

voters to be made by hon. gentlemen on the other side of the House, who had opposed the giving of the franchise to those persons, while at the same time income voters owed their possession of the franchise to the present Government. (Hear, hear.)

The CHAIRMAN said the amendment contained a principle which was foreign to the purpose of the Bill. As the House at the second reading of the Bill had not affirmed the principle contained in the amendment, and, as May clearly declared that any amendments irrelevant to the principle of a Bill should not be put by a chairman, he ruled the motion out of order.

Mr. MEREDITH said the Bill was to make certain amendments to the Revised Statutes, and he proposed to make another. He contended that the Opposition side of House deserved as much credit for the giving of the franchise to income taxpayers as the Government side.

Mr. CAMERON said his opinion always was that the extension of the franchise to income taxpayers was a move in the wrong direction; but when a privilege was extended to any class he thought it should not be taken away unless they had done something to deserve it.

Mr. MEREDITH said he desired to appeal to the Speaker against the ruling of the Chairman of the Committee.

The Committee then rose and reported.

Mr. SPEAKER said the ordinary procedure was that no amendments not cognate to the principle of a Bill could be made in Committee unless previous instructions to that effect had been given by the House at the time of the committal of the Bill. This procedure, he presumed, was founded on the practice that the principle of an amendment must have received the sanction of the House on the second reading of the Bill. This particular case, however, involved points which he would like to consider more particularly.

Mr. CAMERON observed that the Bill was to make amendments to the Revised Statutes.

Mr. SPEAKER said it was not to make *any* amendments but "certain" amendments.

The ruling was reserved.

LICENSE ACT.

The House went into Committee on Mr. Hardy's Bill to amend the License Act, which was reported with a few verbal amendments.

Several hon. members calling for an adjournment,

Mr. CAMERON remarked that as the Attorney-General appeared to be too modest to-night to move the adjournment, he would take the liberty of doing so. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

The House adjourned at 9:50 p.m.