improvements as the public interests require. He agreed with the opinion he public interests required public imgerts in certain sections; but he also ded that the Government could not with this question piece-meal. It must with as part of a general whole, and lick to the people as much as could be hack to them. He had endeavoured train what the approximate popula the various constituousies might be; hedid not say that he had attained accurate results, for the progress in rections since the last census had arrying the distribution of \$3,645,500 among manicipalities would, according to his gate of their population, result as folpoint, Dundas and Gleagery. \$134,646 moti and Russell 7850273,328 and Grenville, (including Beckville) 131,680 73,068 62, 180 shiac 70.812 and addington 63,404 - Edward 45,430 Sand Belleville Co. 109,148 log and Port Hope 130,208 aleo' and Petarbaro 63,701 d 72.780 103 460 1.41 240 d - v 60 511 134,278 · 54.298aserth 73,702 d 64.842 ··· 63.058 Jed 58.082 gastd 55.611 68 695 107,904 Hall 100,776Fig.ton 138 304 142.060ahand St. Mary's 115,980 416 158,802 62..... 115962diet 146,380 and St. Thomas 71.936dt 85,630 nim.... 81.950 69 695 mt/0 115:258 200g 52,434 -p42-----31.56635 258 -lig 37,900 198..... Middletion of, say, \$2,430,333,mut, Dondes and Glengary ... \$ 89 764 watt said Russeil 52.333 (48,885 en and Grenville, including 89,787 hekvil e.... 48,712 put 41,453 436 47,205 10/210 42,269 mend Addington maldward 30,320 72,865 wigs and Belleville ofimberland and Durham, in. dding Cobourg and Port Hope 120 139 welow and Peterboro T 42,47948,520 63,973 94,160[4] 40,361 mite..... 89,519 alte. 36, 199 Matworth 49,145 43,228 42,045 felad 38,721 bduand 37,096 orfolk..... 44,401 71.40367,184 Eligion.... 92,203ey..... 94,707 ethand St. Mary's 77,307 inte..... 105,868 ties 77,308 97,587 his and St. Thomas 48 291 let 57,087 mbton 54.640 DET 46,464 licato. 76,839 hmiton 34956fagaton . 21,044 radea 23,505 Maya 25,367 Total, say \$2,430,333 a would say that it would not be right ut my distribution should take place with a settlement of the question of the be scuse should not begin to deal with the tented by whatever special aids were reared for public works. This was the true rinciple to be adopted, and entertaining at view he submitted it to the House. He not able to propose any resolution that mid involve any change in the public but he would move endment, seconded by Mr. McKellar, te hon, gentleman concluded by moving amendment, that all the words after lhat" be omitted and the following words atituted therefor, "this House feels

midpal Loan Fund. He contended that tiphs by giving special aid to certain oband by putting aside the question of tien distribution; but that when the rewithtlen was begun it should be supple-Henne, found to express its conviction that the Muntry will have just ground for dissatisiction, unless some plan is adopted, thereby, while making all just and neces bry provisions in aid of Railways and other public improvements of Provincial Attrest in the thinly settled and the un-Movided districts, a large part of the wai able surplus should be apportioned boording to population, and expended in meh way as each of the counties, cities and Eparated towns shall as to its own Watment designate, in aid of railways or ther permanent public improvements lecting the localities, or towards the reeption of municipal obligations already diracted for such purposes; due preations being taken for the proper apstion of the money, and provision mg made that the allotment to any micipality indebted to the Municipal an Fund, should be applied on equitable ms towards the satisfaction of what "may be found due by the municipality, on "an adjustment of the Municipal Loan " Fund indebtedness." (Chears.)

Hon. Mr. WOOD replied at considerable length to the criticisms of Mr. Blake, and defended the resolutions; and he was followed in the same vein by Hon. Mr. Cameron.

Mr. CRAIG said he would oppose the amendment.

Mr. McKELLAR pointed out the interested motives which influenced the Government in bringing down these resolutions at the present time, just before the elections. Mr. McCOLL (Norfolk) said he would sup-

port the amendment. Mr GOW was not satisfied with the scope of the resolutions, and

Mr. CUMBERLAND defended them. Mr. BOYD maintained that the amendment of the hon. member for South Brace proposed to do justice to all, and should receive the report of the House.

Mr. PAXTON expressed his regret at the incompleteness of the Government measure, which was also opposed by Mr. Sinclair. The debate was continued by Messrs. Currie, Peatty, Oliver, Rykert, Trow, and

Monteith. A divisi n was then taken, with the following result :-YEAR -Mesers. Paxter, Blake, Boyd, Christie, Cle

mens, Cook, Crosby. Finlayson, Fraser, Gow, Ma-Dorgall, McKellar. McKim, McLeod, McMurrich, Oliver, Pardee, Paxion, Perry, Sexton, Sinclair, mith (Middlesex), Stringer, Trow, Williams (Hamilton)-25. NATS.-Mesers Anderson, Beatty, Boulter, Calvin, Cameron, Carling (London', Carling (buron), Carne-

gia, C. ckborn, Code, Colquhoun, Corby, Coyne, Craig

Glengsry.) Craig (Bussell), Cumberland, Currie, Evans, Eyre Ferguson, Ferrier, Fitzsimmens, Galbratth, Grabam (Hastings,) Grahame (York), Hooper, Lauder, Lount, Lyon, Macdonald, McColl (Elgin), McGill, Matchett, Monteith, Murray, Read, Richards, Rykert, Scott (eres), Scott (Uttawa), Secord, smith (Kent), Smith (Leeas & Grenville), Strange, Swinarton, Tett, Walis, Wigle, Willams, (Durham,) Wilson, Wood-51. Hor. J. S. MACDONALD moved the House into committee-Mr. Lyon in the chair-on the resolutions.

and the reception of the report was fixed for The House adjourned at 12.20

The committee adopted the resolutions,