## Supplement to the "STANDARD" Dec. 23rd 1886.

MR. EDITOR, - Mr. Elliott in his letter of catch you about a letter written by you. But and then, the Township lost nothing, it last week rather surpasses himself—in his as far as memory goes, he did not make was not an action simply against the tirade of errors and vindictiveness-It is much out of it." amusing, to see the repudiation of his, lovely associates—and yet is it not curious; correctness of my charges.

OUTSelv

In th

the re

to becom

r country

commo

ntention

at as son

n-hand'to

a pure

for our

aintainin

creed

e that I am

reques

vote and

, what my

Ontario at

rsued and

he Mowa

sts of the

nyself the

its merits

unnecess.

y as your

legislation

e interests

een ignor-

ally tomee

ble in this

particular

peak wit.

ith you at

nstituency

OWN.

Plain Fac-

yerything

ttons,

o none in

rtment,

nptly.

ssed

ing done.

EA.

forming

THAT LETTER :

corporation.

was said to weaken your evidence-I re- -the action was taken upon the advice of member Mr. Creasor, made an effort to a Solicitor, and my whole course, was

Signed, ALFRED FROST. I wrote Mr. Elliott to state his charge in that after two weeks, meditation, and may plain terms—But which he wishes to imbe mutual courtesies, with a visit to Owen press the public with, namely perjury. I am determined malice, of this Ex-Deputy, is Sound thrown in-two appear syncrimously led to scorn the man, who for mercenary and bis statement of my inviting, a neighbor in your coulmns—with an additional cur base purposes, makes such gross—such to take out a license to sell liquor—I conto echo this song. But Sir I have curried malicious statements, against a man, whose fess it would be most in consistant if I did animals, like these ere now; they are small shoe latchit he is unworthy to unlose—Not so—but I didn't, there is the difference ones, and, it is easily accomplished. Before only Mr. Frost, but gentleman on the jury. I challange him to name the person or to | proceed however, I mu-t make one correct substanciate my statements. I would have fol- prove it—It is inconsistent with my life long tion-"They did not appeal to him for ad lowed this, legally, butfor the impecuniousness professions-and what he thinks to make vice" true; rather, "I was directed to him"- of my detractors. The Valley Road is another out of such unmitigated falsehoods, I am at you see Sir, it is a difference without much matter to be introduced for the first time, a loss to know. change-Elliott being, the depository and to assist him in his dirty work-but let us expository, of and for his born admirers—of see how Elliott will answer Elliott -In 1878 request and the pledge and honor of a ROYAL course he dosn't like the company, but before upon petition by Ratepayer: duly presented ARCH man to keep strictly within the limits I have done with him, others shall see of the Mr. Elliott moved and Mr. Wright seconded - of the law-Sign his Bonds. Is that wrong? "That a committee be appointed to ascertain I don't make it my business, nor my temperthe practicability of the road from Plewes' mill ance principles don't bar me from acts of Although fyled in the archives of the Courts, to Campbells. This was carried-Mr. kindness to my Tavern keeping, fellow still trotted along-What think you of the | Elliott moved that the committee be formed | citizens-all with whom I am on the most intelligence of a Magistrate, to use a mere of Messrs. Wright, Webster and the mover school boy's logic-to prove my private letter | They reported on the scheme favorablyto be a public one-When the address the When the surveying was done, according to nicious insinuations concerning myself be an subject matter, with a reference to a private this favorable report—Mr. Elliott moved and indication, he is unworthy, the respect and correspondence. all conclusively show, it, Webster seconded, that the expenses incurred cognizance of such an institution. to have been a private one. Surely, the in running the line throughout be paid writ r of it, has the lest wright to interpret .