

The Daily British Whig

SATURDAY EVENG, NOV. 3, 1877.
As was generally anticipated would be the case, Mr. Jacques Malouin was to day returned by acclamation for Quebec Centre. Soon after Hon. Mr. Laurier's defeat, he was solicited to become a candidate for this constituency, but with that high sense of honour which distinguishes him, he declined this inasmuch as it was made an arrangement which has been made with the concurrence of both parties in favour of Mr. Malouin. The latter gentleman is an Independent, and must remain so in the strictest sense, in order to please his wide array of supporters.

The *Hamilton Spectator* and many of its Tory contemporaries have been tired of patience and tormented beyond endurance waiting for the *Globe's* publication of Hon. Mr. Blake's Testimony speech. Now that they have got it they will be nervous to know the cause of the delay. We will ally their anxiety on this point with the information that the waiting arose from no reluctance on the part of the *Globe*, but solely because the author of it was in no hurry to read the proof sheets which had been sent to the Hon. gentleman for his consent. We hope this announcement will dispel all Tory curiosity in the matter. The whole cat is now clean out of the bag. The *Globe* is entitled to the credit of publishing the speech and the *Waite* for disclosing the cause of the delay.

We have ascertained from our exchanges, who have doubtless obtained their facts from reliable sources, that the cabby demonstration in honour of the Conservative cause as personated by Mr. Macintosh, of the *Citizen*, was rather a select affair in respect of numbers, in other words that it was a very small turnout. It is also said that the enthusiasm of the practical Jokers, although perfectly spontaneous, was of the purchasable sort, and that those who participated in it were very well pleased with their engagement. Although the above may prove to be quite true, we cannot but gently deprecate the remark of a friend at our elbow, who suggests that the cabmen of Ottawa waited upon the *Citizen* man with their address for the reason that he was a political hack, and had given repeated indications of a desire to seize the reins of power.

The sudden demise of Hon. A. B. Foster has created a sensation of deep regret in the wide circle of his acquaintance and reputation. His name has figured prominently in Canadian politics ever since he threw up his position as member of the Senate. He was very largely identified with railway interests and came in for his share of that meretricious criticism which the present Opposition has seen fit to pursue and assail every prominent member and supporter of the present government. Of course all this will be disregarded that is beyond the reach of it, except it may be with a few 'abandoned men' who have no scruple to invade the sanctity of the grave for political purposes. A painful reminiscence of his later days is the brutal treatment he received from the Vermont Railway men by whom he was thrust into a felon's cell for the non payment of a small sum alleged to be due from some company in which he was interested. It is quite possible that the outrage may have aggravated the disease which so soon thereafter terminated fatally. His family have the sincere sympathies of the public in the great calamity which has so suddenly overtaken them.

THE FINANCE MINISTER.
The extended report we to-day present of the late address of the Hon. Mr. Cartwright, necessarily deprives us of the space in which to do it editorial justice. We shall improve future opportunities of referring to the important issues, discussed in the speech in that level and casual style for which the Honourable gentleman has made himself such a reputation. The address is pretty fairly divided between the two principal assailants of the speaker, the *Cheistain* and the *Stretch* or *Taking* them in inverted order, Mr. Cartwright will be found to have dealt in detail with the financial fallacies of Dr. Tupper, with particular reference to the unfounded charges made by the laqueons Doctor against the Government at Napanee. Not a question of any importance but was hotly discussed, and not a charge or misrepresentation made by the Hon. men of Tory slanders against the Hon. men for Lennox but was triumphantly refuted. For example, the charge that the Ministers had ailed themselves for party purposes of the superannuation measure was exploded by the information that but two per cent of the Civil Service staff had been retired, amounting to between 80 and 40 a year, and that the retention of 500 persons who had reached the age at which superannuation should have been the country's sum of \$43,000 per annum. The hypocrisy of the Tory complaint on the matter was also thoroughly exposed. After all Sir John's mock sympathy for the 'old woman' and her favourite, it transpires that the tax as it stands is actually less than that imposed by the last Tory Government up to within a year of their expiration from office. The Hon. gentleman has also again exposed the folly of adding the present Government with the odium of the depression which has befallen to a greater or less extent both hemispheres. He ably defended from Tory criticism the loans he has from time to time negotiated, showing that they were most advantageous to the country, and were in any case necessitated by obligations which had been incurred by their improvident predecessors. To Sir John Macdonald, however, the Hon. gentleman paid his most particular attention. He completely reviewed the Chief-claim with a most searching rapier of his erratic and corrupt career. It is quite safe to say that in a personal political encounter, as well as in the general discussion of the issues before the country, the Hon. Mr. Cartwright is not more than a match for his bitter and unscrupulous assailant.

THE HON. R. J. CARTWRIGHT

Vindication of the Government

HIS EXPOSE OF TUPPER'S BLINDERS.

