## Non-disclosure agreement possibly violated

## **By Stephanie Dearing OHSWEKEN**

that a discussion about the lors said they were in favour era, Bomberry said he had troubled construction of a Six of continuing the discussion been caught off guard at the Nations' Stoneridge Day Care in public, Councillors Rogthat took place during Elect- er Jonathan (District Three) there had been no information ed Council's Committee of the and Melba Thomas (District provided about the matter be-Whole on April 8 may have Six) disagreed with their col- fore the Committee meeting. been in violation of a non-leagues.

not, something Senior Admin- camera as it had been openly agenda.

erry advised of at the regular the Whole on April 8. council meeting on April 9.

disclosure agreement. Councillor Helen Miller appeared at the Committee The discussion should have (District Four) said said there meeting, and Councillor Heltaken place in camera, but did was no reason to move to in en Miller had added him to the

istrative Officer Dayle Bomb- discussed at the Committee of

During the discussion about Tekawennake has learned While most of the council- moving the matter to in cam-Committee meeting because A former subcontractor had

"It should have been put firm or deny the existence of meeting of Elected Council on council.

in camera," Bomberry told the possible non-disclosure April 16. Bomberry did say agreement when asked by the matter would be discussed Bomberry did not con- Tekawennake just before the in camera.