member for North Victoria (Mr. Barron

has complained of, the government have

built two expensive locks immediately above this obstruction; and I do think the

government should take steps to remove that obstruction and enable the people to get the benefit of the works which have

Warner & Co.

Made Jackets, etc. Bargains in Hosiery, Gloves, Ribbons, Laces, Uunderwear, Caps, General Furnishings and Small Wares.

We make a specialty of all these lines, and good money can be saved by purchasing your school outfitting supplies from us;

### GLOVES! GLOVES!! GLOVES!!!

Special prices for all kinds of Summer Gloves. Better Bargains | member for East Northumberland (Mr. of the members for Peterboro East (Mr. Gloves. In addition we have just opened 1,200 pairs of South Victoria (Mr. Fairbairn) to the fact part of the expenditure on that great than ever are being offered to clear out every pair of Summer Ladies' Black and Colored 4-Button Kid Gloves, which we are offering during this sale as follows:

600 Pairs at 50 cents, regular price and value, 75 cents. 600 Pairs at 75 cents, regular price and value, \$1.00.

These are special drives and worth looking after, as they won last long and cannot be replaced at the price.

## NEW FALL GOODS.

We are opening every day large quantities of New Fall Goods, which are being offered at our well-known exceptionally low prices. pointed out that at Fenelon Falls, north of the lock, the bridge remains as it always

COUNTRY MERCHANTS and SMALL DEALERS can at all times find job lines with us in Staple and Small Wares much below regular Wholesale Prices. In addition you have the advantage of buying in lengths to suit your trade—half or quarter pieces. Our Jobbing Trade is steadily increasing because our prices are right. If you have not A lockmaster was employed year after thinks this house is so stupid as not to already reported is too wide, and that the November 15th, 1887. yet had quotations from us come and see what we can do. You are sure to be pleased and save money as well.

# WARNER & COMPANY

THE GREAT BANKRUPT STOCK MEN, 76 and 78 Kent-st.,

J. H. Sootheran.

Real Estate, Money Loaning and

Insurance Broker, Lindsay.

TOWNSHIP OF MARIPOSA. \$5,000 will buy you 147 acres, 75 acres

Britain. Terms to suit.

\$3,500 will buy you 100 acres near Wood-

TOWNSHIP OF OPS.

\$5,500 will buy you 119 acres adjoining Lindsay.

\$4,700 will buy you 100 acres three miles from Lindsay.

\$5,500 will buy you 200 acre farm nea Mount Horeb.

TOWNSHIP OF EMILY.

TOWNSHIP OF MANVERS.

\$5,200 will purchase 150 acres near Fleet-

\$4,500 will purchase 112 acres adjoining the village of Bethany.

\$6,000 will purchase 133 acres near Bally-

\$6,700 will purchase 300 acres near Frank-

TOWNSHIP OF FENELON.

will purchose 100 acres half way between Cameron and Fenelon

\$2,750 will purchase 100 acres near Powles promise has been made that they would corners.

\$3,000 will purchase 100 acres in township for five years now, and we are just where we began. Surely the minister must see

\$7.000 will purchase and mile of Omemee.

cultivation. Easy terms.

mproved. 41 miles from Little

will buy you 100 acres, all cleared, first-class buildings and good state of

will buy you 100 acres seven miles

will buy you 100 acre farm south o

will purchase 200 acres near

Downey ville. first-class in every particular. Good buildings.

will purchase 125 acres within one

A PARTIAL LIST OF

J. G. Edwards.

Lindsay, August 27, 1891.-68.

rds.

00DS.

**ICES** 

E---Seven

chine Oil,

Tay Rakes,

res, and all

Forks,

st Tools.

iable Goods:

'ARDS,

nvil.

ien.

ADY

iday trip and

calling upon

prises all the

s I am going

tly the prices

RIEN,

al Co.

the Benson House.

I men can't be

llos of strength

form, but all

have robust

th and strong

es and clear

ds. Our treat-

t makes such

. The methods

ly, and where

easily, quick-

permanently

Nervousness,

train of evils

later excesses,

ork, sickness,

cured. Full

and tone giv-

portion of the

1 methods. Im-

t seen. Failure

erences. Book,

proofs mailed

AL CO.

BUFFALO, N.Y.

nds.

dles,



PAINT, STAIN AND VARNISH

ALL IN ONE. For Staining all Kinds of Wood. For Renewing Old Furniture. For Painting Carriages. For Staining Cane, Willow, Wicker, Slat Work, etc. For many other purposes.

