

THE DURHAM CHRONICLE

VOL. 44—NO. 2315.

DURHAM, ONT., THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1911.

\$1.00 PER YEAR

Vote for Ball, Borden, Bigger Home Markets and better British Connection.

NEWS AROUND TOWN

Remember Durham Fair, September 26th and 27th. See ad., page 5.

Mr. M. H. Knechtel purchased the J. W. Irwin residence on Countess street.

For sale.—1 parlor cook coal stove, good heater, almost new. For particulars apply at this office.

Archdeacon Dewdney, a former Rector of Trinity church, now of Prince Albert, Saskatchewan, will be here Sunday and likely take part in the services.

Wanted.—A middle aged woman for light housework for two. A good home to suitable person. Apply at once to Mrs. Eva, Bruce street.

Toronto morning papers, and other mail matter comes now by the morning G.T.R. train, and reaches us a little earlier than formerly.

Dr. Brown, eye, ear, nose and throat, will be at the Hahn House Durham, on Saturday, September 16th, from 12 to 5 p. m. Eyes tested and glasses supplied.

We invite you to visit our show-rooms on Tuesday and Wednesday September 19th and 20th, and examine our large stock of trimmed and untrimmed millinery.—Miss Dick

At the political meeting Thursday night, Mr. W. F. Maclean had occasion to bring Mr. Ramage to task for an article which appeared in the Review last week. We don't know how Mr. Ramage himself enjoyed the pointed part of Maclean's address, but his little son, a lad of seven or eight summers, evidently saw the funny side and coming down from the hall he was overheard saying to a companion, "Didn't dad make lots of fun for the folks?" The little chap has a high appreciation of humor, and it evidently didn't take it long to soak in. A surgical operation wasn't necessary in his case

Rev. Mr. McCausland was married on Tuesday, September 5th, to Miss Ada Ethel, daughter of Mr. Samuel Huple, of Oak Lake, Ont. The ceremony was performed at the home of the bride's father, by Rev. W. Arthurs, of Sunderland, a college companion of the groom. The bride was married in white silk, and travelled in a brown Venetian suit. Before returning to Durham the happy couple will spend a few days at Tharbury with the groom's parents, and other friends. We extend congratulations to Rev. and Mrs. McCausland, to whom we wish a long and prosperous journey through life. Mr. McCausland has been pastor of the Baptist church here for the past year or so.

THE STAR'S "HONOR ROLL"

Conservatives who believe in Reciprocity.

From Tuesday's Toronto Star
S. P. SAUNDERS, ex-Reeve of Durham, South Grey, who formerly opposed Mr. Miller, is now enthusiastically supporting reciprocity.

WM. JOHNSTON, for 20 years School Board chairman, and who has worked for the Conservative candidate in South Grey, is now advocating the extension of our markets, and will vote for Miller.

J. McCOLL, a prominent farmer in Glenelg Township, a former Conservative, is now out-spoken for larger markets.

W. WILCOXSON, an old-time Conservative, and successful farmer of Glenelg Township, has declared himself for reciprocity, and will vote for Miller for the first time.

This is a sample of The Toronto Star's "Honor Roll" copied from the issue of September 12th. Mr. Saunders and Mr. Johnston have always been Liberal. Mr. McColl has voted both ways, and Mr. Wilcoxson moved away from Glenelg over twenty years ago, and is dead more than fifteen years.

MR. MILLER SAYS HE DIDN'T SAY "HOGS."

To the Editor of the Chronicle,
Dear Sir,—Please permit me, by this letter, to correct an error made by your reporters in reporting my recent meeting at the Township Hall, Glenelg. Speaking at that meeting, I said that Mr. Brunt, of Hanover, and Mr. Davis, of Durham, had each said, or been reported to have said, that they could give fifty cents more for lambs if it were not for the United States duty. In reporting this, the Chronicle of last week said "hogs" where it should have said "lambs." The mistake was one easily made, and was, of course, quite unintentional on your part, but I desire to have it corrected. I have never heard of either Mr. Brunt or Mr. Wilson having expressed any opinion as to the way in which the duty affects the hog market. I am
Yours truly,
H. H. MILLER.

