 1846 it was:

The oldest daughter of the Duke of Medina, in 1850 he appeared at Wimborne, where a union or fusion of the partisans of the older Bourbons with the Orléanists was effected, but no attempt was made to carry out the arrangement.

After the capitulation of Paris in 1871 the Count returned to France. The Legitimists were, at that time, strong enough to warrant their attempting to place upon the throne the last descendant of the old Bourbons, but to committed political suicide by refusing to accept the throne under the title of King, and lost all rather than sacrifice his fidelity to the white flag of Navarre. In 1873 a difference between the two branches of the royal house was remedied by the acknowledgement of the Count de Paris, that Count de Chamblain was the head. It is reported that the Count has solemnly designated the count of Paris as his successor.

The life of the Count de Chamblain has been essentially that of a French political leader. For exactly twelve days he was King of France. Even his days of exile have been bright, and while he has the gentlemanly attributes of education, refinement and intelligence which befit a king, yet there has been a short-sightedness and fatuity which have proved his undoing. He is an unusually handsome man, rather stout and of medium height. His greatest power, however, is in a sub-mesmerizing voice which would well become a general. Unaffected and genial in his manner; the simplicity and amiability of his nature is revealed in his frank and honest humor.

The Count is now in a dying state. His drama has been hourly expected.

## A WORD ABOUT THE ARMY.

For Editor, British Whig.

Dear Sir,—Let the officers of the Salvation Army in Kingston tell their unprincipled comrades in London that they have the sympathy of the Christian public of this city. I am glad to see that the high handed proceedings of the London mounted authorities are beginning to call out strong protests from the provincial press. It is because the Salvation Army have little or no influence that they are arrested and sent to jail for breaking a drum on the streets, a thing which is done in nearly every city and town in the Dominion. It is because, as a religious body, it is offensive to some high toned and over fastidious individual or individuals? There is a very decided protest about the whole thing, which is anything but creditable. If there is a law against street parades and the beating of drums in public thoroughfares, and if the London authorities are determined to enforce it, let me see no partiality in the administration of it. I venture to say that in Kingston no Magistrate could be found to convict, or lawyer to prosecute, any officer of the Salvation Army for breaking a drum on the streets. We are proud of our grand old city—in Mayors, Aldermen and peers. They know how to appreciate moral worth.

R. HARRIS.

## A TERRIBLE RAIL STORE.

Chicago, July 14.—The damage from the storm of hail which swept north westward across Iowa and Illinois on Thursday night was great. Two buildings were blown down at Gibson City, Iowa, and a track six miles long and four miles wide, near Davenport, devastated. The loss in Scott Co., Iowa, is \$25,000. At St. Paul, Iowa, there is not a home in the town with a whole wall left. Ten persons are reported to have been injured, none fatally.

## THE REVOLT OVER.

On Thursday evening the Methodists of the church in Montreal East had held well to Rev. J. B. Saunders and presented him with a purse containing \$100. We have publicized, but we do not repeat our protest, that no feeling of distrust or doubt respecting the Rev. Mr. Hager prompted our action. For we have only the respect which is due to his office, and to the talents that with such self-sacrifice, he has consecrated to that office, and we should greatly misrepresent this people if we suffered any word to fall from our lips that could give the slightest pain to him who so worthily succeeds you. When, in turn, his time of departure comes we shall repeat again, to have for many years past rebelled, at the removal of every minister from among us." The revolt has subsisted.

## THE REVOLT OVER.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT WELL TAKEN.

St. Paul, July 14.—In the suit of a woman against a Railway Company yesterday for damages, by falling between the cars, the Company claimed, in defense, that the woman was a pull of the track when hampered by her movements. The court held that the point was well taken.

## POINT