* OPINION

Box 248, 191 Main St. E., Milton L9T 4N9 878-2341 Toronto Line 821-3837 Fax - 878-4943

Classified Advertising: 875-3300

Ian Oliver Nell Oliver

Jane Muller E Karen Huisman Teri Casas **Tim Coles**

Publisher Advertising Director/ General Manager

Editor Circulation Manager Office Manager. Production Manager

ACTUALLY, I'D FORGOTTEN
I EVEN HAD IT...
GUN AMNESTY The Canadian Champion, published every Wednesday and Friday at 191 Main St. E., Milton, Ont., L9T 4N9 (Box 248), is one of The Metroland Printing, Publishing & Distributing Ltd. group of suburban companies which includes: Ajac/Pickering News Advertiser, Aurora Banner, Barrie Advance, Brampton Guardian, Burlington Post, Collingwood Connection, Etobicoke Guardian/Lakeshore Advertiser, Georgetown Independent/ Acton Free Press, Kingston This Week, Lindsay This Week, Markham Economist & Sun, Mississauga News, Newmarket Era, Oakville Beaver, Orilla Today, Oshawa/Whitby This Week, Peterborough This Week, Richmond Hill/ Thornhill/ Vaughan Liberal, Scarborough Mirror, Today's Seniors, and Uxbridge/Stouffville Tribune.

Advertising is accepted on the condition that, in the event of a typographical error, that portion of the advertising space occupied by the erroneous item, together with a reasonable allowance for signature, will not be charged for, but the balance of the advertisement will be paid for at the applicable rate. The publisher reserves the right to categorize advertisements or decline.

Still no home improvement

And now for an update on my main renovation man's near accomplishments. I say "near" although they are nowhere near accomplished.

First, let me tell you at this point that hubby has a bathroom fixation. Two summers ago, he attacked the cottage bathroom — his perpetual (I fear) work in progress. He relocated the toilet, dragged the hot water heater into the bathroom, installed other mystery machines related to water, seen only in a country setting, and added a new sink with an unfinished cupboard. The tongue-in-groove pine climbs partway up two of the walls. He also bought a shower door on sale — with some vital parts missing. Instead of catching the drips in the tub, it collects dust in the hallway. I can't even shame the man into completing the job.

At the beginning of this past summer, hubby announced he was going to renovate our basement bathroom at home while the kids and I were at the cottage. Yeah, right. (Are these things just not working out because my expectations are so low?)

Actually, I didn't have a lot of problems with that bathroom, even after we moved our bathroom downstairs to one end of the rec room. Hubby hammered up a tongue-ingroove wall (his specialty) in record time so there we were, he and I in our little burrow. Living in a hole in the ground is not my ideal private toilet and sink.

however. No, he had to have a "real" shower (upstairs it is a mere tub/shower combination). That meant tearing down the wall between my office and the original bathroom to make room for this grand new installation (probably also bought on sale and also missing vital parts we'll find out one day — maybe).

the ripped-open ceiling tiles, and drains and bedroom wall ...



ON THE **HOMEFRONT**

with ESTHER CALDWELL waterpipes were exposed for everyone to see. It was not a pretty sight.

I had made one small request before renovations got underway: "Please cover my stuff in the office before you start working." Was that too much to ask? I found my books, papers, desks, shelves, chairs, and filing cabinet covered with a film of cement dust. Hubby's reply when I questioned him about the debris was: "I didn't think jt would make such a mess" - "I didn't think" being one of his pet phrases. He figures that excuses his stupidity.

I remember when I complained a couple of years ago about the dry wall guy "forgetting" to confine his drywall dust to our kitchen. I thought his behaviour was an isolated case. I know better now.

The entire male species (Am I generalizing too much here?) exhibits an annoying lack of concern for "neat and tidy."

Winter is approaching. How much longer accommodation, but it was cozy with our will I have to camp out in my temporary office in the rec room, with books and files in milk That wasn't enough for mister renovator, cases under a makeshift desk and kids' toys underfoot?

> The latest snag in hubby's work in progress on the home front is the water that leaks into the basement bathroom when it rains. Who knows how long water has been dripping down inside the walls?

Oh, and one last thing. Since the waterpipes His goal was to complete this job during the are accessible right now, hubby says, we may summer. Guess what? When we arrived home as well replace the upstairs tub. I've looked at on Labour Day, I walked into what looked like that tub. it's a tight fit in that little room. It the aftermath of a home-made mini bomb. His looks to me like the tub went in before the rented jackhammer had spewed out concrete, walls went up. I can't see how we'll get it out electrical wires hung down ominously from and a new one in, unless we tear down the

This sounds much too easy

-THEN THE OTHER DAY I WAS CLEANING OUT

THE CELLAR AND ...

Upon reading the many comments from politicians at all levels of government on the referendum, it has become apparent they haven't listened to us.

