

**Journalism**  
To the Editor of The Standard, I was led to hunt for the paper (which is usually relegated to the back ground of the lighting, etc.) to see what the worthy man had to say, but was not prepared for the impertinence and discrimination therein displayed. The distinguished Editor says of those contracting parties, they were married on Friday last or Tuesday, with other particulars, who was his informant on so common an article, concocted in his capacious 'pottle'. He should be content to refer all the neighborhood, as this is only related by a few, and I would counsel him for the future to refrain from further mention of either name or any transaction of the family in his reports. His paper as people of principle, (not paid) can't tolerate the effusions of his mighty brain. To soften the severity of this restriction, I would say, that course friend Rutledge, there is always a little news floating round which the postoffice will assist you in preparing. In the meantime, consult Campbell's Language Lessons, rudimentary spelling, study the best works on common sense. Were I to consult my own feelings, the matter would be treated with silent contempt. I would further add that said Editor would benefit by Burns' eclogue:  
"O, had some power the girls of us  
To be excus'd as idle as we are."  
From a Hiker.  
N.B.—Will the editor of the Standard please copy as he may be in want of news.