

MOTHER GAVE THIS DELICATE CHILD VINOL

And He Got Well and Strong. That's True

Monaca, Pa.—My little boy, who is the youngest of three, was weak, nervous and tired all the time, so he was most unfit at school and nothing seemed to help him. I learned of Vinol and gave it to him. It has restored his health and strength and he has gained in weight.—Mrs. Frederick Sommer, Monaca, Pa.

Wood's Phosphorine. The Great English Remedy. Tones and invigorates the whole nervous system, makes new blood in old veins, cures nervous debility, mental and brain worry, dizziness, loss of energy, palpitation of the heart, falling memory.

Also at the best drugist in all Ontario towns.

Keys Wanted

Customers holding keys for the cabinet of silver are asked to return these at once to be fitted, so the cabinet can be awarded to the holder of the lucky key, and keys must be returned to the main store.

Best's

Popular Drug Store. Phone 50. Branch 2018

With the Fingers! Says Corns Lift Out Without Any Pain

Soft corns, hard corns, soft corns or any kind of a corn can be lifted right out with the fingers if you will apply directly upon the corn a few drops of freezeone, says a Cincinnati authority.

It is claimed that at small cost one can get a quarter of an ounce of freezeone at any drug store, which is sufficient to rid one's feet of every corn or callus without pain or soreness or the danger of infection.

This new drug is an ether compound, and while sticky, dries the moment it is applied and does not inflame or even irritate the surrounding tissue.

This announcement will interest many women here, for it is said that the present high-heeled footwear is putting corns on practically every woman's feet.

Hockey Boots

Fireproof buildings are protected with fire escapes just the same.

PIMPLES AND RUNNING SORES WOULD HOLD HEAD DOWN FACE WAS SUCH A SIGHT.

Pimples are caused by the blood being out of order. Those festering and running sores appear on the forehead, on the nose, on the chin and other parts of the body, and although they are not a dangerous trouble they are very unsightly to both you and your friends.

There is only one way to get rid of this obnoxious skin trouble and that is by giving the blood a thorough cleansing by the use of Burdock Blood Bitters.

This sterling remedy has been on the market for over 40 years, during which time we have received thousands of testimonials as to its curative powers over all skin diseases.

Mrs. Victor G. Fry, North Battleford, Sask., writes: "I used Burdock Blood Bitters when I was about eighteen. I was so bad with pimples and running sores, that when I went down town I would hang my head down when I would see anyone coming, my face was such a sight. I got two bottles, and my face began to clear, so I kept on until I had beautiful complexion. I have recommended it to everyone who is in a rundown condition, as it builds up the blood, and when the blood is at the face is clear."

The Sawyer Shoe Store

THE LATEST NEWS BRIEFLY GIVEN

Despatches That Come From Near And Distant Places.

TIDINGS FOR OUR READERS

PRESENTED IN THE BRIEFEST POSSIBLE FORM.

The Whig's Daily Condensation of the News of the World From Telegraph Service and Newspaper Exchange.

The day of prayer was observed Sunday all over the Empire.

Canada and the United States may pool their food resources for the general benefit of the Allies.

Toronto drug clerks are persisting in their efforts to get an earlier hour of closing for the drug stores.

J. H. Senkler, K.C., of Vancouver, is likely to be selected to fill the vacant British Columbia senatorship.

Toronto ferry wharves were burned during a big blizzard Sunday night. Forty thousand dollars damage was done.

At Dallas, Texas, Cadet Jas. F. Dick, Tarrytown, N.Y., was instantly killed when his airplane crashed from a height of 300 feet.

Emergency shipments of coal to Canada have been arranged for by Fuel Controller Magrath, who has been in Washington and New York.

A recommendation that the study of all foreign languages be discontinued in New York's elementary schools is before the board of education.

Another earthquake in Guatemala on Friday probably cost as many lives and as great damage as the first shock which destroyed part of the city a few days ago.

