## A large first challenge tor our new councile The Editor: OTHE Editor: The Following CHRONICLE OUR SANS SOLUTION OUR latest consultant. Surely one of the consultant our latest consultant.

It was disturbing, to say the least, to read the article in last week's Colborne Chronicle (January 1, 2004) regarding the situation with the Roads Superintendents. What a Christmas present that must have been.

Two men who have worked in this area for 25 and 18 years respectively, gaining experience and understanding of the requirements and problems of the area, are suddenly to be demoted and have their pay reduced in order to bring in an outsider to be their boss with the underlying theory to save money. Well, these two Supers must be paid an awful amount in order that we can take part of their salaries to pay a director to save

Parallel to this, our new Chief is tarnished so early in their first term. Administrative Officer (CAO), has left full time employment - to return after the

our latest consultant. Surely one of the platforms in the last election was the fact that we had had our bellyful of consultants. Is this going to save us money

Certain members of our council have known both Road Superintendents for some time - why were their heads not wanted before now?

It was an election promise that the local constituents would have more access to our elected officials. This is an ideal opportunity for details and a sound explanation be issued in order that people understand the logic and reasoning for treating long term employees in this manner.

This is an ideal time for the new council to set their course before their reputation

Graham Norcutt / Castleton

## To The Editor:

money.

month of January in Mexico - to become

## Not the council action for which I voted

To The Editor:

I read with alarm "Two Cramahe road supers to be demoted", Colborne Chronicle, January 1, 2004.

I worked with Jim Black for a number of years and found him extremely competent and willing. Frozen water mains got repaired in the middle of a below-zero-degree night, there were no citizen complaints and he was voted "Citizen of the Year" recently.

I quite agree; in the longer run, there should be only one road superintendent but this is a poorly conceived, extremely insensitive, expensive way to deal with a situation.

Council says it needs the expertise of

these two people in the future. This is a strange way to cultivate initiative and cooperation. The village (of Colborne) spent a good many dollars in education to ensure competence in running the sewage plant - no Walkerton catastrophe here.

I also understand there is a plan to remove the stone "fencing" on King Street in Colborne. Some like it, some don't. Personally, I like the effect. It is unique to Colborne/Cramahe as opposed to conventional, boring steel rails (that kids can climb and fall off off.

This sure as hell is not the council action I voted for,

Ted Pedlar / Simpson Road, Cramahe Township



N

20