

Letters to the Editor

'Tunnel vision' on dog tag issue

Dear Sir:

Heaven help us from editors with tunnel vision. If you are to write editorials like "Higher dog tag fee answer to soaring costs", give us some facts as well as intelligent thinking on a subject. That editorial lacked both.

It appears that some low groans from Kennel Inn precipitated council's discussion on the subject of canine control costs. Kennel Inn, surely, was aware of the mileage involved when they made their initial proposal. If not, one tends to doubt their business experience. Why compare Whitechurch-Stouffville with Aurora or King? We should have been considered as a separate entity when the original costing was done.

My main concern is with the solution council, and you seem to think is viable. Raising the fee for dog tags and heavy fines on strays. One I agree with the other, is suspect. (Quite frankly since the "Dump Fiasco" any "thinking" the council does is to me highly suspect.)

If the tag fees are increased a couple of things can happen. First, common sense indicates that people, a lot of people will just say "hell with it" and go underground. Listen for things like — "Fido died last year" "No we haven't got a dog" "That was my brother's dog, he was visiting from Medicine Hat" etc. etc. To set up a police action and flush these

people out would cost wads of money and create much ill feeling, and probably wouldn't work.

It may well be that council is enamoured with this idea and insists on a fee increase. Then put the burden on the UNSPAYED FEMALE. Our basic problem is dog over-population.

The levying of fines, damn heavy fines, on irresponsible people who let their dogs roam free sounds good. May lose a few votes but that's life.

Let Kennel Inn make every mile it travels pay. If a stray dog is seen — scoop it up. Let the owner know the dog has been arrested, that their lackadaisical attitude is going to cost them money to bail it out.

Dogs are like six year old kids, let them roam free and they will get into trouble and they, like kids, can get hurt. It's not their fault, it's their nature. No dog living should be confined all the time they need exercise, lots of it. Paradoxical? Not really. We live in an area abounding in Conservation Areas and Regional Forests. Need I say more — let the irresponsible owners get off their fat backs and walk their dogs. Don't jog along the highways causing a traffic hazard, jog through the forest trails — with your dog or even a neighbor.

These suggestions are not just off the top of my head. If they seem radical let council (and editors) check with other municipalities, rural and urban who have faced the same problem. Investigate thoroughly before any permanent decisions are made. Remember the Dump.

GORDON W. JONES
R.R. 3, Newmarket

letter and if you do not care too much about animals, you'll probably think I'm crazy. Nevertheless, somebody has to worry about these things. I strongly feel that the dog owner, the responsible dog owner, should not be burdened with constantly rising fees. There are a lot of farmers who never have paid a dog license, never will! They never tie their dogs and are the first ones to complain when sheep and cattle are being harassed.

I hope that you will consider some of the points I have made. Would it be possible to attend a meeting, if there will be one on this subject? If so, could you please let me know when this will take place.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHRISTEL VON RICHTER
R.R. 3, Newmarket

Editor's Note: This is a copy of a letter written to Mayor Gordon Ratcliff.

Reader asks about cat license also

Dear Sir:

In last week's paper mention was made about raising the dog licence tax to cover the cost of looking after dogs running at large, etc. The question in my mind is, why only the dog owner, what about cat owner and all other people who make demands on Kennel Inn for patrol, rabid skunks, groundhogs, etc? I think the whole community uses the Kennel Inn service sometime or other, not just the dog owner. Would it be possible to put a licence fee on cats as well? And why not? There are just as many cats impounded and destroyed as there are dogs, plus cats can be just the same nuisance with their crying at night, ripping garbage apart and killing birds. If the Kennel Inn needs more money to operate, I strongly feel that the cost should be distributed and not just carried by the dog owner.

