

manner reserved by the Crown for any special purpose." Russell square is one of the five. The lot in question in the case was part of an alleged hospital reserve, and one of the four to which it was argued that a reservation or a dedication had been made, but the case failed to make that out. It was a very strong position, said Sir Oliver, that the patent to the trustees had been already discussed, and its inapplicability to fastening any trust or dedication as a square for public purposes declared. Chief Justice Robinson and Mr. Justice Burns agreed that "It is clearly beyond any question the Crown has not parted with the control over any of the lands granted." In the court below Chancellor Blake dissented, being overruled by Judges Esten and Spragge, but his judgment rested on the point that the hospital lot was expressly set apart and liable to that particular trust, his remark thereon being as reported on page 491 in Mr. Grant's reports:—"This grant embraces, I believe, nine distinct parcels, none of which except the hospital and school blocks are described as reserved for any special purpose."

OTHER BUSINESS.

Mr. Glendinning moved for an order of the House for a return of all convictions under the liquor license act in the Riding of North Ontario during the year 1893. Also, showing all moneys paid to the license inspector for salary and expenses during the same year, distinguishing the amounts paid for salary and the amounts paid for expenses. Also of the particulars of any fines which may have been remitted during the year. Also, the gross amount of money received by the inspector, in his official capacity, during the same year, and a detailed statement of the amounts disbursed by him during the same time, showing to whom and for what purpose they were paid.

Mr. Harcourt stated that he had no objection, and that the information would be brought down at once.

Mr. Gibson (Huron) moved for an order of the House for a return showing the number of acres sold by the Government in what was called the disputed territory, and showing also the number of square miles of timber limits under license there-

in, and what has accrued by way of revenue up to the 15th day of March, 1894.

Mr. Meredith said that if the motion was to pass it should, to be worth anything, contain also the information as to what sums have been spent in the district, and also the liabilities existing for Indian claims. It was useless to show one side of the ledger without the other. After some discussion this emendation was agreed to, upon the understanding that no admissions prejudicial to any case the Government has on hand need be made.

AMENDING THE MUNICIPAL ACT.

Mr. Whitney moved the second reading of his bill to amend the municipal act, which is designed to enable a man who has been improperly rated on the assessment roll to appeal therefrom and secure his vote. Mr. Whitney explained his bill briefly, and it was passed on without debate to the Municipal Committee.

No further business was transacted, and the House adjourned at 3.55 p. m.