ASPINALL'S ENAMEL For Renovating Everything.

world-renowned and un- \$4,400 will buy you 100 acre farm near equalled.

J. G. EDWARDS, \$5,500 \$4,600

ware, Iron. Oils, Coment, Paints, Glass, Etc. Sign of the Anvil.

Lindsay, Aug. 26, 1891.-63.

Canadian Pacific Railway.

METHVEN HARTNEY DELORAINE MOOSOMIN BINSGARTH REGINA MOOSEJAW YORLTON PRINCE ALBERT \$35.00 CALGARY

# The Canadian Post.

there is already one on the south side unfair—I was going to use a stronger which is still apparently available for the same object, and yet they will not put a building the Beauharnois canal, is entirely unfair—I was going to use a stronger expression; but it appears to me the hon. member for North Victoria (Mr. Barron) dollar in the estimates for the construction state, the fact that there is one grand that the government are entering upon to go to his constituents, or is he prepared have referred and will not vote a solitary | tell the electors-because they are reasoncent for the Trent Valley canal, that work which has been time and time again \$15,000,000 in the enlargement of the promised-it is true just before a general | Welland canal, when we are about to ex election-to the people in the midland has done. I ask the minister now what is going to be done about it. There is a lock at Fenelon Falls which has cost between shore, when we refuse a dollar to the 1 would ask the attention of the minister \$250,000 and \$300,000. It is a very excellent enterprise in which he is interested. I do to the particular fact that the commissionlock, it is true. Good work was done there. not know whether the hon, gentleman ers reported that the width of the locks as them from Mr. Rubidge's report dated year, and the receipts last year were just understand the absurdity of the position said before us. The hon. and we find that by adopting the suggestion and we find that be a suggestion and we find that by adopting the suggestion are suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion as a suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion as a suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion and the suggestion are suggestion as a suggestion are suggestion. built a bridge has been left across the has referred, and in which he is so deeply saving of \$20,000 on each of the 71 locks has referred, and in which he is so deeply saving of \$20,000 on each of the 71 locks ber. 1890, was \$750,467.98.

I have river at one end of the lock, absolutely interested, will cost four or five million still to be built, or a saving of \$1,420,000.

