

## Letters to the Editor

### Long-standing citizens passively quiet

Dear Sir:  
It appears that once again Stouffville is going through a period when a noisy minority is in the driver's seat; this time over an airport, that may, or may not, ever be built.

At the same time, the long-standing citizens who have spent many years here and done a pretty good job of forming this pleasant community are passively silent.

The alarmists are having a field-day, with wild slogans and stories, all with little or no substance in fact. Local politicians, too, are making hay. After all, you would hardly expect Sinclair Stevens or Don Deacon to do anything

else but knock the airport when the proposal for it comes from the governments in power, to which neither of them belong. This makes real political meat for them.

The fashion today is to be a knocker and the group in and around Stouffville are making the most of it.

If even half this energy could be expended in co-operation with the planners to see that the proposed airport runways are pointed in the right direction, that a proper access road is provided to Claremont, it would mean something. After all, planes landing in an east-west direction south of Dickson's Hill, or north-south east of the 2nd of

Uxbridge, are going to give little annoyance to Stouffville. After all, noise from a plane travelling in a parallel direction to the community is insignificant to overhead.

Air travel by Air Canada saw another reasonable increase in 1974, and this is only one airline servicing the Toronto area. Air freight traffic continues to increase by leaps and bounds.

It is highly unlikely that all the noise coming out of Stouffville is beneath contempt.

I'm sure the

moment will have much to do with the decision on the airport. Jobs to be created over seven or eight years of construction with thousands more of a permanent nature, the need to service an expanding travelling public, and the giant disruption of enlarging Malton will undoubtedly be the major factors in the decision. Present economic conditions could reduce the initial stage of the airport or eliminate it, but government must take the long-term view.

GUNTHER HARCOURT

Stouffville

#### Airport protest

### Lying officials, gutless politicians

Dear Sir:  
On Wednesday Feb. 5 Mississauga Council passed a resolution backing fully the proposed new Airport at Pickering.

For 15 years I have enjoyed the lifestyle we have in Pickering and as many others have come to love it. The imposition of an airport there will be devastating, and to think it could have been avoided. We are faced with this monstrosity because gutless politicians allowed continuing expansion of communities close to Malton because officials of Transport Canada lied about the extent of aircraft noise, and because mindless people moved under the flight paths and then acquired an acute sense of hearing. I for one will only listen to the complaints of the people who lived in the area before Malton opened.

It is fine to try to solve problems by attacking Ottawa in the name of progress, but

regards to present noise levels, but for a Council to resolve that another community be thrown to the wolves in an attempt to save their own skins is beneath contempt.

Two Mississauga Councillors labeled as Progressive Conservatives who spearheaded this crazy baby resolution, should ask their friends at Queen's Park about Cedarwood, a new community of 90,000 to be built; you guessed it, 1½ miles from the nearest runway at the new airport!

It is all too clear that the cycle is to begin again. Gutless politicians will allow construction of homes too close. Officials of Transport Canada have and will continue to lie about high noise areas, and all we need to complete the picture is 90,000 poor souls to fill the houses!

KEN SPRATLEY,  
Councillor  
Town of Pickering

"Hell hath no fury like Stouffville removed." All the Gibson report says, in effect, is "O.K. we accept your expert's contention (which cost us \$25,000) that noise levels may be more noticeable in quiet communities than in more urban districts. Therefore the government should offer to buy the property of anyone in the noise zone, who objects to this, and re-sell to people who do not have this objection." What could be fairer than this?

As for the cry that they deceived us, the complainants should refer again to the government supplement, published as a special section of The Tribune, March 24, 1972 — Airport Information. How the new Toronto Airport could affect you. The maps included there clearly show Stouffville and Claremont in the shaded "noise zone". There was little objection voiced then, and hundreds of new residents have bought homes and properties here since that.

Again, what of the sign "Stouffville yes, Noiseville No.", have the noise experts ever measured the decibel level as the giant gravel trucks and transports grind through town, and the snowmobiles howl through the streets and fields, (when we have snow). If noise kills many of us, would have expired long ago, and from traffic noise alone? This noise pollution has been blown up out of all proportion by P.O.P.

Let's meet the problem together.

Together let us stop the airport for a step towards recovery of mankind.

Voice, write telegram, phone your concern to Ottawa and Toronto. Show you really care!

LOVANNA SANDERS  
O'Brien Ave., Stouffville.

the environment, increased spending, uncontrolled and unplanned growth, population increases all contribute to our eventual death sooner than expected.

The plight of Stouffville must make our government — and ourselves look at the global problem — increasing uncontrolled

This is not what we the citizens were informed by the government when the airport was first proposed. We were told that our town would not be affected by the noise — some of us disagreed at that time, i.e. People or Planes.

Fortunately our town council had a study carried out to determine what noise might affect our town. These findings were accepted by the Gibson Inquiry and, in doing so, discredited the previous government findings.

Now we have a problem to deal with. Our lives are threatened — but the problem is so much deeper than the destruction of people's lives, because our town will no longer liveable.

Firstly, I have to deal with the fact that the government has a right to my land, if the use is for the Canadian public.

I cannot accept that taking up prime farmland to build an airport is a benefit to Canadian people.

I cannot accept the fact that some government officials (maybe civil servants) want this area to have more growth. We do not want that growth.

Our planet is struggling to maintain an existence. Destruction of

## Ontario wants you to get your fair share of \$375 million Tax Credits.

Thousands of Ontario residents will share in this year's Tax Credits. Make sure you get your fair share!

To apply, you must file both a Federal Income Tax form (even if you don't pay income tax) and the Ontario Tax Credit form which comes with it.

Any questions? You may, free of charge, dial "0" and ask the operator for Zenith 8-2000. Residents within the Metro Toronto local calling area should dial 965-8470.

Ontario's fair share

William Davis, Premier  
Arthur Meen, Minister of Revenue

TAX CREDIT SYSTEM

FHM751A

Like A Good Neighbour,  
State Farm  
is There.  
For all your  
insurance  
needs  
see  
KEN SHEPHERD  
27 Parkway  
Ave.  
State Farm  
Insurance  
Companies  
Canadian  
Head Office  
Scarborough  
Ontario

## Mr. IGA has a reputation for SERVICE



MR. JACK CHAMBERS

YOUR MR. IGA

STOUFFVILLE



SHOULDER PORTION

BUTT  
PORTION

**78¢ 88¢**

**Fresh! Butt Pork Chops 98¢**

MEATY PREVIOUSLY FROZEN

**Pork Side Spare Ribs 98¢**

PREVIOUSLY FROZEN

**Pork Hocks**

NUTRITIOUS

**Pork Liver** PREVIOUSLY FROZEN

MEATIER ONES FROZEN 2-OZ

**Beef Patties**

MAPLE LEAF READY TO SERVE SMOKED

**Picnic Shoulders**

MAPLE LEAF RINDLESS SLICED

**Side Bacon**

1-LB PKG

1-LB PKG