

and asked for a more equitable levy in the case of municipalities out of the district of a High School but sending pupils to it. They then called on Mr. Hardy, to suggest an amendment to the municipal act whereby employees of municipalities working on roads shall not be liable along with the municipality in cases of accident. Mr. Hardy seemed to consider their arguments favorably.

The inadvertent omission of a word from the report of Mr. Ross' remarks with reference to the school system in the issue of March 11 gives them a perhaps unnecessarily harsh sense. Referring to the criticisms upon the school systems contained in the paper read at the Ontario Educational Association, which Mr. Whitney had quoted, Mr. Ross stated that "the person quoted may have had a slight grievance against the department," not that he had such a grievance.

The report in The Globe of the remarks made by Mr. Middleton in the course of the debate on the license question on Thursday last was so condensed as perhaps to lose the exact nature of one of the points which he made. After remarking that Mr. Marter's suggestion as to the control of the license system was without the endorsement of any of the temperance bodies, Mr. Middleton recalled the fact that at the prohibition convention held in Toronto early in June, 1894, Mr. Marter declined to give an affirmative answer to the last of the four questions put to the Toronto candidates as to whether he would oppose the reference of the control of the administration of the liquor laws from the Provincial authorities as at present to the municipalities, and was accordingly adjudged by that body an unsatisfactory candidate. Mr. Middleton went on to refer to the exceedingly strong ground Mr. Marter had taken in his speech at London and to contrast with it his well-known recantation of his views after the election.