If politicians are to become more responsive, we as individuals must lead the way in getting back control of our governments. Therefore, in total self-interest, I offer the following to one of my local councillors so he may lead the way in a municipal tax revolt. Dear Mr. Ron Furik

I can appreciate the cutbacks from federal and provincial governments have made it necessary to increase my municipal taxes, however for every dollar they cut it costs me \$1.30 in after-tax money to make up the difference.

My property taxes are now \$2,800 and must earn \$5,000 to actually "take home" this amount. I understand that if I make a donation to the Town I get a tax receipt. I also read on page 37 of the Town's operating budget that Milton received \$522,352 as payment in lieu of taxes. I would like to make a donation in lieu of taxes.

This would save me \$840 in income tax and the Town would receive the same amount of money as before. In a spirit of generosity I would donate half my tax savings back (\$420) to the Leisure Centre debt.

We could be onto something here Ron.

Of the \$40 million the Town collects for the Region, school boards and itself, our citizens could reclaim almost \$12 million in income

VIEW POINT



tax. If everyone donated half to the Leisure Centre it could be paid off in two years.

Regardless of where our citizens spent their savings, putting this amount of money back into the local economy would be like having a good sized employer move to the area and much more acceptable than the feds cutting a crummy 2 per cent off the GST.

Since many of the recipients of my municipal tax dollars are charities, everyone should be satisfied. Could you please investigate the feasibility of my plan with council on my behalf?

Best regards, Pat Kelly P. S. Reading the budget was fascinating. Was the \$13,500 for wolf claims paid to the councillors who ran around crying wolf about the referendum?

P. P. S. I also thought the \$250 budgeted for fence viewing was a unique way of disguising the purchase of the mayor's new chair and then I noticed he got one last year too. Does he really 'fence view' that much?

Studies show it's possible to turn your kids off strangers

Child abduction, It's every parent's worst nightmare. A whole nation prayed for the safe return of three year old Kayla, 15 year old Jennifer . . . we all saw our own child's picture in the paper.

A study at Western Michigan University suggests that most young children are in fact easy prey for child abductors. Approximately 90 per cent of preschoolers would easily, voluntarily, happily, go with a stranger who simply coaxes them with small talk or promises.

At the same time, however, studies reveal that child molesters use physical force only 10-17 per cent of the time. The majority try to develop a quick relationship, coax the child, use incentives. Consequently, it is possible that many child abductions can be prevented if children can be taught how to resist the inducements, and act quickly.

Teaching prevention strategies to preschoolaged (3-5 year old) children presents some special problems.

First, we can't assume instructions or explanations will be helpful at this young age. Will they really understand the dangers, complex strategies or their rationale. In addition, the 3-5 year old is just burgeoning with new independence and mobility — going outside,

to preschool, to the park or a friend's houses. They haven't learned the limitations that go with it (eg. getting lost; telling parents when they're going out, where they're going).

Finally, kids are impulsive at this age. Children playing outside can easily become interested in other children or activities and they are drawn to them, quickly disappearing from a parent's sight and supervision.

What can we do? Research at Western Michigan University suggests we can quickly and easily teach children - even preschoolers — how to react to the lures of a stranger.

Initially, the researchers surveyed parents, asking what they would like their child to do. Most parents preferred that children refuse in a nice way ("No, I've got to go ask my

strangers who are actually just being nice. Second, parents wanted their child to get away from there, quickly. So, training consisted of two goals: Say "No" in a nice way, and head toward safety (a parent or teacher) within three seconds.

The second step was to find out what a "typical" abductor would say to the child, so the trainers could use similar "lures" during training and make it as realistic as possible. Interviews with child molestors revealed three common types of lure.

In the "simple lure", the suspect would first engage in small talk. After a few moments, the suspect would say, "would you like to go for a walk (ride, play a game, etc?". In the "authority lure", the suspect would assure the child that his/her parent or teacher said it was okay. In the "incentive lure" the suspect would try to entice the child, eg. "I've got a surprise for you in my car".

The training consisted of demonstration, practice and praise. Two trainers acted out a scene in the child's schoolyard, one playing the "suspect" and one playing the child's role. The "suspect" tried to lure the "child" away, and the trainer playing the child's role demonstrated what to say and do. Then the mommy or teacher, so as not to offend child was asked to practice the same scene.

The child was praised (and sometimes given stickers) if (s)he responded appropriately; if (s)he did something incorrectly, the trainer described what was wrong and demonstrated the right way.

Practice sessions were only 15 minutes per day, and each child learned the correct way to react to each lure in only one to three sessions. The total-training time required for all three lures was "up to 90 minutes" or six sessions.

After training was complete, and the children knew what to do and say, the trainers "tested" each child several times in the community. Using new strangers, in new settings, they found that the children consistently used these new skills and quickly left the vicinity of the stranger.

This study showed that children as young as 3-5 years of age could be taught how to avoid the lures of strangers.

It does not provide all the answers, of course, since some abductors aren't strangers but people the child knows, such as neighbours or acquaintances.

While more research is needed to see what will be effective in these cases, there is no question that teaching children how to respond to the lures of strangers can help to prevent many abductions.

