

Various small advertisements and notices on the far left edge of the page, including mentions of 'The Cat', 'The Sarsaparilla', and 'The Sarsaparilla'.

Boots & Shoes. DRESS BOOTS FOR ALL WEATHERS. THE NEW STYLES IN BOOTS AND SHOES! THE RED BOOT. W. A. LAMB.

CHEAP BOOTS AND SHOES. CAPITAL BOOT AND SHOE STORE. YORK STREET.

LATEST AND BEST STYLES. In Ladies', Misses', Children's, Men's and Boy's Wear.

1869. FALL 1869. AND WINTER IMPORTATIONS. DRY GOODS! DRY GOODS!

MISS POKK, PICKLED SALMON, LABR. BERRINGS. THE STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

THE OLD STOCK, SAVED FROM FIRE. SELLING AT TWENTY-FIVE PER CENT BELOW COST.

PROVISIONS, GROCERIES, PROVISION STORES AND OTHERS. HOTEL KEEPERS, GROCERIES, PROVISION STORES AND OTHERS.

ENGLAND SCHOOL. YOUNG LADIES. MISS M. A. HARMON, PRINCIPAL.

AGENCIES. C. T. HUGHES. Agent for the Beaver, Toronto Mutual Fire and Life Insurance Co.

PROVINCIAL INSURANCE. HON. MALCOLM CAMERON has removed his office to the New Building between the Ontario Bank and the Tarrs Office on Sparks street.

COMMISSION MERCHANT. GENERAL AGENT, &c., &c. No. 3, St. Peter-st. QUEBEC.

UNION MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF MAINE. FINANCE DEPARTMENT. Ottawa, 12th October, 1868.

MUSICAL ACADEMY. MR. GUSTAVE SMITH, Organist of the Cathedral of St. Joseph.

THE PUBLIC. The valuable parcel of land known as the Esau Lot, opposite the Beaulieu House.

ASK FOR LEA & FERRIN'S SAUCE. The most delicious and unrivalled condiment having certain delicate qualities.

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. BUSS & CO'S OYSTERS. LANG PAINTING ESTABLISHMENT.

DRY GOODS. FALL & WINTER CLOTHING. Now open for Sale and Inspection at O'MEARA & CO'S, 80 Sparks-st. CENTRAL OTTAWA.

1,000 PAIRS BLANKETS. Canadian and English manufacture, of all sizes and qualities, at prices lower than ever before offered in this city.

5,000 SEAMLESS BAGS, 5,000 LINEN BAGS, very cheap. A Complete Stock of Druggs Goods, consisting of Merino, French Hops, Lint, Wines, &c.

PERFECTED SPECTACLES. LAZARUS, MORRIS & CO. YOUNG & RADFORD. Have just received a NEW ASSORTMENT OF

BRONCHITIS, CATARRH OF THE BLADDER, COLDS, OBSTINATE COUGHS, IRRITATION OF THE CHEST, HOOPING COUGH, DISEASES OF THE THROAT, PULMONARY CONSUMPTION.

LEA & FERRIN'S WORCESTERSHIRE SAUCE. THE ONLY GOOD SAUCE. CAUTION AGAINST FRAUD.

YOUNG LADIES' INSTITUTE. MISS A. M. HARMON, PRINCIPAL. The classes will (D.V. remember after the Christmas holidays, on THURSDAY, JAN 21st.

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. BUSS & CO'S OYSTERS. LANG PAINTING ESTABLISHMENT. WRITE WASHING. HERE A SPECIALTY.

BEAUTIFUL HAIR. Nature's Crown. You Must Cultivate It. GRAY HAIR. Its certain indication of decay at the roots.

MRS. S. A. ALLEN'S HAIR RESTORE. Will Restore Gray Hair to its Natural Color and Beauty. It is the most delicious Hair Dressing.

DR. A. W. BELLING'S KIDNEY PILLS. It has stood the test of time, and has not only cured the most obstinate cases of inflammation of the bladder, but also the most violent cases of rheumatism.

CONCENTRATED PROOF LIQUEUR. Guyot has succeeded in depriving tar of its impurities and bitterness, and in retaining its medicinal properties.

LEA & FERRIN'S WORCESTERSHIRE SAUCE. THE ONLY GOOD SAUCE. CAUTION AGAINST FRAUD.

YOUNG LADIES' INSTITUTE. MISS A. M. HARMON, PRINCIPAL. The classes will (D.V. remember after the Christmas holidays, on THURSDAY, JAN 21st.

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. BUSS & CO'S OYSTERS. LANG PAINTING ESTABLISHMENT. WRITE WASHING. HERE A SPECIALTY.

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. BUSS & CO'S OYSTERS. LANG PAINTING ESTABLISHMENT. WRITE WASHING. HERE A SPECIALTY.

INTERESTING CASE—COURT OF REVIEW. The Sisters of Charity of Ottawa, vs. Alfred Larocque, Executor. This case in which the plaintiffs, a religious corporation, sued for \$6,022.02, being a balance alleged to be due on account of \$9,022 originally due by the late Joseph Felix Larocque defendant's testator.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

MEDORA LEIGH. About that Alleged "Child of Sin" Epitome of the Last English Book on the Byron Subject. "Medora Leigh: A History and an Autobiography" is the title of a new and in some respects perhaps an important contribution to the Byron controversy.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

NORWAY AND SCOTLAND. The Norwegian records the Scots in more respects than one, but as they never underwent the forms of vassalage or the ordeal of the fudal system, they are also very different in a social and political point of view from the people of Scotland.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

GOSSIP FOR THE BREAKFAST TABLE. The Madeira vine will yield 8,000 quarts this year. A steamship counted 14,435 stitches in making a shirt.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.

THE DEFENDANT'S ANSWER. The defendant pleads that the testator, while in the hospital, between 1857 and the term of his death, gave to plaintiffs upwards of \$20,000; that the board and lodging, and service money asked ought not to be allowed, and was not meant to be asked; that the note and draft in suit upon were mere liberality, not all board and lodging have been paid for, that testator's estate after death was found to be completely insolvent, but defendant was willing to confess judgment for the funeral expenses.