

Whitchurch police chief requests detective staff

WHITCHURCH TWP.—Less than 30% of all reported cases, classified as major crime, were ever finalized. Police Chief Fred Mason revealed in his 1969 report submitted to Whitchurch Twp. Council, Feb. 16. He said he was far from satisfied with this result and has asked that the department be strengthened through the hiring of three additional officers.

The force, including a secretary, numbers fourteen. The authorized strength is sixteen.

This past year has not been good or even acceptable in respect to the conclusion of major crime, Chief Mason said, less than 30% of our reported cases were finalized. The citizens of Whitchurch are entitled to a better average than this.

The Chief has asked council to consider seriously the setting up of a

permanent detective staff. He also pointed to the problem of drugs, something comparatively new in the area. He noted that officers, fully trained to deal with such matters, were required. "We cannot close our eyes and ignore a situation which will eventually affect, to some degree, every family, not only in Whitchurch, but the entire nation," he continued.

During the year, 4,251 calls were recorded. Occurrences and complaints investigated numbered 3,763.

Officers accumulated 1,657 hours of overtime.

Traffic accidents totalled 342, killing 3 persons and injuring 122. Property damage amounted to \$252,000.

There were 118 reported incidents of breaking and entering, resulting in 36 arrests. Property stolen exceeded \$23,000. The value of property recovered totalled \$5,484.91.

In his concluding remarks, Chief Mason praised the co-operation and devotion to duty of department personnel as well as township and court officials.

Chief Fred Mason

Advocate 5-year park program

STOUFFVILLE — A 5-year park plan, to be drawn up by a professional planner, has been advocated by the Stouffville Recreation Commission for 1970. This was one of several proposals put forward by member Wilfred Morley at a meeting with village council, Feb. 19.

Other projects were—A yearly tree-planting program; re-wiring of floodlights; re-surfacing and floodinglighting of tennis courts; a paved area under the picnic shelter; completion of grandstand dressing rooms; continuation of weed-spraying program; purchase of additional playground equipment and the maintenance of all park property by village employees under the supervision of the Road Superintendent.

Accomplishments listed in 1969 included: The construction of two foot bridges over the creek; a new refreshment booth and \$2,282.06 on salaries.

The Park Board of 1969 operated on budget of just over \$7,000. Out of this amount, \$2,500 was spent on a new refreshment booth and \$2,282.06 on salaries.

Terry Kostuk, of R.R. 3, Claremont, appeared as a crown witness in the trial of his brother, Mel, late in 1969. In the witness stand, he swore that it was he, and not his brother, who was driving a car pursued by the Stouffville Police late one night.

The older Kostuk was convicted on the charge, and his young brother, Terry, was charged with perjury.

Crown Attorney Wm. Kerr told Judge R. G. Pearse that the court should consider giving the accused a substantial jail sentence.

A 27 year old Toronto immigrant worker was caught in a wall of water and

ice as he prepared to leave a sewer construction site in Markham Township, last week. Although finally brought to the surface (shown here), he was pronounced dead by coroner, Dr. P. W. Granton of Richmond Hill. —Peter Harris

Terry Kostuk appeared in Richmond Hill Court, Feb. 20, and pleaded guilty to a reduced charge of obstructing justice.

Crown Attorney Wm. Kerr told Judge R. G. Pearse that the court should consider giving the accused a substantial jail sentence.

Terry Kostuk was convicted on the charge, and his young brother, Terry, was charged with perjury.

Counsel for the accused, David Coon, of Stouffville, saw the matter in a different light.

"This is one of the most difficult problems for a court," he said. "He had nothing to gain—everything to lose. He was using a 16 year old morality and a 16 year old judgment."

Mr. Coon said it was his opinion that the boy should have some form of guidance, such as he would receive if placed on probation.

ment. The accused felt a loyalty to his brother, and somehow, he had to save him. He could not let his brother down."

Mr. Coon said it was his opinion that the boy should have some form of guidance, such as he would receive if placed on probation.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed, he was given one month to pay the fine.

A breathalyzer report showed readings of 2.2 and 2.3.

The accused man told

Judge Pearse he could not

remember any of the events described by the officer. Presently unemployed,