

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS

Devlin's Hat and Fur Depot. Tailoring Establishment Opposed-T. Lawrence.

The Ottawa Times

Office 23, Sparks Street. TUESDAY, MAY 4, 1892.

For Ottawa Markets see Fourth Page.

The Hon. Mr. HOWE is expected to leave by the first steamer, touching at that port, probably to-day or to-morrow.

Dr. FORBES and Mr. CHYMAN, arrived yesterday. Mr. O'CONNOR, M. P. for Essex, also arrived last night.

We understand that the Colonial Secretary, Earl GRANVILLE, has officially communicated to the Government of Canada the acceptance, by the Hudson's Bay Company, of the proposed terms of agreement.

It is probable, therefore, that the papers in connection with the Northwest negotiations will be laid before Parliament in the course of a few days.

In the Senate yesterday several Bills were read a second time. The Patent of Invention Bill was read a third time, and passed.

In the Commons, an important debate took place on a motion of Mr. SAVARY, relating to the Fisheries. The return was given, as were several others; and in the evening two or three Bills were read a second time; the Bill to amend the necessary of engraving certain documents on parchment was read a third time and passed, and the House adjourned at twenty minutes to nine o'clock.

The arrangements for the Citizens Hall to-morrow night are now nearly perfected. It is understood that His Excellency the Governor-General, and Lady YONGE will arrive at half-past nine precisely.

The guard of honour will be furnished by the Ottawa Garrison Artillery, under command of Major Ross. Sir J. A. MACDONALD, K. C. B., SIR GEORGE E. CARTIER, Bart., and other Ministers now at the Seat of Government will be present.

Major-General BISSSET and staff have also accepted the invitation, and there will of course be a large attendance of citizens. Invitations have been sent to all the members of both branches of the Legislature, and a very grand and brilliant affair may be anticipated.

There is unusual activity amongst the members both of the Commons and Senate, on the subject of the Ottawa Ship Canal. It is a great national project, and one which should not be lost sight of, particularly under the present prospect of the addition of the Northwest Territory to the Dominion.

A number of petitions have been presented from Ottawa County, by ALONZO WATSON, M. P. from the County of Russell, by Dr. GRANT, M. P.; and from the Counties of HADDON, HANTS, and several other members from a distance have presented several conspicuously signed petitions on the same subject.

In the Senate the Hon. J. A. MACDONALD has presented these petitions. It is gratifying to observe that the representatives of Central Canada are bestirring themselves to bring this important project before the notice of the Legislature and the country. It is, however, to Montreal, to the men interested in the trade of the Lower St. Lawrence, and to the Maritime Provinces, that we should look for the creation of that pressure of outside public opinion, without which it is scarcely to be expected that any government would undertake such an important public work.

In Mr. A. P. MACDONALD's letter on the Northwest Territory, it was stated that the (estimated) 2,000,000 acres of fertile land were being acquired at the rate of 75 cents per acre. It was intended to have stated that the amount payable to the Hudson's Bay Company—\$300,000 sterling, and the proposed bonus of twelve thousand dollars per mile, for building the railway would raise the cost of the land to about 75 cents per acre. Were the railway built, and the land, as well as the money bonus, granted, it is very clear that the remaining land would still be more than sufficient to reimburse the outlay, even though one-half of it were given away.

A correspondent in this morning's issue suggests a more moderate way of going about the opening of the country than that proposed by Mr. MACDONALD. The subject is one that will bear discussion; but while we are anxious not to see the country get beyond its means in the assumption of financial obligations, it does appear that an extraordinary effort towards the Northwest, by direct communication with the Northwest, would be amply repaid in the increased prosperity it would bring to the Dominion.

For Carpets, Damasks, Repes, and a general assortment of House Furnishing Goods T. & W. HUNTON hold the largest stock in the trade.

Our agents get superior garments made to order at Stage & Russell's, from the Best West of England Broad-Loths, Silks Mixed and Fancy Dressings, Scotch, English and Domestic Tweeds, Etc., at moderate prices.

Verones to the capital are invited to walk through the new Dry Goods store of Magee & Russell, where the most attractive assortment of new, rich and fashionable dry goods, are to be found in any city in the Dominion, as can be seen in the window display.

