THURSDAY APRIL 7. 1892.

ONTARIO ILEGISLATURE.

Bill to Amend the Anatomy Act
Rejected.

WOMEN AS LAWYERS.

Mr. Balfour's Bill in Their Behalf Adopted.

ON TRAINS ABANDONED-A TEMPERANCE DEPUTATION.

TORONTO, April 6, 1892.

In the Legislative Assembly to-day the name of the Niagara Falls Park & Queenston Electric Railway & Steamboat Company was changed to the Niagara Falls & River Railway Company. The bill to incorporate the company was then read a third time. The bill respecting Toronto Junction and the bill to provide for the payment of successive duties were read a third time.

On motion of Mr. Mowat it was decided that when the House adjourns Thursday it stand adjourned until 11 o'clock Friday morning, and meet each subsequent morning at 11 for the rest of the session.

Upon motion of Mr. Harcourt the House went into committee on certain resolutions to amend the Liquor License Act. Mr. Harcourt moved that the resolution to authorise the issue of a license to railway companies to sell, in dining or buffet cars, liquors in quantities prescribed for a tavern license under the Liquor License Act to bona fide travellers, but not to employees, be amended by substituting "wines and beer" for "liquors."

Mr. Waters said he was sorry the Government was going back on its temperance record. It was a most dangerous move, and would be condemned by the temperance people of the Province. It was putting the evil on a railway train, the last

place where it should be.

Mr. Wood (Hastings) said that if wines and beer were allowed to be sold instead of liquors it was only the thin end of the

wedge.

Mr. Davis thought no retrogade step should be taken. All changes should be in the direction of restriction. He regretted the clause and hoped the Government would not persist in it.

Mr. Mowatsaid that as a matter of fact liquor.

Mr. Mowatsaid that as a matter of fact liquor, wine and beer were already sold largely and illegally on trains, and it was impossible to prevent it. It therefore seemed better to license such sales under conditions, and he thought such a provision would advance the cause of temperance.

Mr. Conmes said that the bill really really and

Mr. Conmee said that the bill really restricted the sale of liquor on trains because it forbade sales to train men. He believed

it was a step in the right direction.

Mr. Whitney said this was another instance of the wise and progressive temperance legislation of the Administration.

Mr. Mack said it was a retrograde step, had no justification whatever, was a most dangerous proposition, and the Government should withdraw it.

Mr. Mackenzie (West Lambton) said he hoped the Government would withdraw the resolution. It was a progressive step to take licenses away from steamboats, but this clause would not receive the approbation of the temperance people.

Mr. Harcourt said that in deference to the feeling of the House he would move that the first resolution be withdrawn.

(Applause.)

The second resolution was adopted, to provide that sub-section 1 of section 41 of the Liquor License Act be amended by striking out item "6" in the schedule attached thereto and substituting the following:—"For every transfer or removal of a license in cities having a population of 100,000 and upwards, \$50. For every transfer or removal of a license in other cities, \$25. For every transfer or removal of a license in other municipalities, \$10."

Mr. Gibson (Hamilton) presented the following returns:—Correspondence regarding the opening of the House by a commission; report of the Board of Health; report on

births, marriages and deaths.

The amendments made in committee on the insurance bill and on the bill respecting the taxation of patented lands in Algoma and Thunder Bay were concurred in. The resolutions regarding the issue of debentures by the University of Toronto were also concurred in.

The House then went into committee on the bill to amend the Drainage Trials Act and reported it with some amendments. The next bill taken up in committee was "t, consolidate the acts respecting compensation to workmen in certain cases." It was reported without discussion.

SECOND READINGS.

The bill respecting the collection of taxes n the Districts of Muskoka and Parry Sound was read a second time. It provides that the sheriffs shall retain out of the noneys collected by them in the performance of their duties a sum not exceeding she ten per cent. which, under the prorisions of the Assessment Act, may be added to arrears of taxes. The following bills were also read a second time :- Respecting county police magistrates (the Attorney-General); to amend the act respecting the income and property of the University of Toronto, University College and Upper Canada College (Mr. Ross); to amend and explain certain portions of the Public Schools Act (Mr. Ross); respecting the duty of sheriffs on arresting persons under civil process (the Attorney-General); to empower the University of Toronto to deal with certain Upper Canada College lands (Mr. Ross); to amend the Registry Act (Mr. Hardy); to amend the Jurors Act (Mr. Hardy).

The bill to provide for the payment of succession duties was read a third time.

THE ANATOMY ACT.

The debate on the second reading of Mr. McCleary's bill "to amend the Ontario Anatomy Act" was then resumed. No speakers were forthcoming, and several members called for the withdrawal of the measure.

Mr. McCleary expressed great disappointment at the way his bill was received. He fully expected that it would receive the support of at least the Attorney-General, who, he always understood, was a follower of that Great Teacher who said "Do unto others as you would others should do unto you." Proceeding, he claimed that more mercy was shown to dumb animals than to the poor old inmates of the industrial homes.

Mr. Hardy objected to the mover of the bill entering upon another speech in its ad-

vocacy.

Mr. Clancy, Mr. Barr (Dufferin) and Mr. Wylie continued the discussion, the two latter gentlemen opposing their colleague's measure. Mr. Whitney supported the bill.

The vote was then taken on the bill, which was defeated by 26 to 53, as follows:—

Yeas-Balfour, Bush, Campbell (Durham), Clancy, Fell, Glendining, Godwin, Guthrie, Hiscott, Hudson, Kerns, Kirkwood, McCleary, McColl, McLenaghan, Mack, Magwood, Marter, Meredith, Miscampbell, Monk, Rorke, Smith (Frontenac), White, Whitney, Wood (Hastings)-26.

Nays—Allan, Awrey, Barr (Dufferin), Baxter, Biggar, Bishop, Blezard, Barr (Renfrew), Caldwell, Campbell (Algoma), Carpenter, Charlton, Chisholm, Clarke, E. F. (Toronto), Cleland, Conmee, Dack, Davis, Dowling, Dryden, Evantural, Ferguson, Field, Garrow, Gibson