a basis that is gosng to do equal justice to all the Provinces. That the people will not be satis fied where a partnership exists, if this is not don and that Ontario being the largest contributor to Dominion revenue will not be centent if the other Provinces are to get all the benefits. was said last session that Ontario contributed two-thirds of all the D minion revenue, but even taking the amount at one-half, Ontario will be called upon to pay \$1,300,000 of the sum which was granted to Quebec by the Dominion a year ago. Will she be content to return that the Dominion should return to Quebec that amount for her road without getting a single dollar for her own? I have a statement here showing the amounts expanded by the Province of Ontario on roads :-

made upon us. We have thought it best in case of a desciency of revenue to meet the expenditure, to take it out of the available surplus rather than increase the burdens of the people. There will this year be a slight excess of expenditure over receipts. Last year I took the ground that our revenue, so far as the amount received from the Dominion Parliament, ought to receive an increased subsidy as our population increased, and \$12,1:0,777, or nearly 120 per cent. It may be our consequent expenditure increased. Last session the Dominion Parliament granted Quebec a sum of \$2,396,000 upon the plea that they had given aid to the construction of a line of railway which had been declared to be for Dominion interests. During the summer Manitoba sent a deputation to Ottawa seeking an increased sub-

SUMMARY SHOWING TOTAL MUNICIPAL AND PROVINCIAL AID TO EACH RAILWAY.

RAILWAY.	Amount of Bonuses.	by Prevince out of M.L.F.	Net amount of aid by County.	Direct aid by Province, paid and maturing.	and Municipal and Municipal aid to each Railway.
Boffalo & Lake Huron. Bytown & Prescott. Berlin & Preston. Brockville & Ottawa, C P R. Canada Atlantic. Canada Central, C P R.	324,000 240,000 1,354,000 130,000 147,500	\$ 322,000 108,000 88,000 173,000	956.000 216.000 132,000 1,181.000 130,000 117,500 322,500	\$\$ 454.837 125,957 244,559	1,278.000 324,000 220.000 1,354,000 534,887 243,457 597.059
Canada Southern	1,850,000	•	1,035,000	18,702	18,702
Cobourg, Peterboro', & Marmora	613,500 305,000 929,000 25,000 180,000 208,000 775,595 488,000 311,500 569,400 100,000	64,600 61,000 31,000	549,500 245,000 929,000 19,000 149,000 775,596 448,000 311,500 520,400 100,000	229,836 229,836 278,057 727,697 590,333 268,839 53,000 7,297	632,240 305,000 1,163,886 25,000 180,000 486,000 1,503,293 1,078,333 580,339 569,400 153,000 7,927
Midland Northern Prince Edward County Peterborough & Port Hope Toronto, Grey, & Bruce, C P R Toronto & Nipissing Victoria Wellington, Grey, & Bruce Welland Whitby, Port Perry, & Lindsay Hamilton & Lake Krie P. A. Landing North Grey Port Dover & Lake Huron N. Simcoe Brantford, N., & P. B. Belleville & N. Hastings Erie & Huron	631,980 93,500 1,100.000 933,000 386,500 186,000 682,000 190,000 232,000		631,930 93,000 942,000 916,000 344,501 136,000 634,002 97,000 222,000	155,248 155,520 461,364 105,212 537,317 241,276 129,790 66,630 75,747 41,040 126,000 144,241 129,353 114,206	75,747 41,040 126,000 144,241 129,353 114,206 125,834

Hr. WHITE-Essex did not aid the Credit Valley. Three municipalities gave \$15,000 each to the Canada Southern.

Hon. A. M. ROSS-This Information is taken from the Sessional Papers of the Dominion for 1882. Now I come to the

ESTIMATED RECEIPTS

for the current year, which I will read to the House.

The statement appeared in detail in yesterday's GLOBE.