We give cheerful publicity to the above correction. It is not our desire to misquote anyone. We have no doubt Mr. Miller intended to say "lambs," and that he is of the opinion that he did say "lambs," but our reporter is just as positive that he said "hogs" in the connection referred to. There is a mistake some place, but we are willing to give Mr. Miller the benefit of the doubt. It is quite easy to make an error, either in speaking or in writing. In the above letter, Mr. Miller says, "Mr. Brunt of Hanover, and Mr. Davis, of Durham." We do not think Mr. Miller intended to say "Mr. Davis of Durham," but "Mr. Wilson of Durham." His letter, over his own signature, shows this apparent error, and it is not impossible he might have made a mistake in his township hall address.

In an interview with Mr. Brunt since the report referred to, he tells us he has not shipped a lamb to Buffalo during the past two or three years, and Mr. Wilson told us on Tuesday night it is four years since he shipped any. Both gave as their reasons that the Buffalo market is no good, the prices here being better.

In Mr. Miller's reference to lambs, the whole force of his address was to impress on the farmers that the duty on lambs is a present injury. Mr. Brunt says he may have referred six or seven years ago to the duty being an injury, but never said so since. Why did not Mr. Miller tell the whole truth, even if, as he says, he did say "lambs." It is present day conditions we are dealing with, not with the conditions that existed "six or seven years ago."



A PUBLIC MEETING IN OPPOSITION TO THE Proposed Reciprocity Pact

will be held under the auspices of the Canadian Section of the Imperial Mission in the TOWN HALL, DURHAM on the evening of Wednesday, Sept. 20 at 8 o'clock.

ADDRESSES will be delivered by A. W. WRIGHT and J. E. STARR both of Toronto, from a Canadian and Imperial Non-partisan standpoint DISCUSSION INVITED and Opposition Speakers will be allowed reasonable time.
GOD SAVE THE KING.

WESTERN LIBERAL OPPOSES RECIPROCITY

Edward Brougham, of Lethbridge, Alberta, a Former Liberal and Resident of Egremont Township will Vote against the Pact.

The following letter from Mr. Edward Brougham was not intended for publication. It was his private opinion expressed to his uncle, Mr. Geo. Sackett, of Egremont, and no person, perhaps, will be more surprised than Mr. Brougham to see it in type. We learn from Mr. Sackett that he was a Liberal, and well known in Egremont before going West. We look upon the letter as a good one, and feel that the writer is expressing his conscientious belief on a momentous political issue. After a few introductory words, of a private nature, he says to his aunt, Mrs. Sackett:

I'm going to slight you this time, and have a talk with uncle, but you may put your ear down to the keyhole and hear what is going on, and probably you may be surprised to hear that I'm going to talk about Free Trade.

Before proceeding farther, uncle I must confess that I am no party man. I vote according to my own convictions. During the two previous elections, I was a Liberal, because in my opinion the Liberals had the most aggressive railway policy, and if this Western country is in need of anything, it certainly does need more railways. But in this election, I intend to vote for the Conservative ticket, because it is my belief that if free trade between the two countries is established, and remains in force for a period of ten or twelve years, we will become a nation without our own industries. Our infant industries cannot hope to compete successfully with the big trusts of the U.S.A., consequently we will become a nation of buyers, depending upon Uncle Sam to make and manufacture for us to a great extent, the bare necessities of life. The U.S. as a nation are at the end of their natural resources, their raw land is all gone, their pulpwood areas are fast disappearing, almost gone, and the end of their timber limits is in sight, and with a population of 90,000,000 to be supplied, this is a mighty serious problem.

Here is Canada with an area just as large as the U.S., with her vast store of timber, coal, millions upon millions of acres of raw prairie land untouched, mines bursting with their load of precious metals, and only a population of 9,000,000 to draw upon it. What more natural thing than that our big neighbor to the south, who by wasteful methods, and a greed for gold, has exhausted his natural resources, should cast longing eyes upon our treasure chest, and we propose to take down the bars and let them have free access to all our natural resources. No sir, not for mine.

They say that the American under Free Trade will develop our natural resources, but we are developing them ourselves as fast as 9,000,000 demand, and if the American undertakes to develop them it will be for the benefit of those to the south of us, who are almost up against it for the raw material.