A REVIEW OF DOMINION FINANCES

Mr. John A. Completely Scaped!
One of the Finance Minister's Best Speeches.
Last evening Hon. Mr. Cartwright delivered his third and closing political meeting in the Town Hall, Napanee. The room was crowded, the audience being a very intelligent and attentive and orderly one.
The chair was occupied by Mr. Mayor Williams, and around him on the platform were seated Messrs. Derocoe, Grange, and Striker, M.P.P., Hon. John Stevenson, Mr. A. L. Gordon, and other gentlemen.
When the Finance Minister entered the hall he was cheered lustily. At once the meeting was formally opened by the Chairman, in complimentary terms, introducing the speaker of the evening, who was again received with applause.
Mr. Cartwright said it was unnecessary for him to say at length the pleasure which he felt in being again able to address so many of his constituents at this time. He stated that he had been for a considerable time so closely engaged with the duties of his office, combined with frequent trips to England, that he had been unable to address them as he always glad to do, and at a period of the year which was convenient to them. Looking around him that evening he was glad to find so many of his constituents present, and he thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country. He thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country. He thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country.

When the Finance Minister entered the hall he was cheered lustily. At once the meeting was formally opened by the Chairman, in complimentary terms, introducing the speaker of the evening, who was again received with applause.
Mr. Cartwright said it was unnecessary for him to say at length the pleasure which he felt in being again able to address so many of his constituents at this time. He stated that he had been for a considerable time so closely engaged with the duties of his office, combined with frequent trips to England, that he had been unable to address them as he always glad to do, and at a period of the year which was convenient to them. Looking around him that evening he was glad to find so many of his constituents present, and he thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country. He thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country. He thought that it was a good sign that the Hon. gentleman had been so long absent from the country.

