

Salada is offered in garden

Canada the Goat

Great Interest is Taken in Our Country as to the Ultimate Solution of Nation Wide Prohibition in the U.S.

The Washington proposal that the interest of Prohibition enforcement there should be an armed American patrol of 10,000 coastguards along the 3,000 miles of frontier between Canada and the United States, has resulted in much Canadian editoral comment.

Some Canadian editors are wroth at the idea. Some subject it to mockery. Yet others express great sympathy with the United States in its Prohibition problem.

The latter urge Canadians to do all they can to avoid conflict with the American regulations, and not to condone violation. Their argument is that in the long run profits gleamed from liquor selling can not do Canada any good.

In the matter of the American dry law, the London (Ont.) Advertiser notes that there seems to be choice between enforcement and obedience on the one hand, and lawlessness bordering on chaos on the other. This daily then remarks:

"President Hoover makes his choice enforcement, and as the Chief Executive of the nation, he can do nothing else without repudiating his duty."

"In this situation it is unfortunate that Canada should seem to be against the Government of the United States and on the side of the lawbreakers. Some say, 'Let the United States enforce their own laws.' It is true that we are not called upon to assist our neighbors in enforcing their laws, but it is equally true that we should not assist those who are breaking the laws, especially when the President and the Government are straining every nerve to make enforcement more effective."

There is no question here between wet and dry in Canada. Canadians will lose no part of their liberty. They will continue to be governed by their own laws, no matter what is done to restrict exports. The question is one of international relations, and not merely conduct.

"The proposal to have the United States adopt certain practices of Canada when exported to the United States has nothing to do with the case." We are at liberty to make any sort of laws we please, and to talk elsewhere for trade, but not to plead that the export of the United States is an excuse for conduct which makes it more difficult for the Government of the United States to enforce the laws of that country."

In a press cable to the London Daily Mail, its New York correspondent points out that if our allies of the authorities at Washington are fulfilled, the boundary line between Canada and the United States, which for a long time has required no army for its defense, will be dotted by a force of 10,000 coast guards on the United States side. What's more, this informant tells us:

"The frontier's 100 ports of entry are also to be increased to 1,000, and in future, if proposed, no one is to be allowed to cross from one country to the other except through one of these ports."

"These changes, it is explained, are rendered necessary by the exigencies of Prohibition. As the United States custom-houses at each of these ports of entry must have their Canadian counterparts, the new scheme, to be a success, requires the co-operation of the two governments."

"Hitherto Canadians and Americans have crossed the border whenever they pleased, provided they noticed the nearest port of entry of their crossing." Just how big we are told may be gathered from the figures for the export trade of Canadian distillers, brewers, and wine-growers, for the year 1929. As cited by the Ottawa Evening Citizen, the record shows that:

"Apt from home consumption, the export of alcoholic beverages—whisky, beer, and wine included—in 1929 was valued at \$29,559,929 as compared with \$28,445,933 in the calendar year of 1928."

"Of this total quantity, last year, \$20,37,100 worth went to the United States and the balance to other countries, but evidently the traffic is declining somewhat, for in 1928 the exports to the States totaled \$23,159,495."

A new international aspect of the problem appears in an Ottawa press-dispatch to the Vancouver Sun. According to this information the United States may in future wet wrangles have to do with the Government of France and not with Canada. Such a prediction is based on the figures for liquor export from Canada, of which we read:

"They show a tremendous increase in the whisky exports from Canada to St. Pierre-Miquelon, the French-island colony in the Gulf of St. Lawrence."

"These figures also show a remarkable coincidence between this increase and the decline to the United States."

"Whisky exports from Canada to the United States, direct, in November, 1929, were 130,827 gallons, as compared with only 87,751 gallons in the same month in 1928. While exports to St. Pierre in November, 1929, were 188,900 gallons, as compared with only 63,251 gallons in November of the previous year."

"Alcohol exports of all kinds to the United States showed a heavy decrease."

"The trading base between Canada and the United States when or if Prohibition or export is legislated at the coming session will be St. Pierre, Miquelon."

"If international difficulties arise over what is bound to be an even more troublous situation for the United States, that country will have to do business with the Government of France."

"The mere suggestion that the United States should arm and patrol its border against the entry of Canadian liquor causes the ire of the Vancouver Daily Province, which declares:

"It is an unhappy proposal because

if it were carried out, it would inevitably raise a cloud upon the old friendship of the two peoples."

"Canadians would be reminded, every time they crossed the border, that the honest boast of the unfriendly frontier and the hundred years of peace had ended in this display of men and vessels and munition of war."

"Americans would be reminded, on their lawful occasions at the border, that this display of force was intended against the people who were to be their hosts. It would make Canada the scapegoat of the domestic liquor issue of the United States. It would exhibit Canada—which supplies only 2 per cent of the illicit liquor consumed in the United States as the villain of the Prohibition drama."

Ten thousand rifle and one thousand machine-guns are liable to do a lot of damage anywhere, observes the Ottawa Journal. But, it adds, "when they are in the hands of the sort of people who have been serving as Volstead-enforcement officers, notoriously without discrimination in fingerling a trigger, anybody's liable to be shot at any time, whether he is a smuggler, a bootlegger, or an evangelist." So this daily things "it's no matter to laugh

at the latter urge Canadians to do all they can to avoid conflict with the American regulations, and not to condone violation. Their argument is that in the long run profits gleamed from liquor selling can not do Canada any good.

In the matter of the American dry law, the London (Ont.) Advertiser notes that there seems to be choice between enforcement and obedience on the one hand, and lawlessness bordering on chaos on the other. This daily then remarks:

"President Hoover makes his choice enforcement, and as the Chief Executive of the nation, he can do nothing else without repudiating his duty."

