

at Mimico, the House ought to be better advised as to the fitness or unfitness of any other site proposed, and that a Select Committee should be appointed to enquire into and report as to whether the site be suitable or not.

Mr. TOOLEY moved the adjournment of the debate. Lost. Yeas, 22; nays, 45.

After some debate the House divided on Mr. Patterson's amendment, which was lost, Yeas 26; nays 39.

YEAS—Messrs. Cameron, Ardagh, Ferguson, Merrick, Meredith, Code, Read, Craig (Russell), Calvin, Boulter, Rykert, Lauder, Grange, Richards, Gifford, Hamilton, Craig (Glengarry), Guest, Deacon, Tooley, Monteith, Patterson, McCall, Baultbee, Scott (Grey), Crosby—26.

NAYS—Messrs. Mowat, Crooks, McLeod, Scott (Ottawa), Deroche, Pardee, McKellar, Gow, Springer, Baxter, Clemens, Barber, Clark (Wellington), Webb, Gibbon, Gibson, Williams (Hamilton), Hodgins, Farewell, Finlayson, Cook, Striker, Oliver, Paxton, Wilson, Christie, Sexton, Clarke (Norfolk), Monk, Wells, Smith, McKim, Caldwell, Sinclair, Robinson, Graham, Watterworth, Snetsinger, Harrington—39.

Mr. BOULTER then, to save a division, withdrew his amendment.

Mr. FAREWELL renewed the motion he had previously made in favour of Whitby, and it was declared lost on division.

The original motion was then put, and declared carried on division.

RETURNS.

Hon. Mr. PARDEE presented copies of further correspondence with several railway companies, the W. G. & B., T., G. & B., Midland, Northern Extension.

Also—Return of number of tavern and shop licenses issued in 1872.

The House then adjourned at 2:35 a.m.
