

the company. In reply to a question he said he had not sent for these men. A question was raised as to the difficulty of getting employees of the Grand Trunk Railway Company to testify against the plan. The chairman said they could only judge from the testimony before them, and they should be able to get at all the facts by examining the witnesses. Mr. A. W. Wright said that he and Mr. Jury represented a number of men who did not care to come forward and testify. The committee adjourned until 10 o'clock on Thursday (to-day.)

### MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE.

The main business of this Committee was the discussion of Mr. Waters' bill, which covered an elaborate system of arranging the responsibility for the care of bridges between the counties and municipalities. There was a lively discussion on the bill, resulting in extensive changes, being in effect a reverting to the system in vogue up to last year, under which counties erect and maintain bridges over streams crossing or forming the boundary lines between two municipalities and all bridges over 100 feet long within townships and villages. Other bills were brought up, but owing to the absence of the promoters, or for some other reason, consideration of them was postponed.

---

### NOTICES OF MOTION.

Mr. Sprague—Monday next—Bill to amend the Assessment Act.

Mr. Evanturel—Inquiry of the Ministry whether it is the intention of the Government to take occasion of the Jubilee year to help in completing the memorial column or shaft commenced in 1884 for the purpose of marking the exact site of the old French fort or trading post established in Toronto in 1749, and taken since into custody by the Mayor of Toronto in the name of the city; also if they intend to mark by a stone or other means the precious historical spot of this Province for the benefit of the rising generation.

---