

ticular instance. Therefore, he was not in a position to say that he could support the resolution. He deprecated,

however, the giving to the Lieutenant-Governor the power of imposing such taxation when he saw fit. It was too much like hanging a threat over the heads of the mining companies. In view of the amendment moved by the Commissioner of Crown Lands he moved the following amendment to the amendment: "That the information in possession of this House would not justify the putting in effect of sections 4 to 11 of the act of last session, and that this House deprecates the practice of giving power to the Lieutenant-Governor in Council to impose taxation by order in Council."

Conditions for Refining.

Mr. Conmee (West Algoma) said the conditions necessary before we brought into effect the mineral tax were a refinery established here which was capable of refining nickel at a profit, and that we have a market for the nickel when refined. We had neither condition at present. We would have to look elsewhere than Canada for a market for our nickel. For many years to come our best market must be the United States. Mr. Conmee quoted figures to show that New Caledonia produced more nickel than we did, and added that the statement that we had a monopoly was far from the fact. Ontario's production was about 35 per cent. of the total for the world. He hoped the Government would recognize the importance of the mining industry and pursue a vigorous development policy.

The Division.

The following was the result of the division:—

On the amendment to the amendment:—

Yeas—Barr, Beatty (Leeds), Boyd, Duff, Fox, Gallagher, Jessop, Kribs, Little, Matheson, Monteith, Morrison, Macdiarmid, McLaughlin, Pyne, Reid (Addington), Reid (Durham), Wardell, Whitney—19.

Nays—Auld, Barber, Beatty (Parry Sound), Bridgland, Brown, Burt, Caldwell, Charlton, Colquhoun, Conmee, Davis, Dickenson, Farwell, Gibson, Graham, Gross, Harcourt, Holmes, Latchford, Leys, Loughrin, Munro, Mutrie, McKay, McKee, Pardo, Pattullo, Pettypiece, Preston, Ross, Stratton, Taylor, Truax, Tucker—34.

Pairs—Dryden, Hoyle; Harty, Foy; Pardee, Lucas; Blezard, Robson; Richardson, Eilber; Ferguson, Marter; Douglas, Dempsey; Smith, Carnegie; Malcolm, Jamieson; Clarke, Fallis; Guibord, McDonald; Breithaupt, Thompson; Carpenter, Brower; Aylesworth, Joynt; Hislop, Miscampbell; Russell, Crawford; Lumsden, Powell; Bowman, Kidd; Hill, Allan.

On the amendment:—

Yeas—Auld, Barber, Beatty (Parry Sound), Bridgland, Brown, Burt, Caldwell, Charlton, Conmee, Davis, Dickenson, Farwell, Gibson, Graham, Gross, Harcourt, Holmes, Latchford, Leys, Loughrin, Munro, Mutrie, McKay, Pardo, Pattullo, Pettypiece, Preston, Ross, Stratton, Taylor, Truax—31.

Nays—Barr, Beatty (Leeds), Boyd, Colquhoun, Duff, Fox, Gallagher, Jessop, Kribs, Little, Matheson, Monteith, Morrison, Macdiarmid, McLaughlin, Pyne, Reid (Addington), Reid (Durham), Tucker, Wardell, Whitney—21.

The main motion was lost on the same division reversed.

The pairs on the amendment were the same, with McKee and Carscallen added. The House adjourned at 12.30.

Station Street Bill.

A deputation, nearly 100 strong, interviewed the Government last night to protest against the measure known as the Station street act. The Premier and Hon. Messrs. Latchford, Stratton and Davis received the deputation. Mr. E. A. DuVernet, the first speaker, said that the measure proposing to give the railways control of Station street was a violation of the rights of the Government. The Police Commissioners had declared against allowing cabmen and runners in the station, and the railways now sought exemption from the powers of the commissioners. He said that after being defeated in the courts the Transportation Company, which was owned by large stockholders in the railways, were now asking the Legislature to aid them in obtaining their desire. He protested strongly against the request of the railways; they had jurisdiction over their own business, but they should not have jurisdiction over the whole public. Mr. James Wilson, President of the Trades and Labor Council; Mr. Robert Glockling of the Provincial Labor Bureau, Ald. Burns and James Conmee, M.P. P., spoke in the order named against the bill. Mr. Conmee said that the promoters of the bill had some amendments which they would introduce, but these were only roundabout ways of attaining just what the measure now meant. He hoped the bill would be defeated. The Premier promised careful consideration. The bill comes before the Private Bills Committee this morning.