"In this situation it is unfortunate that Canada should seem to be against the Government of the United States and on the side of the lawbreakers. Some say, 'Let the United States enforce their own laws.' It is true that we are not called upon to assist our neighbors in enforcing their laws, but it is equally true that we should not assist those who are breaking the laws, especially when the President and the Government are straining every nerve to make enforcement more effective."

There is no question here between wet and dry in Canada. Canadians will lose no part of their liberty. They will continue to be governed by their own laws, no matter what is done to restrict exports. The question is one of international relations, and not merely conduct.

The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

It is now some years since the Better Business Commission of the country adopted as their slogan the phrase "Before you invest, investigate." But the public, it seems, has yet to learn the lesson.

Australia and Singapore

Melbourne Australasian: We are happily situated in occupying an island continent, and the chief advantage which the situation gives to us is that we are enabled to make use of the sea as a frontier. We can no longer be attacked from the south and west. The danger on the east is remote and slight. Our weakness is in the north and our best defence in that direction is not near to our own shores, but as far away from them as safety will permit. Singapore-Singapore. It is the height of folly to think of the defence of Australia being conducted from our own shores with the "three-mile limit" as a fence. We do not, of course, overlook the consideration that a naval base at Singapore would be of very great importance for the defence of other parts of the British Empire likewise. It is not merely an Australian question.

Our concern for it, however, is increased, not limited, by the fact that it is required for the defence of India, the British possessions in Africa, the East Indies, and the China seas, as well as for Australia and the Pacific; for we are part of the political system to which these possessions operate, and their security is ours.

"Apt from home consumption, the export of alcoholic beverages—whisky, beer, and wine included—in 1929 was valued at \$29,559,929 as compared with \$28,445,933 in the calendar year of 1928."

"Of this total quantity, last year, \$20,37,100 worth went to the United States and the balance to other countries, but evidently the traffic is declining somewhat, for in 1928 the exports to the States totaled \$23,159,495."

A new international aspect of the problem appears in an Ottawa press-dispatch to the Vancouver Sun. According to this information the United States may in future wet wrangles have to do with the Government of France and not with Canada. Such a prediction is based on the figures for liquor export from Canada, of which we read:

"They show a tremendous increase in the whisky exports from Canada to St. Pierre-Miquelon, the French-island colony in the Gulf of St. Lawrence."

"These figures also show a remarkable coincidence between this increase and the decline to the United States."

"Whisky exports from Canada to the United States, direct, in November, 1929, were 130,827 gallons, as compared with only 87,751 gallons in the same month in 1928. While exports to St. Pierre in November, 1929, were 188,900 gallons, as compared with only 63,251 gallons in November of the previous year."

"Alcohol exports of all kinds to the United States showed a heavy decrease."

"The trading base between Canada and the United States when or if Prohibition or export is legislated at the coming session will be St. Pierre, Miquelon."

"If international difficulties arise over what is bound to be an even more troublous situation for the United States, that country will have to do business with the Government of France."

"The mere suggestion that the United States should arm and patrol its border against the entry of Canadian liquor causes the ire of the Vancouver Daily Province, which declares:

"It is an unhappy proposal because

if it were carried out, it would inevitably raise a cloud upon the old friendship of the two peoples."

"Canadians would be reminded, every time they crossed the border, that the honest boast of the unfriendly frontier and the hundred years of peace had ended in this display of men and vessels and munition of war."

"Americans would be reminded, on their lawful occasions at the border, that this display of force was intended against the people who were to be their hosts. It would make Canada the scapegoat of the domestic liquor issue of the United States. It would exhibit Canada—which supplies only 2 per cent of the illicit liquor consumed in the United States as the villain of the Prohibition drama."

Ten thousand rifle and one thousand machine-guns are liable to do a lot of damage anywhere, observes the Ottawa Journal. But, it adds, "when they are in the hands of the sort of people who have been serving as Volstead-enforcement officers, notoriously without discrimination in fingerling a trigger, anybody's liable to be shot at any time, whether he is a smuggler, a bootlegger, or an evangelist." So this daily things "it's no matter to laugh

at the latter urge Canadians to do all they can to avoid conflict with the American regulations, and not to condone violation. Their argument is that in the long run profits gleamed from liquor selling can not do Canada any good.

In the matter of the American dry law, the London (Ont.) Advertiser notes that there seems to be choice between enforcement and obedience on the one hand, and lawlessness bordering on chaos on the other. This daily then remarks:

"President Hoover makes his choice enforcement, and as the Chief Executive of the nation, he can do nothing else without repudiating his duty."

"In this situation it is unfortunate that Canada should seem to be against the Government of the United States and on the side of the lawbreakers. Some say, 'Let the United States enforce their own laws.' It is true that we are not called upon to assist our neighbors in enforcing their laws, but it is equally true that we should not assist those who are breaking the laws, especially when the President and the Government are straining every nerve to make enforcement more effective."

There is no question here between wet and dry in Canada. Canadians will lose no part of their liberty. They will continue to be governed by their own laws, no matter what is done to restrict exports. The question is one of international relations, and not merely conduct.

The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

It is now some years since the Better Business Commission of the country adopted as their slogan the phrase "Before you invest, investigate."

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.

"The money thus wasted in a single year could buy two million automobiles and would duplicate every public improvement in the shape of schools, hospitals, sewers, paving, and water supply made within the same period by American cities of more than 30,000 inhabitants."

And this waste is altogether unnecessary for there is not a man or woman in the land who is denied the opportunity or convenience, the nachodious opportunity to obtain, without expensive information and sound counsel, that make safe investment possible.