

## OPPOSITION QUERIES COVER WIDE RANGE

### Lumber, Provincial Loan and Cross-Entries Com- pose Subjects

Lumber, the recent Provincial loan, and cross-entries, form the subject matter of a new set of Opposition questions, sponsored by D. M. Campbell (Liberal, Kent East), Earl Hutchinson (Labor, Kenora), N. O. Hipel (Liberal, Waterloo South), and W. J. Bragg (Liberal, Durham).

Mr. Bragg asks by what amount the revenue and expenditure statements for the last fiscal year have been reduced by the adjustment or elimination of cross-entries. Mr. Hipel, returning to his attack on the \$40,000,000 loan, is asking on what date the Official Advisory Committee was engaged or first consulted.

The timber inquiries relate to the number of tenders received in the sale of certain areas; the number of square miles of timber lands licensed, leased or sold to J. A. Mathieu Limited, since 1926, for which no other tender was received; and a series of dealings between the Crown Timber Agent and E. E. Wallace in regard to Berth A.T.W. 8A, Kenora District, and Berth M-23 in the same district.

## DIRECT ROD LICENSE IS NOT CONSIDERED AT PRESENT TIME

### Legislature Committee Is Advised to Study Joint Permit

#### PLEAS FOR BOY ANGLERS

Queen's Park is not considering a direct rod license at the present time. Hon. George H. Challies, Provincial Secretary, told members of the Legislature's Fish and Game Committee at a meeting yesterday. The Minister, who is in charge of the Fish and Game Department, did advise a study of the possibilities of a combined rod and gun license, although indicating that such a matter was for future, rather than immediate action.

Members of the committee objected to any licensing which would curtail the rights and privileges of youthful anglers. William Newman (Liberal, Victoria South) and other legislators both urged special privileges for fishermen still in short pants. The committee also recommended that the department should consider a non-resident family license which would permit the tourist's wife and children to go angling without payment of an individual license fee.

#### Must Treat All Alike.

In answer to a question raised by D. J. Taylor (Progressive, Grey North) Mr. Challies explained a statement which he said had been misconstrued when first made in connection with the restocking of pheasants by the Province. The department has no right to forbid the owner of lands restocked under Provincial auspices, to charge a fee for hunting, the Minister said, but is working on the principle that the owner of such property must treat every individual alike, not limiting the hunting to privileged parties.

Strong protest was entered by T. P. Lancaster (Conservative, Peterboro') and by Mr. Newman in the matter of the low-water levels in the Trent System. Especially in spawning season, the decreased level results in a terrible loss of fish, they said. The committee went on record with a resolution asking the co-operation of the Federal Government and Hydro in an effort to preserve water levels.

#### Clash Over Pickerel Ban.

Mr. Lancaster and F. J. McArthur (Northumberland) joined battle over special restrictions on pickerel, prevailing in Northumberland, Durham, Peterboro' and Victoria Counties. Mr. McArthur charged that the legislation, which was barring neighboring farmers from Rice Lake pickerel, was the result of a "paternal care" exercised by the people of Peterboro'. The member declared that he could not go back home and admit that the Peterboro' people put it over him. The matter was finally settled in the interest of the Rice Lake communities.

A lengthy list of petitions for a variety of minor changes in the conservation legislation was considered by the committee. Much of the matter was referred to a later date as falling under the proposed zoning enactment. On a number of other matters the committee voted to preserve the present arrangements.

### Henry Tables Answer To Resurfacing Query

An answer to the question which N. O. Hipel (Liberal, Waterloo South) made a matter of privilege on Wednesday, was tabled by the Prime Minister at the beginning of yesterday's session of the Legislature. In answer to the query which asked if the cost of any resurfacing, or repairs, had been raised by borrowing money and charging it to the capital account on sections where original capital charges had not been retired, Premier Henry stated that, as traffic necessitated, a top had been placed on about 800 miles of macadamized road taken over in 1920. The expenditure made increased the actual cost of the roads and was charged to the capital account, the Premier said.