Our agents and House Furnishings—Garland, Mitchell & Co. make this department a specialty; they have just received a large stock of complete sets of carpets, floor cloths, curtains, materials, etc., which they invite the attention of parties furnishing.

We notice at the establishment of R. Eaton, Esq., opposite the Russell Hotel, the arrival of some papers upon exhibition; they are of a nature that are most interesting and valuable to the proprietor in relation to the connections with large manufacturers in the United States, which enables him to sell his paper at 50 per cent reduction from former prices. We are glad to recognize the principle in the establishment of small profits and quick returns. Call and see.

CANADIAN PARLIAMENT

SECOND SESSION: FIRST PARLIAMENT.

THE SENATE

Monday, May 3, 1892.

The SPEAKER took the chair at three o'clock.

After routine, the following petitions were presented: By the Hon. Mr. STREVEES—Of the Toronto Central Fire Insurance Company, and of the Beaver Mutual Fire Insurance Association, praying for an Act authorizing the union of the said two companies under one charter.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of E. A. Treloar, and others, of the North Shore of Lake Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly, between the North Shore of Lake Huron, and the North Shore of Lake Superior.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of Frederick B. Sparks, of the City of Toronto, praying for the passing of an Act giving him the exclusive use of an invention for the manufacture of lubricating oil for machinery, and for other purposes, from crude petroleum, of which he is not the original inventor.

By the Hon. Mr. MILLS—Of Messrs. John Macpherson & Co., and others, of the Province of Ontario, praying for the passing of an Act to provide for the inspection, raw, kipp or dressed, of fish.

By the Hon. Mr. McCREA—Of the Municipal Council of the township of Hurdville, in the County of Kent, praying for the construction of a light house, and the improvement of the wharf and pier of the said township.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James H. Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

By the Hon. Mr. DICKSON—Of James C. Phillips, and others, of the County of Huron, praying for a subsidy for a weekly mail service, instead of fortnightly mail service, as at present.

They have continued this efficient management of their business, for which they have received the unanimous approval of the printing committee. The contracts which they entered into were for five years; and as these contracts were now about expiring, it was of considerable importance that provision should be made for new contracts, in order that the public business may not be retarded.

On motion of Hon. Mr. SANBORN, seconded by Hon. Mr. BURHAM, the report of the Hon. Mr. SANBORN, on the subject of FRANCHISE AT PUBLIC WORKS, BETTER PREVENTION OF FLOODS, AND OTHER MATTERS, was read.

The House went into Committee of the Whole (Hon. Mr. FERGUSON in the chair) for the consideration of the report of the Hon. Mr. SANBORN, on the subject of FRANCHISE AT PUBLIC WORKS, BETTER PREVENTION OF FLOODS, AND OTHER MATTERS.

Not being printed was discharged from the orders of the day, and ordered to be taken into consideration on Wednesday next.

Hon. Mr. SANBORN read the report, and said the question was one of a good deal of importance, and should be acted upon during the present Session as the present contracts were about to expire.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL requested the postponement of the adoption of the report until to-morrow, as the subject was still under consideration.

Hon. Mr. SANBORN did not think it was necessary to wait until the report had been adopted in the other branch of the Legislature.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL desired to give notice of a motion in relation to Divorce Bills. At the last Session of the House he received some consideration, but it was thought that if a committee of the House could proceed legally in the case, it would be more satisfactory to have the evidence given before that committee.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

Mr. SAVARY moved for a return of all licenses granted during the past year to American fishermen, to fish in the waters of the Dominion; the names of the vessels, or the masters or owners, and to what ports they belonged; the amount of fish exported from such licenses, and the names of the ports or places at which such licenses were issued. There was one of these licenses in the Maritime Provinces, and their protection was the serious attention of the Government. By the return of 1818, the American vessels were deprived of any right to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion. The American vessels were not allowed to approach within three miles of a line drawn from within that distance to the shore of the Dominion.

At Waterford farm, Gloucester, on the 13th daughter.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.

On the 3rd of May, 1892, at the residence of William Graham, Esq., of Waterford farm, Gloucester, Ontario, the 27th year of his age.</