

THE WEEKLY BRITISH WHIG.

"OPIFER PER ORBEM DICOR."

VOL. XVIII.

KINGSTON, CANADA, FRIDAY EVENING, AUGUST 10, 1849.

NO. 31.

EXTRACTS FROM ENGLISH PAPERS.

THE HOUSE OF LORDS AND THE JEWISH BILL.

(From the London Times.)

It is a strange contest on which the Peers have entered with the citizens of London. They seem determined to reject the candidate chosen by the voices of the electors of the City as often as he shall be chosen; and the electors are determined to choose him as often as he shall be rejected. Where is a struggle of this sort, conducted as it has been, to end? Push it to extremes—as masters now stand—and the Peers must be deprived of their power, or the City of its representative. Meanwhile, and whilst the hot contest is engaged, a plan of the campaign would appear to this:

The citizens of London elect Baron Rothschild annually as their representative; Parliament annually meets; the Queen comes annually down, and recommends the two Houses "to reconsider the Jewish Disabilities," or, in other words, to remove them; Baron Rothschild annually cannot take his seat; Lord John annually introduces what is called a "Jew Bill," which is opposed on two grounds—it is stated annually—that, first, that the admission of a Jew would nationalize the House of Commons; and secondly, that a few, on historical grounds, is not an English citizen. The Commons, nevertheless, annually pass the Jew Bill in question by an overwhelming and increasing majority. It is then sent up to the Lords, and annually rejected by them on the grounds stated above. But mark the conclusion. Baron Rothschild accepts the Chiltern Hundreds. There is annually a fresh election for the City of London. The citizens meet in their Guild-hall, and the question at issue ceases to be the election of Baron Rothschild; in place of this, others and much more important points, such as the position and value of the Peers as a constituent element of the Legislature, the propriety of permitting the Bishops to retain seats in the House of Lords, and so forth, are debated. In reading over the report of the proceedings at the Guildhall yesterday, one is a little reminded of certain rhetorical flourishes that used to appear in our columns about 15 months since from the vicinity of John Street. But the speakers are no longer crack-brained, rambling Chartists, hungry and unchristianized; they are now selected from a very different caste. Now, we find aldermen, merchants, and members of Parliament committing themselves to a contest with the Peers and Bishops, now hurling at them the fiery invective, now picking them with the oily insinuation. Mr. Raikes Currie gives the preference to the latter of these two methods.

"I have not," said that gentleman yesterday to the electors, "one word to say against the House of Lords; they have exercised an undoubted constitutional right; and I, for one, do not desire to displace one so learned that splendid plume that waves above them; but this I do say, that they are thinking and reasoning men, and that the majority will tell that these plumes, great as they are, may be purloined at too dear a price." Mr. Dillon was much farther. "I cannot be supposed as desecrating the House of Lords; but let them not forget themselves. (Cheers.) Let them remember that the people of England have rights as well as themselves. (Cheers.) Let them not raise that awful question between the people and the aristocracy—let them not raise up an awful bar between castes and distinctions of society—let them remember their place, and we, the citizens of London, will remember ours. (Cheers.)" And so the speech goes on, and is followed by other speeches delivered in the same feeling and tone. For ourselves, we will have nothing to do with Chartism, whether it come from Alderman or MP's, or regular itinerant professors; but we wish to show what a spirit it is the Peers have evoked, and how insufficient and unsatisfactory is the system of tactics upon which they carry on their campaign.

They might have adopted one of two courses. They might have saved their honor or carried their point. It is clear now that the feeling of the country is in favor of the removal of the last remaining religious restriction. The Peers, then, once satisfied of this fact, might have allowed the bill to pass without any pressure from without,—and there would have been an end of the matter. No one could have called this an unfair direction of opinion, unless he could show that the Peers had the power to maintain a successful opposition in the teeth of the Queen, Commons, and people. That they might have done, or if their own views they might have done better. If they rejected the bill once again, they—at least the party whose views they represented—should have prepared a suitable candidate to oppose Baron Rothschild on the hustings. The Guildhall is the bugle-horn of the Great Jew Bill campaign. What would have been the probability of forcing the hand of the Peers next session, had a great Christian merchant—a Baring, a Josey Lloyd, or a man of that stamp—been generated to oppose Baron Rothschild? The men of those gentlemen who had charge of the election, have managed matters so expertly that they have thrown the game entirely into the hands of their opponents. It time doubt, the Jew Bill shall pass—the Peers have put themselves in a completely false position—and the Christian candidate put forward, however amiable and suitable a person in many respects, has not one peculiar quality of birth, position, acquirements, or capacity which entitles him to stand forth and contest the suffrages of the city of London. An attempt of Alderman Thompson to wash Sir R. India for the University of Oxford would be distinguished by much the same sort of prolixity as Lord John Manners' "oxotico cravat into the region of bankers, merchants, traders, and purely commercial men.