At London, Ont., Robert Cash, a retired contractor, fell out of a tree and was killed. He was father-in-law of "Jojo" Keenan, former Canadian League ball player.

The United States Government may take over the meat industry of the country in order to control the three principal necessities of war times—meat, coal and transportation.

At Greenfield, Mass., on Jan. 5th, Archdeacon Charles J. Sullivan, for the last twenty years prominent Episcopal missionary work in the western Massachusetts Diocese, dropped dead.

The life of the present Ontario Legislative Assembly comes to a legal end on Monday, Jan. 7.

Unless special legislation is forthcoming, a general election is bound to occur some time in June.

The School of Military Aeronautics established at the University of Toronto by the Royal Flying Corps is reported to be the best equipped in the Empire.

The instructors are men who have fought the Hun airmen in France.

Capt. Rosswell Morris Burgess, Canadian Army Dental Corps, died at the Base Hospital, Toronto, on Saturday. Since returning from Camp Borden in the fall, Capt. Burgess had been in poor health, due to high blood pressure. Death resulted directly from a chill contracted two weeks ago.

Get Kerensky's Money. Petrograd, Jan. 7.—The Bolshevik authorities announced that they have discovered \$17,000,000 rubles held in banks to the credit of former Premier Kerensky. The money probably will be spent for the benefit of victims of the revolution which overthrew the Kerensky regime.

AMERICAN IS KNIGHTED. Major Wm. N. McMillan Performed Unusual Services.

St. Louis, Mo., Jan. 7.—Major William Northrup McMillan, formerly of this city, well-known African hunter, who since 1915 has been an officer in the British army, has been knighted by King George for unusual services.

Sir Edward Morris Becomes Baron. London, Jan. 7.—It is announced that the King has conferred a baronetcy of the United Kingdom upon Sir Edward Morris, late Prime Minister of Newfoundland.

Fireproof buildings are protected with fire escapes just the same.

PIMPLES AND RUNNING SORES WOULD HOLD HEAD DOWN FACE WAS SUCH A SIGHT.

Pimples are caused by the blood being out of order. Those festering and running sores appear on the forehead, on the nose, on the chin and other parts of the body, and although they are not a dangerous trouble they are very unsightly to both you and your friends.

There is only one way to get rid of this obnoxious skin trouble and that is by giving the blood a thorough cleansing by the use of Burdock Blood Bitters.

This sterling remedy has been on the market for over 40 years, during which time we have received thousands of testimonials as to its curative powers over all skin diseases.

Mrs. Victor G. Fry, North Battleford, Sask., writes: "I used Burdock Blood Bitters when I was about eighteen. I was so bad with pimples and running sores, that when I went down town I would hang my head down when I would see anyone coming, my face was such a sight. I got two bottles, and my face began to clear, so I kept on until I had beautiful complexion. I have recommended it to everyone who is in a rundown condition, as it builds up the blood, and when the blood is at the face is clear."

The Sawyer Shoe Store

DR. J. T. EDWARDS NOW ENDORSES IT

Prominent Georgia Physician Recommends Tanlac to His Patients.

Dr. J. T. Edwards, of Fayetteville, Ga., one of the best-known members of the medical profession in the state of Georgia, makes a statement that will undoubtedly produce a profound impression throughout America.

"In my thirty years of active practice as a licensed physician in the State of Georgia," says Dr. Edwards, "I have never seen anything to equal Tanlac as a medicine to produce results. I have seen the most wonderful of the benefits they have derived from its use."

"Tanlac is simply the talk of my town. I have no hesitancy in recommending the medicine; and as a matter of fact, I am prescribing it for my patients almost every day."

"Only a few days ago a well-known woman of Fayetteville came to me and told me about the remarkable relief her daughter had gained from the use of this medicine. She said her daughter had been confined to her bed for three years with what had been pronounced pellagra, and that after using Tanlac for a short time she was able to be about, and was on the road to recovery."