in the expenditure of 1876 had occurred. The first item of which it was composed was the expenditure of a half year's interest on the loan contracted by Hon. Mr. Tully, Finance Minister of the late Administration, amounting to \$25,000. Next was the expense of the Boundary Line Survey, incurred in 1873-4, the account of which was kept by Mr. Tupper's officers, so that it could not be charged to the present Government, amounting to \$20,000. Then there were \$100,000 for public works, ordered by and entered upon by the late Government; while in addition he had to provide for the expenditure in the present year, amounting to \$220,000, and an additional \$150,000 for mounted police which had been organized by the late Government and their pay stipulated. Then there were \$150,000 for interest on the loan, and \$200,000 for public works, the contracts of which had been let in several years by Sir John's Government. They had—and this was Dr. Tupper's charge—increased the deficit for the carrying out of the Weights and Measures Act. Then there were statutory increases, involving a still further debit of \$75,000 in the space of two years. Besides this there were \$150,000 for Fund allowances, amounting to \$150,000 annually. What result followed? Whereas Dr. Tupper and his friends had presented before the present Government had taken office, amounting to \$3,240,000, they had not only added an excess but had reduced that excess to \$1,710,000, or nearly two-thirds of the amount. Therefore indiscreet when he drew attention to the people of Canada to this fact. Content, apparently, with a general feeling at the Government, he charged with an abuse of the Superannuation Act, adding duty, manumission of slaves, imposing a tax on salt, and damaging the sale of bar. The charge against the present Government was that in 1872-3 the expenditure was \$19,100,000, while in 1873-4 it was \$18,744,400, a saving of \$355,600, while in 1874-5 it was \$18,400,000, a saving of \$344,400 more. In 1875-6 it was \$18,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1876-7 it was \$17,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1877-8 it was \$17,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1878-9 it was \$17,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1879-80 it was \$16,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1880-1 it was \$16,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1881-2 it was \$16,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1882-3 it was \$16,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1883-4 it was \$15,700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1884-5 it was \$15,400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1885-6 it was \$15,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1886-7 it was \$14,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1887-8 it was \$14,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1888-9 it was \$14,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1889-90 it was \$13,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1890-1 it was \$13,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1891-2 it was \$13,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1892-3 it was \$13,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1893-4 it was \$12,700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1894-5 it was \$12,400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1895-6 it was \$12,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1896-7 it was \$11,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1897-8 it was \$11,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1898-9 it was \$11,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1899-0 it was \$10,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1900-1 it was \$10,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1901-2 it was \$10,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1902-3 it was \$10,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1903-4 it was \$9,700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1904-5 it was \$9,400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1905-6 it was \$9,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1906-7 it was \$8,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1907-8 it was \$8,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1908-9 it was \$8,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1909-10 it was \$7,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1910-11 it was \$7,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1911-12 it was \$7,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1912-13 it was \$7,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1913-14 it was \$6,700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1914-15 it was \$6,400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1915-16 it was \$6,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1916-17 it was \$5,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1917-18 it was \$5,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1918-19 it was \$5,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1919-20 it was \$4,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1920-21 it was \$4,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1921-22 it was \$4,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1922-23 it was \$4,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1923-24 it was \$3,700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1924-25 it was \$3,400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1925-26 it was \$3,100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1926-27 it was \$2,800,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1927-28 it was \$2,500,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1928-29 it was \$2,200,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1929-30 it was \$1,900,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1930-31 it was \$1,600,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1931-32 it was \$1,300,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1932-33 it was \$1,000,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1933-34 it was \$700,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1934-35 it was \$400,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1935-36 it was \$100,000, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1936-37 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1937-38 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1938-39 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1939-40 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1940-41 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1941-42 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1942-43 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1943-44 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1944-45 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1945-46 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1946-47 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1947-48 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1948-49 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1949-50 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1950-51 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1951-52 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1952-53 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1953-54 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1954-55 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1955-56 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1956-57 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1957-58 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1958-59 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1959-60 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1960-61 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1961-62 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1962-63 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1963-64 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1964-65 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1965-66 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1966-67 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1967-68 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1968-69 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1969-70 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1970-71 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1971-72 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1972-73 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1973-74 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1974-75 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1975-76 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1976-77 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1977-78 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1978-79 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1979-80 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1980-81 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1981-82 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1982-83 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1983-84 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1984-85 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1985-86 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1986-87 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1987-88 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1988-89 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1989-90 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1990-91 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1991-92 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1992-93 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1993-94 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1994-95 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1995-96 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1996-97 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1997-98 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1998-99 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 1999-00 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2000-01 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2001-02 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2002-03 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2003-04 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2004-05 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2005-06 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2006-07 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2007-08 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2008-09 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2009-10 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2010-11 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2011-12 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2012-13 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2013-14 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2014-15 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2015-16 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2016-17 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2017-18 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2018-19 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2019-20 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2020-21 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2021-22 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2022-23 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2023-24 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2024-25 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2025-26 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2026-27 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2027-28 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2028-29 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2029-30 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2030-31 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2031-32 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2032-33 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2033-34 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2034-35 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2035-36 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2036-37 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2037-38 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2038-39 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2039-40 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2040-41 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2041-42 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2042-43 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2043-44 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2044-45 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2045-46 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2046-47 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2047-48 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2048-49 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2049-50 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2050-51 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2051-52 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2052-53 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2053-54 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2054-55 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2055-56 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2056-57 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2057-58 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2058-59 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2059-60 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2060-61 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2061-62 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2062-63 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2063-64 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2064-65 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2065-66 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2066-67 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2067-68 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2068-69 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2069-70 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2070-71 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2071-72 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2072-73 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2073-74 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2074-75 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2075-76 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2076-77 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2077-78 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2078-79 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2079-80 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2080-81 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2081-82 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2082-83 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2083-84 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2084-85 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2085-86 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2086-87 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2087-88 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2088-89 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2089-90 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2090-91 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2091-92 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2092-93 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2093-94 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2094-95 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2095-96 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2096-97 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2097-98 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2098-99 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2099-00 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2100-01 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2101-02 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2102-03 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2103-04 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2104-05 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2105-06 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2106-07 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2107-08 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2108-09 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2109-10 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2110-11 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2111-12 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2112-13 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2113-14 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2114-15 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2115-16 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2116-17 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2117-18 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2118-19 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2119-20 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2120-21 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2121-22 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2122-23 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2123-24 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2124-25 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2125-26 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2126-27 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2127-28 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2128-29 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2129-30 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2130-31 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2131-32 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2132-33 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2133-34 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2134-35 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2135-36 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2136-37 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2137-38 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2138-39 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2139-40 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2140-41 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2141-42 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2142-43 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2143-44 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2144-45 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2145-46 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2146-47 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2147-48 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2148-49 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2149-50 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2150-51 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2151-52 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2152-53 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2153-54 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2154-55 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2155-56 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2156-57 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2157-58 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2158-59 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2159-60 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2160-61 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2161-62 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2162-63 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2163-64 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2164-65 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2165-66 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2166-67 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2167-68 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2168-69 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2169-70 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2170-71 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2171-72 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2172-73 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2173-74 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2174-75 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2175-76 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2176-77 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2177-78 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2178-79 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2179-80 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2180-81 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2181-82 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2182-83 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2183-84 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2184-85 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2185-86 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2186-87 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2187-88 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2188-89 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2189-90 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2190-91 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2191-92 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2192-93 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2193-94 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2194-95 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2195-96 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2196-97 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2197-98 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2198-99 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2199-00 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2200-01 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2201-02 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2202-03 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2203-04 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2204-05 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2205-06 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2206-07 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2207-08 it was \$0, a saving of \$300,000 more. In 2208-09 it was \$0, a saving