Not only is every peculiarity wanting in Lord John Manners which should distinguish a candidate in such a cause, but there is one consideration which should alone have deterred him from coming forward. If this—as he tells us in his address—is to be beclouded between a patrician and a plebeian, Lord and Commoner, Lord John Manners should never have stood out as the champion of the Peers—for he is one of themselves. The candidate of such a person carries no weight with the electors no dubious vote, excites no latent enthusiasm. We have in common, we believe, with most men, a very sincere respect for Lord John Manners. The integrity and soundness of his life, the humanity and kind-heartedness of his actions and opinions, disarming the hostility even of his opponents. But what are these young nobleman's pretensions to represent the City of London? It is a constituency that should be represented either by the very first men in the country, or by men of great local qualifications. Can Lord John Manners arrogate to himself either one or other of these characteristics? What is to be done? that is to keep his party to

gether? Aversion to Baron Rothschild and the Jew Bill? The aversion excited by either the Candidate or the Bill is slight indeed, and yet is upon this feeling alone Lord Manners can depend for success. The best and wisest course he could adopt would be to save the citizens the trouble and annoyance and trouble of a contest by instant retirement. To persevere in so hopeless a contest is but to cover his own cause with additional ridicule.

TERrible Suffering at Sea.—By the Emma Sherriff, arrived yesterday from Sidney, particulars have been received relative to the loss of the British ship Sarah Cripe Captain Taylor, and the appalling sufferings of the crew, 13 of whom perished from starvation. The Emma Sherriff, on her outward voyage to Hong Kong, in lat. 17 20 north, long. 116 5 east, partaking a tempest in distress, bore down to her assistance, and she proved to be the unfortunate vessel in question. She was took laden and water-logged, almost a perfect wreck; her masts were gone, as well as every thing on deck. With much care, 19 persons in a most shocking state of exhaustion were taken off the wreck. They proved to be Capt. Taylor, the master; Mr. Long, the chief mate, and 17 seamen. Their sufferings had been truly awful. They had been 27 nights and 10 days on the wreck with nothing to subsist on excepting a monkey and two fishes, and all the water they had was about a couple of buckets, which they caught with some old canvas. The second mate and 12 seamen, had died from want of food. The ship's loss was attributed to a plank starting, as she sailed in a very short time and turned over on her side. The crew jumped on her beam as he turned over, and there held on until daylight, when they succeeded in righting the wreck by cutting away the masts. Her poop and forecastles were washed away. None of the provisions that were below could be procured. The wreck is supposed to have passed and disappeared soon after the Emma Sherriff left. The vessel and cargo were insured to the amount of £20,000.—London Globe.

SPAIN.
(From the London Times.)

We have never concurred in the views of those politicians who would regulate the internal relations of the crown of England by something like the abdication of the United States, and determine the course of one of the most laborious departments of government by closing the Foreign Office, and breaking off the diplomatic relations of this country with the world. We hold, on the contrary, that the authority of this country—the political opinion and the ethical influence of this country—an elemental element in the great fabric of European power, and that it can neither be withdrawn nor abused without detriment to the dignity of the nation, and to the permanent interests of mankind. The principles of authority, the faith of treaties, the law of nations, and the cause of peace, can it dispense in these times with the support of England. That there have been recent instances neither few nor number not insignificant in importance, or which the temporary expedient of a general suspension of the British Government is less calculated to give influence to the British Government in foreign states, than the use to which that influence has been unwise and unscrupulous turned.

