

THE EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN SEMINARY OF CANADA

WATERLOO

ONTARIO

This accounts for why neither I nor others were considered. And in fact I would not consider the job if offered me as long as Dr. Maurer is head of the Board and lives so near. He is always trying to find some fault in order to criticize and has students who attend his church come to his house, where he quizzes them, and after knows things of which the Faculty here knows nothing. He encourages insubordination too. As an instance, last year when some of the students were finding fault with Prof. Stunkel he authorized them to draw up and sign a petition against him and present it to the Board. Only the intervention of Dr. Zurek prevented this from being done. In the first trouble he drew up a petition to have Dr. Zurek stay and gave it to one of his 'lackeys' to get the students' signatures, and this was presented to the Board. On the other hand the members of the Faculty were not asked to give their opinion. You are certainly "looking up the wrong tree" if you think my anti-prohibition views had anything to do with their passing over me. We are all practically a unit on that question up here. There is not a single member of the Board that is a prohibitionist and I doubt if you could find more than three clerical members of the entire Synod that are prohibitionists. In fact to be a prohibitionist is regarded here as a stain upon one's Lutheranism - a veering toward the Methodists or United Church of Canada, whom the Lutherans, Anglicans and Catholics downed in the recent election. In view of the fact that I am now serving the Seminary in my 10th year and of the further fact that I am eating work in the school that I was under no obligation to do, but took it merely to help out, I do not think