Referring to the subsidy of \$1,196,872 80, he said :- In this of course is included the full interest upon new capital added from last year. Mr. CARNEGIE-I understand you expect

\$115,000 from Crown lands?

Hou. A. M. ROSS-This is the amount given me by the Commissioner of Crown Lands. We expect to do better, but we have taken \$95,000 less than what we expected last year We hope some measure of relief will come to our industries by the interposition of a kind Providenca.

Mr. MEREDITH-It will certainly not be through the hon, gentleman and his friends,

Hon. A. M. ROSS-Certainly not, we have never claimed within our pswer. The hon. genrieman and his friends have claimed that it was within the power of legislation, and they have failed to prove it, (Hear, hear.) Hon. gentiemen will notice a considerable reduction in the amount we expect to derive from licenses. Last year we estimated \$200,000, and received \$211,000. This year we only estimate to receive \$168,000. This is caused by the adoption of the Scott Act in a number of counties in the Province. I may mention that the estimated reduction in those counties amounts to \$23,000. You may see in the estimates the sum of \$2,000 regarding the Agricultural and Arts Association. Twice last Session a settlement has been made whereby we have agreed to accept from the Association the sum of \$2,000, ann also guaranteeing ten years' free use of their roomsnew occupied by the Bureau of Industries and The total estithe Provincial Board of Health. mated receipts are \$2,585,148 90. It will be seen by hon, gentlemen that this estimate does not cover our estimated expenditura.

EXPENDITURE AND RECEIPTS.

With regard to the relations of expenditure to receipts I may say that we have a growing population, and every year there are new demands

sidy, and also during the last four weeks we have seen that they were putting forward additional claims. Then there are provinces indebted to the Dominion Government. The province of New Brunswick drew the subsidy for 1885 in 1884. So the other Provinces from time to time are going to the Dominion Government on specious pleas, and seeking adhitional grants, and it is time that On ario should be going forward in order that we should be placed on a proper basis. The basis of confederation has not been found to be a satisfactory one, as the fact that almost all the Provinces have put forward claims to special grants proves. But the system of mak. ing special grants by the Dominion Parliament is very pernicious in its effects, and results in the Province concerned

BEING CARELESS AND EXTRAVAGANT

in their expenditures. It would appear that they think they have nothing to do if they get into debt and embarassed but to go to the Dominion Government for a grant. I propose that the subsidy to the Provinces should be increased as the pobulation increases. This is the only fair and equitable basis, and would be reasonable for all. As it is now, the Dominion gets all the adrautage acising from the increased population, while we are getting none, only having to provide for the increased expenditure consequent an increased population. This Province provides a large sum for settling emigrants, and as this increases the population who must have clothing and other articles upon which Customs and excise duties are levied, the Dominion Government benefits by the increase, while the Provinces does not. The Province is also called on for increased expenditures on account of schools, hospitals, charities, etc., and it is time that the Province looked the matter in the face, and make arrangements for the increased revenue needed. The total revenue derived from the four Provinces at 1867 was \$13,677,928, and of that there was apportioned to these four Provinces \$2,753,986, or a little less than one-fifth went to the Provinces and four-fifths to the Dominion. Now from these four Provinces the Dominion Government received revenues in 1884. \$31,871,961, and they gave in subsidies \$3,606,672, or a little over oneninth the total revenue. It was considered an equitable division that on Confederation the Provinces should receive one-fifth the total amount of revenue on Confederation, yet last year they only received one-ninth, shough they are compelled to provide for the increased expenditure. If the amount granted to the Provinces had increased in the same propor-

tion as the amount demved from them the sum would have been \$5,950,000 instead of \$3,606,672. Looking at the amount received from Customs and excise the result is that the amount

DERIVED FROM THE FOUR PROVINCES

in 1867 from Customs and excise was \$11,580,968. while in 1884 it was \$23,911.745, an increase of said that these years don't properly represent the proportion, but by taking the average of the first three and the last three years of Confederation I find that the sums received from Ontario and

Queboc for 1867 8 9 and 1881 2-3 were \$9,774,987 aud \$21,345,789, or an increase of \$11,570,802.

