

We felt all the members knew it was being used this way but evidently several say they did not know and do not approve of this so we made a new motion with 10 out of 17 members present saying that a Institute member could have the hall free for any group gathering or party as long as there is no charge or profit and a Institute member chaperones and leaves the hall clean every member at this meeting voted in favour of this so it was passed. These several members who did not attend this meeting visited with each other that evening even though they both knew the hall subject would be dealt with that night.

They came to the next meeting and ~~stated~~ stated that this motion was out of order we should of looked up the old motion and made a amendment to it and also given a month's notice of motion I do not know too much about Parliamentary procedures so maybe you could clarify this. We have many motions made about things including more about the hall does each one have to be amendments to the old motions likely the movers & seconders of these old motions are dead, moved away or not members now, this was not stated as a outstanding motion or by law. We have only rented the hall 5 times since 1960 each group rented it once each including the young people when they were making money this hall is not central so we do not make as much money as if we rent Mc Neilly Hall which is quite central