"But this is only one instance. People in all walks of life and in all parts of the state are giving similar endorsements to the medicine. Seldom a day passes that someone does not come to me and say something about the good results they are getting from the use of Tanlac."

"Several unusual gains in weight have been reported by some of our most prominent people, and I have treated some stubborn cases of indigestion, catarrhal conditions, and organic disorders and secured splendid results with Tanlac."

Tanlac is sold in Kingston by A. P. Chown.

KILLED AT PEMBROKE

Joseph Tuer Crushed by Falling Shafting

Pembroke, Jan. 7.—An unfortunate accident occurred on Friday afternoon at the plant of the Pembroke Shook Mills, whereby Joseph Tuer, a native of Ottawa, met almost instant death. Deceased had only been here since New Year's, and was employed as a filer in the plant.

He was putting on a belt when the hanger and shafting fell and fatally injured him. He lived for about half an hour. Permission was granted by the coroner, and the body was sent to his home at Ottawa.

Arrested on Perjury Charge.

Brookville, Jan. 4.—James Robert Hunt, South River, a discharged soldier who a short time ago was united in marriage to Mrs. Caroline Stoddard, was placed under arrest charged with perjury, as it is alleged he has had wife living in London, Ont. and swore he was a widower in order to procure a marriage license.

Hunt stated that the maiden name of wife was Clara Chrysler.

London, and that they were married in Toronto. He never secured a legal separation, although their path through wedded life was not strewn with roses. Accused is about twenty-seven years of age.

Belleville Officer's D.S.O.

Belleville, Jan. 7.—Lt.-Col. William R. Rierdon, who has been given a D.S.O., is a Belleville officer, who organized artillery in this city before the outbreak of the war. He has been serving with the Imperial artillery for the past two years on the western front, but has now been moved to the Italian front. He received honorable mention last year.

Tommy's Will.

"I was looking through a man's dossier the other day," a medical friend in khaki tells the Westminster Gazette, "when an unusual paper attracted my attention. It was inscribed outside in Gothic characters: 'My last Will and Testament.' Inside, without preamble, was the following:—(1) My Will: bequeath, in the event of my being killed, everything I have, and that ain't much, and it will be less by the time it gets back home, to my mother, Mrs. Miss Daisy. (2) My Testament:—which mother gave me, I give to my sweetheart, Miss Daisy. She don't know I'm sweet on her, but I ain't that all. (Signed) Tommy."

Origin of Calico.

The word "calico" has a strange origin. Many centuries ago a monarch of the province of Malabar, in Hindustan, gave to one of his chiefs as a reward for distinguished services his sword and all the land within the limit of which a cock crows at a certain temple could be heard. From this circumstance the little town which grew up in the centre of this territory was called Calicoed, or "the cock crowing." Afterwards it was called Calicut, and from this place the first cotton goods were imported into England bearing the word "calico."

English Apple Crop.

The English apple crop is now being gathered. Until recently apples were practically unobtainable, owing to restricted imports, and prices were up to a shilling (24 cents) a pound wholesale. Owing to the work of men in the heavy orchard districts, and the consequent insufficient spraying of the trees, there is said to be a serious shortage in the crop.

King Runs Hotel.

The King of Wurttemberg draws a net profit of at least £10,000 a year from the hotels he runs in the Black Forest.

IRISH OF TO-DAY. Is He as Sentimental and Rollicking as of Yore?

"What of the Irishman of to-day?" asks George A. Birmingham in "The Lighter Side of Irish Life," which was published in England six years ago.

"Our neighbors across the Channel insist that he is an eloquent sentimentalist, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers, while steadily denying the sentimentality, admit the rollicking for the first half of the last century. But to-day nobody rollicks less than the Irishman, and according to our own writers, no one is freer from sentimentality, preserving, in spite of his sentimentality, a capacity for rollicking on occasion. Our own writers,