

BORN.

Kundanath—At Georgetown, on Monday, May 20th, 1901, H. G. P. Fonseca and his wife, both born.

DIED.

Barbara Whipple—Tuesday, Feb. 25, Walter K. Reed, aged 60 years.
Hattie—At Kansas City, Mo., Tuesday, May 6th, Mrs. Charles H. Hattie, widow of Prof. Thomas F. Hall, formerly of Hornby, Ontario.
Hawkins—At the home of her father, Duncan Terpil, Esq., Kirkdale, N.Y., May 10th, Mrs. Charles H. Hawkins, daughter of Mr. H. H. Hawkins, Acton, aged 21 years.

The Acton Free Press

THURSDAY, MAY 30th, 1901.

PARLIAMENT PROGRESSED.
Members of the House of Commons
Depart from the Capital—Acton.

Pineau Sitting.

OTTAWA, May 28.—Parliament was pro-
gressed this morning at 10 o'clock which
has been fixed for eight days, and which
is of the usual character. The Governor-General
drove off from Rideau Hall, escorted
by a troop of Dragoon Guards, and was re-
ceived at the entrance to the House of
Commons by a guard of honour from the
Foot Guards. To the gates there were
very few spectators. His Excellency pro-
gressed Parliament in a brief speech and
the members departed for their houses.

EDITORIAL NOTES.

It is estimated that Manitoba will have
a forty million bushel crop for export this
year. The area under cultivation has
been increased, the seeding was done early,
and the outlook so far very favourable.

A statement is going the rounds that the
Union Pacific and the Southern Pacific
will combine to freeze out the Northern
Pacific, the Canadian Pacific and the
Great Northern railways from the Ori-
ental trade.

Action's \$4,000 four per cent, twenty-
year consolidated debt debentures have
been sold to Gao. A. Simson & Co., of
Toronto, for \$4004 and accrued interest.
This is a good showing, and we congratulate
our sister municipality upon its high
standing in the money market.—Milton
Advertiser.

The Novo Vremya has been suspended
for a week for declaring that the terrible
distress among the Russian working classes
was the principal factor in the success of
the revolutionary propaganda from which
the recent disorders sprang. The paper
authorized the Government to make emer-
gent efforts to improve the condition of the
sufferers, saying that this was the only
way to check the propaganda and prevent
Kremlin.

At noon on Saturday, the Northern
Pacific Railway system in Manitoba,
embracing nearly four hundred miles of line,
was taken over by the Manitoba Government.
It will be operated by the N. P. R. until
the end of May, when Mackenzie & Mann
will unite it with their system. All
officials of the N. P. line have been notified
of the above effect. It is not known what
action will now be taken in legal proceed-
ings to prevent the complete consummation
of the bargain. It is the impression of many
that the legal action may now cease.

The suggestion of Mr. D. Henderson M. P.
for the erection of lower priced government
buildings in the smaller towns, which he
has so assiduously advocated, both when
his own party was in power and since the
present government assumed control of the
country's public business, is at last be-
ginning to bear fruit. Another railway
post office to cost \$5,000, with lodgings for
the postmaster. Mr. Henderson and the
people of Halton would have been pleased
if the suggestion had been inaugurated
in our own county, and it is hoped the
fruition of his efforts in this direction will
eve long be seen in this country.

The Canadian Press Association has assumed the cost
of the trial of the paper-makers who are
charged by the Canadian Press Association
with combining to increase the price
of paper to an unwarranted figure. The
precedent is thus established that this
inquiry and all future ones of the same kind
shall be paid for by the Government the
same as inquiries into inspections and other
clauses of the Customs Act. Presumably
the Judge has instructions to employ a
lawyer to collect evidence from witnesses,
if he shall deem it necessary. The position
of the publishers is not that of pro-
secutors or plaintiffs, and their counsel
will therefore not assume more responsi-
bility than belongs to them.

MILTON.

The court of General Sessions will be
held at the Court House on Tuesday, 11th, June.

C. H. Tracy has been appointed dog tax
collector.

The council has appointed R. Charles
Truman officer at a salary of \$12.00 per
month.

The Hilton Old Boys' Association have
decided to hold their annual excursion to
Milton on September 27, the date fixed for
the Fall Fair.

Mr. Jas. Landabro and family, who
moved to Calgary, N. W. T., some weeks
ago, have returned to town again. Mr.
Landabro says he was easily disappointed
when he reached Calgary.—Reformer.

The Milton teachers, who attended the
convention at Acton last week, are laid in
their graves both of the convention and
the welcome reception accorded by the
Acton people.

Mr. and Mrs. Dancon contemplate a
trip to Great Britain and the continent
this summer.

James Landabro has been appointed
Chief Constable, to fill the vacancy caused
by the resignation of Chief Bradley.

\$300 more has been placed at the dispo-
sal of the waterworks committee, for the
purchase of additional springs to supply
the reservoir.

A. H. Howes, who has been in the drug
business here for about 12 months, left
town last week for Thompson, North
Dakota, U.S.A.

The Ladies Aid of Marion Church will
give a garden party on June 19th, at the
residence of Shirley MacCallum, 1st
line, Marquette. First-class programme.

It is reported that some boys in this
neighbourhood are making collections of
birds' eggs by robbing nests. Perhaps
they are not aware that this is forbidden
by the Ontario statutes and that if detected
they are liable to be fined. Mayor
Deacon and Mr. G. A. Hammett, are at-
tending Conference at Hamilton.

In the final adjustment of accounts we
shall be given credit for the spiritual things
other people say about us.

**Children Cry for
CASTORIA.**

COURT OF REVISION.

The Court Had Numerous Appeals
Some of Which May Be before
the Judge.

BELL TELEPHONE CO. VALUES.

Council and an Court of Revision on
Monday evening. Members all present,
Rosa Williams in the chair.

After the oath of members of the Court
of Revision had been taken the business
of the Court was proceeded with.

The first case was that of the Bell Tele-
phone Company which appealed against
the assessment of \$1000 for their plant in
Acton. The Company had filed a protest
with Mr. John E. Hall, Gaith, the local
manager of the District. Mr. Hall claimed
that the value of the company's
plant in Acton is \$125.00. This was of
course based upon the famous "scrap iron"

assessment decision given in Hamilton some
years ago. Mr. Hall stated that the com-
pany was willing to agree, in order to save
any trouble in attending further courts, to an
assessment of \$800. The corporation
thought \$1000 would be an equitable assess-
ment, but was willing. If the Company
would agree to make no further appeal, to
fix the assessment at \$750. Mr. Hall con-
ferred by telephone with head office and
subsequently reported that \$500 was the
highest amount that the company would
accept for Acton. The assessment was
fixed at \$1000. Barrister McLean ap-
pealed for the corporation. It was stated
in the court that the Telegraph Company
was assessed \$600 for its plant here and
that no appeal had been entered.

H. D. Warren asked that the assessment
on his interest in the Warren Block be
reduced from \$5,000 to \$3,000. Assessment
was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk. 14, at \$2000. Assess-
ment was confirmed.

Mrs. B. A. Beard appealed against as-
essment of lot 11, blk. 2, at \$2000, reduced
to \$1800.

Mrs. Beard also appealed against as-
essment of lot 1, blk