Are you, I now ask, going to leave the bridge there as it always has been, or are you going to have something done to benefit the people by making use of the lock and the canal which you going to have something done to benefit the people by making use of the lock and the canal which you have built there. H. SOOTHERAN, you going to have something use of the lock fit the people by making use of the lock and the canal which you have built there are gentleman is perhaps as well acquainted to have the figures almost correspond with the the st. Lawrence river, because I consider the different section as well as that of the strength of the western section as well as that the canal which you have built there are the company to have the Trent Valley canal. The com-\$250,000 or \$300,000? Now, I understand as I am; but if we are to adopt the theory When the minister told us that this canal to have the Trent Valley canal. The com- that the route that is the most feasible is that the government are anxious to do mentioned by the hon, member for Oxford, was going to cost \$8,000,000 odd on Mr. merce that would come down the Trent laid down on the map. I am not awar something to make that water navigable, these estimates may prove a long way Rubidge's estimate, why did he not tell us Valley canal must necessarily use the S:. that any further survey is required except to make the lock beneficial to the people so | below the actual cost. However, I do not | that Mr. Starke, another engineer. had | Lawrence canale; and if the whole system | it be to locate the canal in case of the as to enable them to go up and down from intend to enter into a full discussion of estimated that the cost would be \$3,200,000 is to be carried out we who are interested whole work being put under contract for one lock to another. But they are cheese- the question to-night, and I would not to complete the canal. I would ask the in the Trent Valley canal are perfectly construction. I understood there was no paring about this business; they are willing have said sof much had not the hon. minister to note that if the changes sug- satisfied with that expenditure. I under- regular survey of the western portion, to give something to the Grand Trunk gentleman attempted to make some poli- gested by the commissioners in their report stood the hon, member for North Victoria because it was not known where the canal rallway, and let the Grand Trunk put in a tical points out of it, rather than argue were adopted Mr. Starke's figures would to state that he gave me notice that he would terminate. If a survey were made swing bridge. I tell the government there | the merits of the work itself. The only almost correspond with the reduced figures | would bring this matter up, and it appears | you could make some estimate of the cost. is no use talking about a swing bridge. A practical point to which he called attention of Mr. Rubidge, if the suggestions made by that now he has had all the discussion he swing bridge there would not be safe, it was that of the lock and that of the bridge. the commissioners were carried out. The proposes to have on the question. Speakwould be impossible by reason of the cur- I admit frankly that there has been a minister undertakes to lecture me me for ing of the cost of the canal, he refers to sort of an apology after hearing what the rents of the river. But they must make great deal of delay, and I may say unneces- showing some anger, as I think he called Mr. Rubidge's estimate of about \$8,000,000, member for East Peterborough (Mr. Burnsome arrangement with the Grand Trunk sary delay, in accomplishing the object it, in regard to this matter, and he at- and I think the hon. gentleman is right in ham) said just now, because whatever by which that bridge is removed entirely which he and those interested have in tributes to me that I cannot discuss any saying that the work can be constructed might be said in regard to my political and the railway is put south of the lock view, and that is in having a bridge, question of this kind without showing for a very much less sum, because the motives could not be said in regard to where the banks on either side of the river | whether a swing bridge or not, or the political motives. I think the minister has works now constructed are stated by the either of the two hon, members for the are high enough to enable vessels to go Grand Trunk should change its line and already been told to night that there are commissioners in their reports to be unnec- county of Peterborough, who could have underneath a railway bridge. Now, there cross the river at another point to be others in this house who can show political essarily expensive. There could probably no such motives against the government may be a question of law involved in this determined hereafter. But I can assure motives. The hon, member who sits be saved \$2,000,000. That I understand is and especially against the minister of railmatter, and I wish the minister of justice | the hon. gentleman during the short time I beside me, (Mr. Scriver), told the minister | what the hon. member for North Victoria | ways. I thank these hop. gentleman during the short time I beside me, (Mr. Scriver), told the minister | what the hon. member for North Victoria | ways. I thank these hop. gentlemen for were here to discuss it. But it seems to have been in charge of the department I himself a few moments ago, that possibly refers to when he speaks of the saving that supporting what I said. I was very glad me that where there is a non-navigable have taken active measures in connection the government might be desirous of build- could be effected on the estimate made by to hear the member for West Peterborough law, that any obstruction should be re- the law compels them to do, and which from political motives. I do not say that canal. I understand that we shall have an bears in Peterborough for everything just river made navigable by the government, moved; but that the government has to be they deny their liability to do. That work is so, but in the meanwhile, the hon. opportunity on a future occasion to discuss and upright, but last session I found that at an expense of removing the obstruction will be completed at as early a dry as post minister ought to be careful in charging the question in this house; but I must say two or three times he were a different face in this case at an expense of \$50,000 or \$60,000 I cannot understand. I understand law, and I say that the government to-day in the estimates will be qr to sufficient to shown by the gentlemen occupying the known to the members of this house, and the obstruction. Rat to go and say they course I defer to the super or knowledge that I became member for North Victoria to bring the subject more fully before the hope the hon, member will be just as active will give the Grand Trunk some \$10,000 or and engineering skill of the hon, member the work on the Trent Valley canal, so far house. At all events the telegram that in the future in regard to this canal as he \$15,000 to put in a swing bridge, will not for North Victoria (Mr. Barron) who shakes as progressive work is concerned, ceased all was read by the hor. member for Victoria, apparently has promised to be to-night. I answer the purpose, because a swing his head. If he would confine himself to through my riding, and from that time to I think, stated the position in which the did notice that the member for West Peterbridge will be unavailable, and besides, shaking that intellectual head and not the present not a dollar has been spent. will be always dangerous, and I under- using his tor gue, the house would be con- because the people of North Victoria-if I refuse to put in a swing bridge. Now, I But the engineer whose duty it is to inform an opponent of the government. Although the hon, member for North Victoria says on this subject of the hon, member for want to draw the attention of the minister ministers on this question tells me, and no all the facts show that political motives they shielded themselves behind the com- West Peterborough and that of the ministers on this question tells me, and no again to the fact that they have been pay one knows better than the engineer who is have been characteristic of hop, gentlemen mission. Now that is not a correct state- ter of rall ways in regord to this work, they ing a lockmaster several years a salary of on my left, that this sum is ample to on the other side of the house, yet because, \$250 or \$300, and the receipts last year accomplish what the hon. gentleman has anxious as I am for the construction of the government did was this: There were some were only \$35 60. Now, the fact of allowing in view, that the bridge, if we are obliged canal, I show some warmth at the unnecesagainst the reputation of the route, because some of the boulders and obstructions admits has taken place, he arises in his the government referred that matter, as hon, member for West York (Mr. Wallace) why the receipts are so small is that the route is made impassable by reason of this we will be quite prepared to have a tilt my riding since I was elected, tends to my riding since I was elected to my riding since I was elected to my riding since I was elected. bridge. I would, therefore, like the with the hon, gentleman if he desires it on show political motives on the other side of These were Mr. Kennedy, the harbour find that out for himself. I am glad that the government in regard to that bridge. this question on its merits apart from my constituents as I always shall be, and I engineer of Toronto. Mr. Kennedy, so far erborough have assisted me in regard to I would like him to say if it is the inten- political advantages to be derived from it. hope I am zealous also in the national as I know, went there rather prejudiced, the bridge, and in all earnestness I say to will purchase 100 acres near tion of the government to make any

