

Britton Brothers.

YOU CAN'T ALWAYS find just what you're looking for in any Stock.

It's not to be expected. Take ours, for instance—it's the most complete in the Midland Counties, yet there's a chance—about one in a hundred—that you catch us lacking something.

The eternal fitness of things must be duly considered. You might get one on us by demanding to see a \$10,000 parure of diamonds, but it wouldn't be fair. Of course we deal in diamonds and other precious gems, but we don't keep parures.

Take us in the lines of watches and jewellery though—we can show you an assortment that will cause your optics to bulge with admiration. We make a specialty of WATCHES, but don't neglect our other departments.

In OPTICAL GOODS we have a very complete line, and a special course of study taken with one of the leading oculists of the province enables us to apply these aids intelligently to all cases of defective vision.

Those in search of ODDITIES will find them in our Japanese and Chinese goods. It's impossible to describe these pretty and quaint creations of an ingenious people. Call and see.

BRITTON BROS.

Lindsay, August 5th, 1891.—65.

New Advertisements.

SANTAL-MIDY. Aromatic discharges from the urinary organs in either sex in 48 hours. It is superior to Capsule Cubeb, etc. Santal-Midy is free from all bad smell or other inconveniences.

CHAPOTEAUT'S MORRHUOL. THE CURATIVE ACTIVE PRINCIPLE EXTRACTED FROM COD LIVER OIL. MORRHUOL is much more prompt in its action than Cod Liver Oil in the treatment of Consumption, Bronchitis, Coughs, Pain in the Chest, Sore Throats and Asthma.

AUCTION SALE OF VALUABLE FARM PROPERTY. Under and by virtue of the power of sale contained in a certain registered mortgage which was produced at the time of the sale...

THE LONDON MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE CO. OF CANADA. Offers to farmers and owners of private residences safe and indisputable protection from loss by fire or lightning, and has done so for more than thirty years.

THE LONDON MUTUAL FIRE INS. CO'Y. THE ROYAL CANADIAN INSURANCE CO. For the benefit of the public the Insurance and Finance Committee of July 1st, 1891, gives a statement showing that up to 1890 the Royal Canadian has lost over \$916,000 on its Canadian business.

Canada's Great INDUSTRIAL FAIR. TORONTO Sept. 7 to 19 1891. Greater and Better Than Ever. SCIENCE, ART AND INDUSTRY COMBINED WITH INSTRUCTION AND AMUSEMENT.

Canada's Great INDUSTRIAL FAIR. TORONTO Sept. 7 to 19 1891. Greater and Better Than Ever. SCIENCE, ART AND INDUSTRY COMBINED WITH INSTRUCTION AND AMUSEMENT. NEW IDEAS. Latest Inventions. Superior Attractions.

J. H. Sootheran.

A PARTIAL LIST OF FARMS FOR SALE BY J. H. SOOTHERAN, Real Estate, Money Lending and Insurance Broker, Lindsay.

TOWNSHIP OF MARIPOSA.

will buy you 147 acres, 75 acres cleared, clay loam soil, fairly well watered, 1 mile from Little Britain. Terms to suit.

will buy you 100 acres, all cleared, first class buildings and good state of cultivation. Easy terms.

will buy you 100 acres near Woodville.

TOWNSHIP OF OPS.

will buy you 119 acres adjoining Lindsay.

will buy you 135 acres adjoining Lindsay.

will buy you 100 acres seven miles from Lindsay.

will buy you 100 acres three miles from Lindsay.

will buy you 100 acre farm near Reboro.

will buy you 160 acre farm near Woodville.

will buy you 300 acre farm near Mount Horeb.

will buy you 100 acre farm south of Reboro.

TOWNSHIP OF EMILY.

will purchase 130 acres three miles from Lindsay.

will purchase 200 acres near Downsville, first class in every particular. Good buildings.

will purchase 125 acres within one mile of Omemee.

TOWNSHIP OF MANVERS.

will purchase 150 acres near Fleetwood.

will purchase 113 acres adjoining the village of Bethan.

will purchase 123 acres near Ballyduff.

will purchase 100 acres three miles from Lindsay.

will purchase 300 acres near Franklin.