Mr. MEREDITH-That is Mr. Norquay's argument. Hon. A. M. ROSS-That is a good argument.

If it is in the interests of Manitoba and Quebec it is in the interests of Ontario. Mr. MEREDITH-Who is going to loss if all

are to gain? Hon. A. M. ROSS-It only shows that we are justified in making a move. Every other Province has got allowances and Ontario is the only Province which has not got it.

Mr. CARNEGIE-In 1885?

Hon. A. M. ROSS-This Province then got what every other Province got. Whether wa calculate the gross amount received by the Dominion 'rom the Provinces or only the amount of tax per head. In 1867 the tax per head for customs and excise was \$3.74, and in 1884 it was \$5.86, and the whole of this large increase the Dominion Government absorbed, for there was no addition given to the Provinces generally except what addition was given in 1873. So I say that it is only right that the subsidy should be increased every ten years, as I am satisfied that if the present financial basis is to be continued the Provinces will not submit. The Provinces

cannot provide for an increased expenditure with a stationary revenue.

Mr. MEREDITH-How do the United States

manage? Hon. A. M. ROSS-By levying direct taxes. If they treat us all alike as the States are all treated alike, I would not grumble, but the present system of exceptional grants leads to pernicious extravagance.

Mr. MEREDITH-You don't agree with Mr. Blake, who proposes to apolish subsidies?

Hon. A. M. ROSS-I don't care if they do take the subsidies away and leave us to direct taxation, if they treat us all alike. But I don't think that was Mr. Blake's proposition. I believe his proposal was to take the subsidies away, and that certain revenues now collected by the Deminion should be collected by the Provinces. Not content with refusing us the increased subsidy, however, they have endeavoured to take away revenue we collected long before and also since Confederation.

Mr. MEREDITH-No, no. Hon, A. M. ROSS-What is the McCarthy

Mr. MEREDITH-That does not take any

revenue. Hon. A. M. ROSS-It does take away revenue, the revenue arising from vessel licanses and wholesale licenses. So far, however, the Provincial Government of Ontario has provided for all its expenditures, add yet has a surplus enabling us to meet any extra demands. But this has been done by ecomy and good Government, and if we are in a better position than some of the other Provinces it is not right that that should be cast up as a reason why grants should be given to tha other Provinces and not to us. What has been the result of this? Have we not seen that when the proposition was made to the Dominion Gouernment that the Province of Ontario should receive equal justice with the Province of Quebec in the matter of retarning to it a portion of railway aid granted by the Province ? Did we find, Sir, the representatives of our Province generally supporting that proposition? No Sir, we find it voted down by the voices of the representatives of the Province of Ontario. I say that it is time that the people of this Province should insist and take a firm stand in regards to this matter. If they do, I am satisfied that they will be successfull in securing equalrights with the other provinces, and I am satisfied that they will insist upon a more equitable and permanent baisis of confederation both financially and constitutionally which will give to the Province of Ontario those rights which under the present administration at Ottawa so unfairly des nied to us. Mr. Speaker I now beg to move that

you do leave the chair. Mr. CREIGHTON commenced by congratulating Mr. Ross on his financial statement and also expressed pleasure that the Hon. Treasurer was at last taking to heart the promptings of the Opposition for a long time past, in reference to the management of the Public Accounts. He contended that the municipalities had not received fair treatment at the hands of the Government, who acknowledged the justice of their claim but failed to grant it. He charged the Hon. Treasurer with making over-estimates on outlays of the Government for the purpose of devoting the excess to some object that they wers evidently trying to conceal. He said the Treasurer had always been flaunting a statement that there was a large surplus in his hands, and claimed that in so doing a system of concealment had been carried on; by including in his list of assets money owing for twenty years past, but at the same time he failed to include the liabilities