- | whatever it was. On the 1st of September my contention, he admits, before he mis- following the By-law establishing the whole 1878; 1879 and subsequently when I made placed it; yes put out of his hands-Pilate line. was passed without a dissentient-Here him the Deputy-as against other claiments and Herod became friends. after Bain sued is Elliott leading off, every mction-to dis-Euphrasia! and somebody had to suffer | cover its practicability; for the expences in-Judas, came upon the scene, and the curred, and for establishment-and was one Township, with its chief officer, had to be of the committee-whose duty is plain, if he was like the beggar who had been betrayed and nubbed by its Deputy Reeve members exceeded their instructions—The accustomed to receive a penny daily from Had he acted the manly part-the council of Reeve however is blamed for the thing, he his benefactor until he claimed it as a night, Artemesia, would have stood by him-but, so facetiously fathered-not a solitary word and when he was refused it, his benefactor from the month of September until January of dissent, escapes him-how could it be was anything but a gentleman. following, this work was proceeding, and we otherwise, when his committee did it-I I am prepared Sir, to vindicate my actions; find that he was at the letting of it, was the merely assented that is all-It only remains in the Council or out of it. I never overseer, the inspector, he it was who issued to say -that the work is being executed as assume anything of importance out of the the pay sheets-and during all this but, once nearly as may be on the lines and upon Council Chamber whatever the decision he said, "THEY are going on with that work" the conditions, more than once, he advoand when he says, he made a motion, to have cated-much cheaper than was ever dreamed Elliott, is so entirely circumscribed and the sum paid, according to his report-not of-The cost was always placed at from ir, a report by himself—the council was \$1000 to \$200 Dollar.—as to the question kept in complete ignorance of the relation of Titles-It is merely clap-trap-What of he bere to it-not only then-but afterwards other roads with which he was intimately nor-until the evidence was taken from him connected, twelve years ago-and no titles in court, was it known! And why? because | jet! But then of course he was a tool of the Reeve, was to be made responsible by the lamented Leckie-Does it interfere with dishonorable modes for this doubly paid work | the lines, the construction or cost of the -it is difficult to summons a more cowardly work-I fail to see it-proprietors are bound act of a conneillor from the annals of the to take what three honest men will give popularity as a Councillor; although it is no them-but Sir there is not a road in the Mr. Elliott also flativ contradicts my state- Township, of its length where so many ment regarding, the celebrated "Letter-but titles and plodges for titles are given- farmers interest at heart, my streneous efforts the very questions by the solicitor, and only one or two lots where any doubt ex my replies prove my statements to be correct | ists-and the road altogether is over five | have been assailed by a few interested parties--It being such a serious charge, I felt it my miles in length-He also mentions a duty to write to the Solicitor in the case Sullivan matter—this may be dismissed, tency of a few. Thanking you for the space, regarding it—here is the reply -... Sound, by stating that no jury was impannelled Dec, 11th, 1886. Re. Blain & Euphrasia, in this case—and that therefore, Markdale I am quite positive that you did not deny is not implicated pro or conyour signature, and do not think anything and in the case which was tried by jury

advised or sanctioned by this same Elliott '

Township-The damage were retained out of the School Section's money in our hands—so much for consistency!

What is more deprecatory, showing the

I did once in my life-only once-upon the friendly terms-Would Elliott carry o .t such a principle, so solmnly pledged? If his per-

He is ill at ease regarding my favours to him-he forget the years 1875; 1876; 1877; -Then it was, no burden to be the tool of the Dr.-But you see Sir. I changed my practice, and he very unwillingly acquiesced

there—it is my guide—and that is why Mr. crippled in proof of his allegations-my actions emenated from his sanction, with but few exceptions-and it shows him in a most rediculous aspect-for every time when he charges one, he is, the mover to carry out the very thing he blames one for-I admit no man is perfect, and speaking of things oc curring so long ago-mistakes naturally occur ---my writing, as he wrongly says, is not to gain boast to say I have more friends at this moment, than ever before-I have no bonus, seeking peramulating business to meet-I have the have been to keep down the taxes-for this I Ratepayers, as against the clamor and inconsis-

> I am Yours, DR. CHRISTOE.