

Thursday, March 10th

LIGHTS FOR VEHICLES MAY BE FURNISHED TO FARMERS AT COST

**Government Likely to Aim at
Securing a Standardized
Illuminator**

DEBATED IN LEGISLATURE

In order to meet any unforeseen difficulties that may arise out of the new highways regulation compelling carrying of lights by all vehicles, the Government will likely attempt to standardize the lights required and provide them at cost to farmers.

A. P. Mewhinney, Liberal Whip, suggested this policy to the Government in the Legislature yesterday. Premier Ferguson was quick to appreciate the suggestion. "It may be possible," he said, "for the department to work out some uniform lamp or light. If necessary, we could supply it at cost."

Lights Well Discussed.

Third reading of three of Hon. George S. Henry's acts dealing with highways precipitated spirited discussion, with the particular clause regarding "lights" setting the pace. Several Opposition members, while not objecting to the principle of "light-carrying" by rigs and buggies, thought that it might prove somewhat of a hardship to people on the "back concession," where motor traffic was comparatively small, and that it should be confined, for a while at least, to the Provincial Highways and county roads.

Liberal Leader Critical.

Liberal Leader Sinclair thought that the proposal to put a red sign on all motor vehicles with four-wheel brakes was too "radical." He warned the Government against adopting it.

"The boys in the country will show you at the next election what they think of it," he contended, "if you put it through."

"We're not worrying a bit about elections," interjected Premier Ferguson.

MR. SPEAKER RULES MOTION NOT IN ORDER

**Recalls Precedents Which
Have Been Set in Legis-
lature in Past**

PREMIER'S VIEW UPHELD

In the Legislature yesterday, before the orders of the day were called, Mr. Speaker delivered his ruling on the status of the resolution moved by F. G. Sandy (Progressive, South Victoria) calling for an increase in the bonus to rural Hydro lines.

Premier Ferguson had objected to the resolution, contending that it was out of order, since it would affect the revenues of the Province, and hence could not be introduced by a private member.

Hon. W. E. Raney, Progressive Leader, had contended that such a resolution was in order, although a bill to the same effect could not be brought in except by the Government.

Mr. Speaker ruled the resolution out of order, recalling a precedent set in the Legislature in 1869, when the Speaker had ruled out a similar motion, and had been upheld by the House when his ruling was appealed. He also cited several later precedents to the same effect.