once, because he will remember that every

see about it. But that has been going on

him some more questions with regard to secure free navigation from Balsam lake

We are fully prepared for this important occasion with a very fine stock to make your selection from to get your youngstones ready for School Opening. We have a very large and well-selected Stock of and well-selected Stock of and well-selected Stock of the price of Two. These are facts worth looking after, and should be picked up quick.

Boys' and Youths' Clothing, bought at 69c. on the Dollar, the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In the house of commons on the 18th inst. In committee of supply the following the state part. In the price of the price of Two. These are facts worth looking after, and should be picked up quick.

Boys' Knockabout Straw and Felt Hats, Braces, Ties, Socks, Underwear, etc., at special prices. It does not be team of the house by a furue occasion, to rour hours at least to the season, we may devote the occurrence of the construction of the season, we may devote the occurrence of the construction of the season, we may devote the occurrence of the season of it has been announced to-night by the acting minister of railways and canals that the government intend, at a cost of four or five millions, to build a canal on the north side of the St. Lawrence river when the makes in reference to the project of building the Beauharnois canal, is entirely that a large portion has already been apent. of the Trent Valley canal. I particularly project of increasing the canal accommon dation from lake Superior in the west down lake Superior in the west down have been expended \$1,600,000, leaving a hav Cochrane) and of the hon, member for Burnham) and West (Mr. Stevenson) is house to believe was the estimate. Now, the work on the St. Lawrence to which I to go into East and West Peterboro and Trent Valley canal should be built, and that we should spend no less a sum than | take place, in order that they might excuse pend some \$3,000,000 on the Sault S:e. built, and so they had a committee appoint. Marie canal, and that to render that | ed to investigate the matter. That corndistrict of the province of Ontario. But I available for the purposes for which that do not propose to-night to discuss this expenditure has been made, we must inmatter at any length. I want to draw the attention of the government to the fact that for five successive sessions I have to the fact that for five successive sessions I have to the fact that at Fenelop Falls worth. stituencies more immediately interested to the fact that we are spending \$5,000,000 to the latter, however, were built to accommodate upon the enlargement of the Beauharnois side-wheel vessels, which at this day is not canal on the south or \$4,600,000 to the canal on the south, or \$4,600.000 in the construction of a new canal on the north

