FINE, YEAR IN JAIL FOR ODOR BOMBERS SUGGESTED IN BILL

Price Proposes Amendment Sequelling Recent Offenses in Theatres

READINGS ARE GIVEN

As a result of a number of offenses of this nature in Toronto recently. Attorney-General W. H. Price yesterday introduced a bill in the Legislature which would provide for a penalty of one year's imprisonment and a fine of not less than \$500 or greater than \$1,000, or an additional term of nine months for persons convicted of throwing stench bombs into theatres.

Provincial Police, in connection with the Attorney-General's Department, have been investigating such offenses for some time. Theatres in this city, as well as in Hamilton and other cities, have been subjected to the out-

The bill, which is in the form of an amendment to the Theatre and Cinematographic Act, would also make it punishable offense to those upon whom stench bombs are found in or near theatres. The bill was given its

first reading.

Robb Introduces Bill.

maintains a nursing course to have its graduates registered as nurses is the purpose of a bill introduced by Hon. Dr. J. M. Robb, Minister of Health. Another bill, also introduced by Dr. Robb, would provide that representatives may be elected to the Ontario Medical Council from any corporation, university or college which maintains a duly qualified course in medical science or art. Both bills were read for the first time.

Act, sponsored by Colonel Price, would allow a Fire Marshal to pass regulations dealing with fires and explosions, while another to amend the Surrogate Court Act would establish a time limit in which claimants might prosecute claims and avoid disbar-

ment under the statute of limitations. These were also given a first reading.

E. J. Murphy (Conservative, St. Patrick's) sponsored a bill to repeal the Optometry Act passed in 1931. This act, which became effective in 1932, provided for the regulation of optometrists.

The preservation of war memorials would be provided for by a bill introduced by A. B. Smith (Conservative, Essex South). Mr. Smith believes that many of these memorials, which were erected subsequent to the Great War, have been left without adequate care, and his bill, which was given first reading, is to make certain that they should be kept properly.

Bills, sponsored by George Oakley (Conservative, Riverdale) and W. A. Baird (Conservative, High Park) provide for amendments to the Municipal and Assessment Acts. Both were

Second reading was given to an amendment to the Assessment Act, which would provide that, in the case of partners, the income earned during the lifetime of one partner, but not received by him prior to his death, should be deemed to have been received and so assessed.

CAPITAL OUTLAY OF ONTARIO HYDRO DOWN TWO-THIRDS

Figure Is \$4,572,548, as Against \$12,860,830 in Year 1931

FACTS TABLED IN HOUSE

Capital expenditures by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission in its various systems throughout Ontario took a slump last year to a total of \$4.572.548.65, as against a capital outlay of \$12,860,830.89 in 1931, it was revealed in the Ontario Legislature yesterday by Hon. J. R. Cooke, Hydro Commission Chairman, when answering an inquiry tabled by F. W. Elliott (Liberal, Bruce North).

Of the approximate \$270,000,000 capital expenditure by Ontario Hydro, exclusive of radials, since its inception, \$75,834,949.05 has been expended during the past five years. The year 1930 showed the largest outlay (\$37-709,258.62), for in that period costs for the Dominion Power and Transmission Company were charged up.

Most in Niagara System.

The purchase of that company put the capital investment in the Niagara System far in excess of any of the others, and it is shown that the total expended for the three years ended Oct. 31, 1932, in that section was \$44,135,709.85.

The total capital expenditures for each of the last five years by Ontario

Hydro (exclusive of radials and municipal plants) are as follows:

Fisca	1	Capital
Year		Expenditures
1928		. \$ 7.355.116.54
1929		10 000 001 05
1930	(including Dominio	n
Po	wer and Transmissio	
	mpany)	
1931		10 000 000 00
1932		
То	tal	\$75,834,049.05
		-

1954		4,012,010.00
Total		\$75,834,049.05
The total capi	tal outlay	on each of
the systems for years follows:	each of th	ie past three
years ronons.		Capital
		Expenditures
Niagara System	1:	
1930		\$31.520.093.64
1931		0 500 010 51
		0 010 000 00
Total		\$44,135,709.85
Georgian Bay	System:	
1930		
1931		
1932	•••••	. 125,580.32
Total		\$2.018,990.83
Eastern Ontar		
1930		
1931		702.374.33
		000 000 01
Total		. \$1.900.914.11
Thunder Bay	System:	
		. \$2,320,385.31
1931		
1032		74 375 12

Miscellaneous:

1930

1931

1932

\$10,843.33 4.507.42 93,500.48

Total\$108,851.23

Totals\$55,142,638.16

\$15,119.61

COOKE SAYS \$10,000 PAID TO TILLEY FIRM

Nixon Given Information in House by Hydro Chairman

W. N. Tilley, K.C., members of his firm or the firm itself, have been paid \$10,000 by the Government or Hydro in connection with the Abitibi Power Development deal, Hon. J. R. Cooke, Hydro Chairman, told the Ontario Legislature yesterday. The account is not yet closed, Mr. Cooke said further. The information came by way of reply to an inquiry tabled by Hon. Harry C. Nixon, Progressive Leader.

Norman Hipel (Liberal, Waterloo South) was told by Attorney-General Price there were sixteen gasoline vendors in Ontario who were in arrears for gasoline tax. The amount involved was \$41,487.90, and was past due from two months to one year, Colonel Price said. Much of the indebtedness was covered by bonds, but some was owing by bankrupt firms.

Hon. Dr. J. D. Monteith, Minister of Public Works, answering a query of William Newman (Liberal, Victoria North), stated the furnishing of the offices in the East Block had cost nothing because the equipment had been moved from offices previously occupied by the Government and staff.

W. J. Mackay (Liberal, Bruce South) received a reply from Colonel Price to the effect that normal school teachers' salaries had not been reduced during 1930, 1931 or 1932, but the answer continued they were subject to an assessment similar to other civil service employees, which ranged from 2 to 25 per cent. of their pay.

GOVERNMENT QUERIED ON RELIEF SUPPLIES

An inquiry of the Ministry concerning the handling of clothing and supplies by the Government Relief Committee was tabled in the Ontario Legislature yesterday by T. K. Slack (Progressive, Dufferin). The several questions included therein are as follows: Is the Provincial Government buying or handling clothing, boots and shoes, etc., for relief purposes? If not, to whom has the Government delegated this activity? Where is the buying office and warehouse located? Who is in charge of the buying office and warehouse? What are the salaries paid to each individual employee? What companies or individuals produce these goods, and where are the factories located? What is the amount of purchases to date from each of the above individuals or factories? Is the sales tax included in the prices quoted to the Government? If not included, how is it taken care of? What assurance has the Government that the minimum wage is recognized, and such scale paid in the factories in which this relief merchandise is made? Are these accounts being guaranteed by the Government?