THE WEEKLY BRITISH WHIG.

"OPIFER PER ORBEM DICOR."

VOL. XVIII. KINGSTON, CANADA, FRIDAY EVENING, JULY 20, 1849. NO. 28.

Imperial Parliament

SPEECH OF LORD STANLEY IN THE HOUSE OF LORDS.

June 14th, 1849.

Before he addressed himself to the particular circumstances of this case, he must advert to one or two topics adverted to by the noble earl with regard to the exercise of power, by the ministers of the crown, over the advisers of the colonial governor in a colony in which responsible government had been introduced. By responsible government, he meant the government of a party; and what he had seen had not tended to shake or alter the opinion that government by a party was by no means likely to contribute to the good administration or successful management of affairs; but he frankly admitted that party government having been introduced into Canada, whatever might have been his a priori impressions or the impressions of any other Secretary of State, he was bound, as far as he could, to give effect to the responsible system of government; and he could hardly give stronger proof of his own conscientious adhesion to that principle than that he offered no opposition, in the spirit of responsible government, to the admission of Lafontaine into the administration of Canada. He might have felt upon many grounds that admission was most objectionable, but the principle being laid down that the advisers of the crown in a colony must be men who possessed the confidence of the legislature of the colony, he felt, and his distinguished friend, Lord Metcalfe, felt, that he had no alternative but to adopt the principle and accept the measure which was the choice of the majority; but he went a step further, and said that a minister, be he who he might, was absolutely to dictate the course of the government, that he was to exercise an unlimited control over the person who was nominally the representative of her majesty, but who, under such a system, would be a tool of the local administration. He said that that system, so carried, on was utterly and wholly inconsistent with the idea of responsibility to the sovereign in this country or in parliament. It was the principle of absolute, entire independence. If the governor had nothing to do but obey the orders of his responsible advisers, it was a farce to talk of his being responsible to the Secretary of State here, the Secretary of State being responsible to parliament for any opinion expressed, or any act performed by the Governor General of Canada under such advisers. It might be asked how were local self-government and the authority of the crown to be made to act together. If both were pushed to an extreme, it was impossible. Local self-government, entire and without exception excluded the authority of the crown, as the absolute authority of the crown would exclude local self-government. The only difficulty as applied to responsible government was to state the case intelligently so as to enable parliament to form a judgement of the nature of the questions on which it was politic, wise, and expedient for the introduction of the authority of the crown through the Secretary of State. But if it were laid down that on no occasion ought that authority to be interposed, and that a bill which had received the sanction of the local legislature was therefore necessarily to pass, then the constitution of Canada, far from being the constitution of this country, or anything approaching to it, was a constitution infinitely more democratic, more absolutely and purely democratic, than that of the United States. If this principle were laid down that the prime ministers was to advise the Governor on every question, and that his advice was to be implicitly followed—that he, being a man who had the full control of the House of Assembly, was to advise the crown on all questions, including the appointment of members to fill the Legislative Council, the Governor, the crown itself, or the one individual who, for the time being, happened to have, and who was prepared to make an unscrupulous use of, his power in the House of Assembly [Hear]—Hear! Could anybody not expected to see the time when, in a short conservative space, a second elective chamber would be a check on the democratic chamber? but he had on democratic principles a infinitely greater check on democracy than a Legislative Council appointed we like, by filling up from time to time with the nominees of the minister for the time being, and thereby converting it into a body whose only duty was to register the acts of the other House of Assembly. It was not right to be a mere matter between the popular feeling and the authority of the crown; it was an error under the circumstances of the present ministry's power, the number had been recently by the addition 12 members, all of the same political opinions and introduced, not for the purpose of carrying this or that specific measure, but for the purpose of supporting the administration by the use of supporting the administration by the use of supporting the administration by the use