> preventing any navigation whatsoever dollars, or a sum equal to that we are Then regarding the class of work which the through that lock. The government canexpending on the enlargement of the commissioners suggest should not be so particular project under his wing, and is \$25,000 or \$30,000, and nothing came of it. not plead ignorance of the matter. They Beauharnois canal, or the construction of good as it has been, there would be a saving giving it his assistance. I am not certain I did trust the government would see the cannot even say they have not received a new canal on the north shore. The of \$2,000,000. We find therefore that interest in the application from other quarters than their information from other quarters than their own engineers, because time and time regard to the completion of the Trent by the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the tentor of the is taking this interest in the subject on retain the confidence of that section of the retain the confidence of that section of the government to investigate this by the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the tentor of the retain the confidence of that section of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the tentor of the retain the confidence of that section of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the country in the confidence of the government to investigate this proposition that is before the country in the confidence of the country in the confidence of the confidenc again has their attention been brought to Vailey canal is to obtain a depth of water the fact that that bridge remains there and from Georgian bay to bay of Quinte of 51 saving in all of \$3,424,000, thus reducing prounds. At all events this particular prounds. At all events this particular prounds. At all events this particular prounds. At all events the lock improvement to the lock impr renders the lock impassable for craft of any kind except row boats. The governwith the estimates, apart altogether from of the 8,000,000 odd dollars which the constituency which I represent, and to the so outspoken with reference to this matter.
>
> They really seem to member for West Peterborough and others so outspoken with reference to this matter.
>
> They really seem to member for West Peterborough and others so outspoken with reference to this matter. ment engineer, in his last report, says, the merits of the scheme? The hon. minister would like this house to believe which the hos. member from North They really seem to mean business, and if gentleman says it is going to cost only | would be the cost of the work already to be | Victoria has referred on several occasions. | they would act up to what they say, they four or five millions. I have before me constructed. It is a very curious coincident, The hon. gentleman has referred to the would soon have the canal. Have the "This part of the route cannot be used until the swing is placed in the railway bridge at the upper entrance of the lock."
>
> The hon. gentleman has referred to the government any intention of making any attitude of myself, and the hon. member and I would ask the house to notice it, that the upper entrance of the lock."
>
> The hon. gentleman has referred to the government any intention of making any attitude of myself, and the hon. member and I would ask the house to notice it, that the upper entrance of the lock."
>
> The hon. gentleman has referred to the government any intention of making any the reduction of Mr. Rubidge's figures by the reduction of Mr. R with this bridge to insist on the Grand ing the Beauharnois canal on the north the engineer. Now, I do not propose to go (Mr. Stevenson) speak as he did. I know Trunk railway doing that which we believe side of the river St. St. Lawrence, for and into a discussion of the merits of this the exceedingly envisble reputation he make the locks available for the purpose metter have been shown by anybody in pledged themselves to the construction of he wore to his constituents at home, so I for which they were constructed, this item | this item

arrangement with the Grand Trunk at to give me a lecture.

of delay and of unnecessary delay. internal waters interfered with and abso- admits should not have taken place, and to the last election. Mr. Murphy of Tren- \$8,000,000, it will not be a

wonder the people there feel indignant at the government for the course they have pursued. After the minister has thought fit to answer these remarks, I shall ask ficient to do all that we ask to be done, to

I venture to say that the hon, gentleman does not know how much has been spent. Mr. Bowell.-I stated it was for works not already commenced.

which the acting minister would lead the | canal on the north side of the St. Lawrence, sir, we know, too, that these locks have been of the river. Therefore I have a right to very expensively built. Year after year the government have been promising that this quite lately they shielded themselves behind | public money wasted; and I hurl back the | factories are building along the route of a commission; they wanted to have delay insinuation made by the hon, minister of that canal. According to the report of the themselves to the people to whom they were making promises that this canal should be

Mr. BARRON-The minister undertakes interest, but I am bound to point out that against the work, having known nothing the minister, who thought I was in error if political motives are to be attributed, about it beforehand; but after he had gone in stating that \$4,000 was not sufficient, Mr. Bowell-Why not, you gave me that they may with more reason and justover the route and inspected the whole that it is not sufficient for that purpose, tice be attributed to the members of the matter, he came to the conclusion that it and I say further that, when he put that his attention, and the same time-worn Mr. Barron-Because I found fault. government who have stopped work on was a work deserving consideration, not amount in the estimates, I am sure he had Yet he admits there has been a great deal the Trent Valley canal in my county since only as a local but as a national work. He no idea of applying it for the removal of my constituents returned an opponent to considered that it was binding, not only on the obstruction caused by that bridge. If Mr. Bowell-I said unnecessary delay. the government. I have a right also to the locality, but on the Dominion as well, this discussion is going to finish I would find fault with this government for not and his report is on these lines. He recog- ask the minister if he will be kind enough \$6,000 will purchase 250 acres in township how unwise and vicious the government's how unwise and vicious the government's after five years, asking that the people's the Trent Valley canal. Let me read to work as a national work. Now, I think masters at Fenelon Falls, Bobcaygeon and how unwise and vicious the government's policy is—to go to the enormous expense of putting a lock there, having the entire system of navigation on a long line of internal waters interfered with and abso-