These observations are specially excited by the continued interruption of our relations with the Court of Spain by the present position of the Spanish Cabinet. For the first time since the death of Ferdinand VII., we now see the Government of the Peninsula absolutely severed from the terms of internal factions and the dictation of external allies. The insurrections which have sprung to the democratic passions of the great majority, or which languish amongst the Carter bands of Catalonia, have been equally and effectively suppressed. The royalists—though still a large and influential party—have been driven from the battle of Vizcaya, a foreign expedition has sold from the harbours of Spain to take part of a great Catholic Power in the affairs of Italy. The Minister of Finance, who was the first to render order to that important department and to provide for the current expenditure of the state, has brought down the Cortes a bill intended to improve the revenue and to reform the commercial policy of Spain by the introduction of a tariff favorable to foreign trade, and to the lawful exchange of commodities which have hitherto enriched no one but the smuggler. Even the foreign bondholders may rest his hopes on the success of M. Mon. Laval, and as the fullest proof of the comparative security and peace which the king does not happily enjoy, an amnesty has been proposed above all conditions of reparation, which opens the frontier of Spain to personal enemies of the Ministry, to the exiled opponents of the cabinet, and even to the Pretender and his partisans. No wide and unscrupulous act of grace is the best answer that could be made to the fears, the suspicions, and the anxious predictions which it has been the fashion of some English statesmen to heap on the administration of General Narvaez and his colleagues.

It would have been a just subject of pride and gratification to us if these creditable measures had been encouraged by the countenance of the country but the value of such measures are greatly augmented, not only in the eyes of Spain, but of Europe, by their exclusively national character; and it is impossible not to connect them with the events of last year, which, by different means, relieved Spain from that interference, both of France and England, which had been but the instruments of the cabal, and even to the Pretender and his partisans. No wide and unscrupulous act of grace is the best answer that could be made to the fears, the suspicions, and the anxious predictions which it has been the fashion of some English statesmen to heap on the administration of General Narvaez and his colleagues.

It would have been a just subject of pride and gratification to us if these creditable measures had been encouraged by the countenance of the country but the value of such measures are greatly augmented, not only in the eyes of Spain, but of Europe, by their exclusively national character; and it is impossible not to connect them with the events of last year, which, by different means, relieved Spain from that interference, both of France and England, which had been but the instruments of the cabal, and even to the Pretender and his partisans. No wide and unscrupulous act of grace is the best answer that could be made to the fears, the suspicions, and the anxious predictions which it has been the fashion of some English statesmen to heap on the administration of General Narvaez and his colleagues.

We received our Hong Kong exchanges, to the 24th April, by the last English packet. It appears that the Chinese Government have perceptibly refused to fulfil the terms of the treaty entered into with Sir John Davis, in 1847, by which it was agreed that on the 6th April 1849, the city of Canton should be opened to British subjects on the 6th instant, and directing "that no British subject shall for the present attempt to enter the city."

The Chinese have got a copy of the official notification intimating that their Government had "declined to enter into effect the stipulations entered into with His Majesty's late Plenipotentiary and King of France, and the Chinese Commissioner, by which it was agreed that the city of Canton should be open to British subjects on the 6th instant," and directing "that no British subject shall for the present attempt to enter the city." They are dissatisfied with the terms used, and a will give little surprise to hear that an attempt will be made to convert the British Plenipotentiary to abandon all claim to the entry now or hereafter. Trade is still suspended; and this is the lever with which the Chinese politicians expect to overturn a convention entered into with one of the most powerful nations on the earth. It is true that our apparent timidity encourages the wilder propositions; and the five parts of sedition in the manifesto of the *sang-kuei* will possibly be counted upon, ridiculous as they are—if not denounced openly, as they will be to make us wrench them from the *tsung-tzu*, which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections numerous instances of persons, who having attended the funeral of a friend or more speaking acquaintance, have gone home sick, and died in the course of a few hours! Were the good English practice adhered to during the prevalence of the epidemic, of inviting none to funerals but the relations and intimate friends of the deceased, it would save to society the lives of many valuable members. The risk of the matter is, we cannot possibly use to many precautions against the contagion as is consistent with decency and respect for the dead; at all events carefully to avoid coming in contact with the effluvia of it, corpse, than which nothing is more malignantly fatal. How often can our trade recall to their own recollections