Sir John A. Macdonald did all that any one man could do to establish free trade with the U.S., but since his time, conditions have altered. During the regime of Sir John Macdonald, our prairies were unheard of. We had no transcontinental railways from ocean to ocean, no lines of steamships on Atlantic and Pacific to carry our products to foreign ports, no foreign market to amount to a hill of beans. Under those circumstances we sought an outlet for our surplus products, and were refused, because the shrewd American saw, that having no foreign market, we would have to do business with him in spite of ourselves. Conditions have changed since then. We have established our

three great trade routes from east to west. We have facilities at Fort William, Port Arthur, Winnipeg, Owen Sound and Montreal, for handling our great grain trade, and wheat boats for carrying it across the seas to a foreign market. We have spent millions in improving our harbors and in deepening our canals. John Bull has poured in hundreds of millions of dollars to build the C.P.R., the Canadian Northern, and the Grand Trunk Pacific. Now do we propose to do away with the established order of things, and do business with our neighbors to the south of us, whose only object in seeking free trade with us is to cut us out from our foreign market so that we won't compete with him, and at the same time give him a free hand in hogging all our natural resources? Are we going to do it? There are a mighty lot of people in this country who seem to be bent on doing so, especially among the farming communities.

The farmer seems to have an idea in his head that he will get more for his produce under free trade than he gets under protection. Don't be fooled. Take horses for instance. In this Western country there is a great big demand for horses, and to supply the ever increasing demand, our Western horse buyers go to Eastern Canada for their supply, but remove the duty against American horses, and our buyers will go south for their supply, where horses are so much cheaper than in Eastern Canada, and your horses won't be worth the raising. The same is true of cattle, hogs and poultry.

Again, take wheat. A good many of the farmers think that because wheat is from 5 to 10c. per bushel higher on the U.S. side of the line, that immediately the tariff wall is taken down wheat on this side will jump skyward. Let no man be such a fool as to believe this tommyrot. The American miller must have, at any price, enough No. 1 hard wheat to mix with their softer grades, in order to be able to mill those softer grades of wheat, and for a limited supply of No. 1 hard wheat, he is willing to pay a little higher price, but turn our crop of 175,000,000 bushels into that market, and how long will it hold up. The U.S. last year exported to Great Britain 150,000,000 bushels of wheat. Now are we going to get a higher price for our wheat by selling it to a man who already sells more wheat in the foreign market than we do? And if the law of supply and demand rules prices, Uncle Sam will have to sit down and eat up our 175,000,000 bushels, leaving his own to rot in the field, in order to create a scarcity and force up prices.

Those plausible politicians tell the farmer that under free trade the value of his products will be increased, and that same politician will go to a city and tell the citizen that under free trade the high cost of living will be reduced. Now, it is as plain as the nose on my face that if the cost of living is going to be reduced, the producer is going to get less for what he has to sell.

Times are good enough. Everything is prosperous. Let well enough alone. The same conditions that have made us prosperous in the past will make us keep on prospering."

Lethbridge, Aug. 27th, 1911.

Wanted.—A good general servant. Apply to Mrs. N. W. Campbell.

Keep your eyes on the calendar for September 26th and 27th, and come to the Durham Fall Show.

Millinery Opening

We beg to announce our

Fall Millinery Opening

of

New York and Paris Models

which takes place on

Tues. & Wed., Sept. 19 & 20

and following days.

You are Cordially Invited.

S. F. MORLOCK

Warm Weather Hints

Ladies' Waists in Lawn and Silk

A choice collection of smart and lovely waists in up-to-date styles.

Cotton and Lisle Hose in smart style at.....
..... 25c, 40c and 50c

Lace and Embroidered Hose for the Low Shoes..... 25c, 40c and 50c

Special Cotton Hose, worth 20c a pr.....
..... at 2 for 25c

Ladies Underwear and Vests, in light weight
..... 10c to 50c

Lace and Insertion Sale, reg. 5c, 6c and 7c at
..... 3 yds. for 10c

Print Bargains, in short ends and remnants,
reg. 10c and 12½c to clear at..... 8c

J. & J. HUNTER