March. I challenge hon. geatlemen opposite to deny that telegram was sent, and if they do d ny it, I ask the hon. member for West Peterboro (Mr. Stevenson) and the hon, member for East Peterboro (Mr. Burnham) to get up in their seats and state, if a telegram of which I have read a copy, was not read at a public meeting in the town of Peterbore, at which they were both present. I have read a copy of that tele-

hon: minister of railways and canals gets
up and lectures me for showing some zeal.
Here is a pledge made by the late premier
that parliament would be asked for a grant
for the building of this canal, and we find
that not one dollar for it is in the estimates.
I complain, not that their promises made
year after year have been broken, but that
the promise made two or three days before
the elections has been broken and disrethe elections has been broken and disregarded. Do they not know that they garded. Do they not know that they carried constituency after constituency by these promises? Does not the hon, member for East Peterboro (Mr. Burnham) with it. I know that in consequence of the ber for East Peterboro (Mr. Burnham) know that men asked him about the prospects of the construction of the Trent Valley Canal, and that he told them on the faith of that promise: "Yes the canal is going to be built." And yet, when I tell place sums in the estimates for this work. The government that their promises are broken, that the people have been deceived that section of the country will force that section of the country will force that section of the country will force and lectures me for showing some little The people are determined to warmth, because he is my senior in age. have it; and if they do not get it, the gov-I say I am justified in showing some warmth on this occasion, because the whole history of the government has been one of deception, of broken promises, of broken faith with the people-promising year after year before the elections that the canal would be built, but forgetting their promises so soon as the election is over. amount of money that would build the Trent Valley canal in order to construct a when there is already one on the south side show some warmth, and any hon, gentleman would do the same thing if he found that his people had been deceived and the railways and canals that I have shown undue warmth and zeal, or that I have

speech, would the hon, gentleman say whether I correctly understood him to say that some \$4,000,000 had been spent, and that the original estimate of Mr. Rubidge | report, and a unanimous raport; and | Mr. BARRON.-I said that it was \$8,685,. 000, and that there had been expended aiready \$1,100,000, leaving \$7,585,000 of Mr. | their report was in, if it was favorable they

Mr. Bowell.-After that magnificent

Rubidge's estimate. Mr. Bowell.-Will the hon. gentleman kindly say where he got these estimates? Mr. BARRON.-They were furnished by can go up there in consequence of it, and an engineer, James N. Irvine, who takes the Grand Trunk Railway refuse to build a Mr. Bowell. - I may tell the hon. gentle-

Mr. BURNHAM .- I am glad the hon. government stands with regard to this borough showed a great amount of knowwork. The government appointed a com- ledge in regard to this canal. I think that mission to examine into the question, and judging from the comparative knowledge

been constructed there. As the hon, mem-ber for North Victoria is going to bring the matter up again, we will have an opportunity of discussing it more fully present. I have read a copy of that telegram; the original was read at a public meeting in the town of Peterboro; and what does it say? That the Trent Valley canal commission have reported favorably on the scheme, and that parliament will be asked next session to vote a grant for the purpose; that is, this session. And yet the hon: minister of railways and canals gets up and lectures me for showing some zeal.

decrease in the sugar duties and other ernment may expect a solid opposition from Trent valley. No matter what government may be in power, there is no doub that sooner or later they will be forced to construct that canal. It may be well to say that it is not necessary and that we are expending a vast amount of money in the St. Lawrence, but there is a country growing up behind us that a few years ago was not in existence. That country is represented by sixteen or eighteen members and will soon be represented by more than twenty members, who will probably have something to say in the matter. The people are determined they shall not be kept in the background for ever, and shall have facilities for transportation. Large manucheaper than the engineers reported. The been actuated by improper motives in this locks can be built much cheaper, and need not cost anything like what has been mentioned by the engineers. The gentlemen who composed that commission took a great deal of pains, went over every part of the proposed route, and made a very fair think their report should not be overlooked, especially as the government have at different times distinctly told us that as soon as would certainly push on the construction of that canal. With regard to the bridge at Fenelon Falls, we know that no vessels bridge with a swing in it. I went with the eagineer, Mr. Page, several times to the Grand Trunk railway Company, and they man that those figures, like his speech, are proposed to deflect their line below the locks, if the goverment would contribute a liberal share towards the expense. The government agreed to contribute \$12,000,

> ratiways will be inclined to offer me some their unnecessary delays in this way. I Mr. WALLACE-Explain that, please,

"substitute places." Mr. BARRON-I do not know where the engineer of Montreal, and Mr. Turner, the | the hon. members for East and West Pet-

To leave all points in the Province of Ontario on AUGUST 18TH, Return until Speamber 20th, 1881.

AUGUST 18TH, Return until Speamber 20th, 1882.

AUGUST 18TH, Return until Sp