I am very interested in cats and dogs and attended several meetings last fall when the Humane Society proposed to raise the fees and wanted \$1.50 per capita. Local municipalities found this outrageous and voted in favour of the Kennel Inn set-up, who had planned to be less expensive, provide better service and still make a profit. However, it never seems to work out as planned, and now they find that they need more money. Well, what else is new? I don't mind paying a reasonable amount that goes toward the care of lost and mistreated animals, but the solution is not in picking up every dog that runs loose, impound it, feed it for a week or more and then destroy it. This is what amounts to these enormous costs of running pounds. I would suggest that the council look more into the aspect of population control, because it is just too easy to get a dog from the pounds, through the papers, free to "good" home and just as easy to get rid of them again. I hope that council has the courage to put a considerable high tax on unspayed and unneutered animals and in a few years the problem of animal control should have subsided considerably. This is a long term project, but it is the only one that would work in the long run, plus save a lot of cruelty to dogs and cats. Do you realize that a great majority of people who own female animals, especially dogs, do not even know when their pet comes into heat or how long this lasts, etc? The tax for unspayed animals should be high enough to encourage people to get it done. Breeding Kennel would have to be excluded, I guess, but still their licence should also be raised. \$25.00 does not seem high enough, when you can keep a number of dogs, also do a great deal in overpopulating the dog world, and make great profits at the same time.

I am sorry to bother you with such a long

INTEREST FREE



If you buy a John Deere 36-66 H.P. tractor NOW you can finance it with John Deere and pay no interest until March 1976.

See the full range at
HUTCHINSON FARM SUPPLY
LTD.
Hwy. 48 3 1/2 m. n. of Ringwood.

640-2692

HOLIDAY
TIME IS



Reupholstery Time

GET A NEW LOOK FOR YOUR OLD FURNITURE

WHILE YOU'RE ON HOLIDAY!

1/2 THE PRICE OF A NEW SUITE

5 YEAR GUARANTEE ON WORKMANSHIP

25 YEARS AS LEADER IN THIS AREA!

4 PAYMENTS - NO EXTRA CHARGE - FREE ESTIMATES

TOROMARK

FURNITURE

MARKHAM

297

2055

93 1/4 %

Guaranteed Investment Certificates.

S

STANDARD TRUST COMPANY

8 Wellington St. W.
Markham, Ontario
294-6865

A FEDERALLY CHARTERED
COMPANY
MEMBER CANADA DEPOSIT
INSURANCE CORPORATION

Utility study started

YORK REGION — The Regional Municipality of York has become the site of the province's second major study into the revamping of electrical utilities.

The first study is already underway in Waterloo.

Meeting in Newmarket Aug. 20, the provincial steering committee on restructuring ratified members of a local study team to analyze the operations of York's nine municipal utilities and the Ontario

Hydro rural service area and recommend a more streamlined electrical service for the area.

S.A. Baldwin of

Ancaster, chairman of

the steering committee,

confirmed G.E. Wright,

regional chairman; Mrs.

Gladys Rolling, mayor of

East Gwillimbury; Bob

Forhan, mayor of

Newmarket; Garnet

Williams, mayor of

Vaughan; W.S. Cook,

chairman of Richmond

Hill Hydro; D.V. Mc-

Nabb, chairman of

Markham PUC; Don

Glass, chairman of

Aurora Hydro and H.

O'Neill, chairman of

the York Regional Hydro

Association. Labour

representatives will be

named later.

Mr. Baldwin said he

was pleased that the

Regional Municipality of

York had taken the

initial early and had requested a utility restructuring study. He expressed confidence that both customers and utility employees in the region would contribute to the study and that the final result would be more streamlined utility operations.

ANNOUNCING

THE NEW

LEN WILKES CLOTHES SHOP

With 26 Years Experience To Serve You Better

WOMEN'S FASHIONS GENTLEMEN'S APPAREL AND GIFTS FOR INFANTS

LEN WILKES

CLOTHES SHOP

OPEN MON. TO SAT. 9:30-6:00 FRI. UNTIL 9:00

39 MAIN ST. STOUFFVILLE

640-2745

High Interest Guaranteed Investment Certificates!

9 3/4 %
5 YR.

STOUFFVILLE DISTRICT
CREDIT UNION LTD.
96 MAIN W. — STOUFFVILLE — 640-2811

HEALTH, BUDGET & BACK-TO-SCHOOL

HERE ARE JUST A FEW OF THE
GREAT
SAVINGS
EFFECTIVE UNTIL SEPT. 13th

GUARDIAN
guards your health
guards your budget!

GUARDIAN DRUGS

SAVINGS

WEEKLY

WEEKLY