

**FROM THE CAPITAL.**  
Dominion Standard—At the Methodist Tabernacle, Princeton, by Rev. C. Albert Rivers of St. John's, May 7. Mr. Goss Kennedy of Woods Hole, Mass., and Mr. W. H. McDonald of Hamilton, Ont., were present.  
Darts Society—A gathering of the darts' members, Dorset Avenue, Toronto, by Mr. John Davies of The Arrowsmith-Simco Co., to decide a game of darts.

**BIRD.**

Doves—At Milford, April 28, Mrs. Rev. Dr. Dobe, aged 78 years.

Swallows—At Ottawa, on the 28th April, the wife of Mr. Harry Stinch, aged 78 years.

Moths—At Guelph, on March 25, Mrs. Mary Graham, believed to be of N.Y., MacMaster, M.J., aged 71 years, and a month.

**The Actor Free Press.**

THURSDAY, MAY 10, 1888.

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

Sir John Macdonald is credited with the statement that presentation of the House of Commons will take place on May 21.

The temperance question is rapidly coming to the front, and nothing can for any great length of time, hinder its onward movement. The attention the subject is receiving from the church and state makes it plain that decisive action cannot be long delayed.—Toronto Star.

The amendments to the law of libel introduced in the House of Commons are in the right direction, and go wide of distance towards a plainer publication on a fair footing. They seem in an apparently effective shape to prevent reforms asked for by the Canadian Press Association.

If we are not mistaken typical times are in store for the politicians who trim on moral issues. The question of Franchise is rapidly becoming up and in this case neutrality is impossible and double-facedness abhored. This is an auspicious fact as to the quality of our coming statesmanship.

His Excellency the Governor-General, and Lady Lansdowne arrived in Toronto on Monday morning. In the afternoon they were tendered a public reception in the Pavilion music hall, at which addresses were presented to His Excellency by the City Council and benevolent and other societies.

The list of early closing towns and villages embraces—Georgetown, Clinton, Fonthill, Tara, Chelten, Brudenell, Dunnville, Millbrook, Aishburnham, Wixton, and Sheppard. These will probably be daily additions to the list for some weeks to come. Ontario will lead the continent, in the early closing movement.

That there cannot be too many applications for life saving in case of fire, is everywhere recognized, and the more the better. The proof of this is manifested in the fact that recently in New York where a net was made up for people to jump into from the upper windows, six women made the venture from a considerable height.

The railway post office is doomed in Massachusetts. Railroad commissioners of that State having ordered the railway companies to introduce a better system of heating at the commencement of next winter. They have not decided in favor of any particular system, but recommended steam heat, as being economical and practicable. The terrible loss of life which has been occasioned in recent railway accidents by trains being set on fire by overtempered car stoves fully justifies the decision of the Board and the effect of their example will no doubt extend to other parts of the country.

An early closing by-law requiring the closing of all retail dry goods stores, general furnishing, military, clothing, house furnishing, boot & shoe and furniture shops, from the hour of seven o'clock p.m. of each lawful day, to the hour of five o'clock a.m. of the next lawful day, except upon Saturdays and the days immediately preceding public holidays, as defined by "The Interpretation Act of Ontario," on which days such shops may remain open until and shall close at eleven o'clock p.m., and shall remain closed until five o'clock a.m. of the next lawful day was passed on Monday evening by Toronto City Council. A penalty not exceeding \$50 will be imposed for infraction of the by-law.

The Methodist and Presbyterian churches are taking practical steps for a territorial division between them of those sections of country which are sparsely settled as not to be able to sustain churches of both denominations. A better arrangement to real Christians could not be set up than a Methodist church with Presbyterian sancion, and a Presbyterian church with Methodist sanction, unless every kind of a mixed church could be had for corrections under which Presbyterians would not think they were in a Methodist church, and a Methodist majority would not feel that they were in a Presbyterian church.

**THE SNOW PLOW DISASTER.**

The Eric Against Peacock, Gladstone and Englefield Rider Dismissed.

Burns, May 5.—The principal case of the snuff today was that of Wm. Gladstone for manslaughter. The case arose out of the recent railway accident at Severn bridge, at which his employees of the company were killed. The defendant was the conductor of the ill-fated snowplough, which failed to establish a case, and his Lordship directed the jury to return a verdict of not guilty. In addressing the jury his Lordship stated that the action of the company in having stations at Washo and Severn bridge, two miles apart, with only one station agent, was a disgraceful state of affairs and one which ought not to exist. The defendant was also accused of a charge of wilful negligence in connection with the same accident. The case of George Foster was similar to the previous one, this defendant having lost the engine-driver. He was acquitted.

**A VARIABLE PATENT.**

The patents for the new improvement in roller mills owned by W. E. Cochran of Dundas, and V. E. Fuller of Hamilton, have been capitalized in Wisconsin, Mich., for \$10,000, one half of which goes to Mr. Cochran and Fuller. At the meeting of the company held at Fonthill last week, Cochran and Fuller were both elected to the Board of Directors, and subsequently Mr. Fuller was elected president, and Mr. Cochran vice-president of the company. The largest stockholder is Hon. H. H. McDonald, a millionaire of Leamington, who is also Lieutenant-governor of the state of Michigan.

**CANADA EXPRESSED.**

Lovell, May 6.—The Glasgow Exhibition was opened today by Sir Alfred and Princess of Wales. The weather was lovely. The Canadian exhibit, in charge of Captain Clark and Thomas Graham, includes certain timber, mineral, natural history, paintings and photographs. The exhibition promises to be the most successful ever held in Scotland.

**THE LIBRARY BILL CASE.**

This interesting Bill and its Progress is the Course at Osgoode Hall, Toronto.

The case of Hursl v. Barber, in which a contest over the will of the late Joseph Barber, of Georgetown, has been going on for the last eight days before Mr. Justice Ferguson at Osgoode Hall, was decided yesterday afternoon in favor of the testator's two sons, Frederick and Walter, the executors named in the will. The case was a very curious one and full of interest. The will was drawn by George Gibbs, a Georgetown hotel keeper, and the last clause of it, as is stood originally, was (heralding my estate) "to be equally divided among the two above-named executors." The word "between" was written above the space between "divided" and "the" and on the margin were the initials J. G., supposed to be there as authenticating the interlineation of the word "between." Some of the other children of the testator brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although he drew the will and was present at its execution, was not a subscribing witness, and even if the initials had not indisputably identified him, the interlineation would not have been properly authenticated, as neither the testator nor the non-subscribing witness initialled it, and it was not noticed in the testimony. Some of the other children brought an action to have it declared that the will should not be admitted to probate in this shape with the word "between" there, and hence arose the contest. George Gibbs, although