TOWNSHIP OF FENELON.

will purchase 100 acres near Fenelon Falls.

will purchase 100 acres near Cameron.

will purchase 100 acres half way between Cameron and Fenelon Falls.

will purchase 100 acres near Powness Corners.

will purchase 100 acres in Township of Eddon.

will purchase 230 acres in township of Verulam.

FARMS WANTED TO RENT.

I have a number of applications from good tenants who are desirous of leasing for a term of years. Owners of farms who want to rent their farms cheaply and satisfactorily tenants can do so by leaving their property and full information with me.

J. H. SOOTHERAN.

office opposite the Daily House, Kent-st., Lindsay, Lindsay, July 29, 1891.—63.

Canadian Pacific Railway.

CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY. HARVEST EXCURSIONS. From all stations in Ontario return rates to MATHENY \$28.00, DELORAIN \$30.00, HINSCARTH \$35.00, REGINA \$30.00, YORLTON \$35.00, CALGARY \$35.00, PRINCE ALBERT \$35.00.

From all stations in Ontario return rates to MATHENY \$28.00, DELORAIN \$30.00, HINSCARTH \$35.00, REGINA \$30.00, YORLTON \$35.00, CALGARY \$35.00, PRINCE ALBERT \$35.00. To leave all points in the Province of Ontario on August 10th, Return until September 20th, 1891. August 18th, Return until September 18th, 1891. September 1st, Return until October 15th, 1891.

The Canadian Post.

CHARLES D. BARR, PROPRIETOR.

NORTH VICTORIA.

PECULIAR ADMINISTRATION OF AFFAIRS.

Making Fish of One and Flesh of Another.

Fixed, at Minden and a Tory Allowed to go Free—A Protest from the Junior Conservative Organ in Lindsay.

From the Lindsay Watchman—Conservative. As a guarantee of good faith, we publish in another column copies of the affidavits of Messrs. Davis and Burby of Minden, and Col. Gordon's official reply thereto (which copies were obtained from the department of marine and fisheries at Ottawa). These documents will be seen relative to the official acts of Lieut. Gordon and his assistant "administrator" during the recent fishery crusade in North Victoria. As to the discrepancy in the evidence as to the kind of nets used, it is scarcely necessary to point out that the weight of evidence goes to show that Lieut. Gordon's memory or his notebook failed him when writing his reply to the department. Mr. Davis was the owner of one of the nets in question, and Mr. Burby the man who set it, and they have gone the length of making their statements under oath, which Lieut. Gordon has not. The fact, however, that Lieut. Gordon confiscated and destroyed the Galner net is irrefutable proof in connection with the whole matter under dispute. Does Lieut. Gordon mean to inform the public that he indulges in the practice of confiscating and destroying the property of his majesty's subjects, unless said property is being used in violation of the law? If our local authorities had better call out the militia and man the Sanguis fleet on the occasion of his next visit to the district. So far as the other features of the case are concerned, we leave them to the public to judge, knowing as they do the inspiration, advice and companionship upon which Lieut. Gordon relied during his official tour through the Victoria district. The whole performance has brought reproach and annoyance to the party to which we belong, and so far as we are concerned we will share in none of it.

AFFIDAVIT OF MR. RICHARD DAVIS.

To wit: I, the village of Minden, in the provincial county of Haliburton, boat and shoe manufacturer, do solemnly declare.

1st. That I am an elector in the north riding of the Victoria and Ontario, and at the last general election voted for John A. Barron, esq., M. P.

2d. That on or about the first day of May last John Burby, who is also an elector and voted for Mr. Barron, and who works for me as a shoemaker, placed a seine in a creek which empties into the Gull river at the village of Minden, for the purpose of catching fish known as suckers.

3d. That on or about the 10th day of May last A. R. Gordon, who stated that he was a fishery inspector for this district, called on me at my shop and asked me if I was the owner of the net. I replied that I was not, but that I was aware of it, and was breaking the law by allowing the net to be used. I replied that I was not aware it was a breach of the law to set a net in the mouth of a creek which empties into the Gull river, and that I was in fact in the sum of five dollars.

4th. That John Burby came into the shop while Mr. Gordon was fishing me and asked me if I was the owner of the net. I replied that I was not, but that I was aware of it, and was breaking the law by allowing the net to be used. I replied that I was not aware it was a breach of the law to set a net in the mouth of a creek which empties into the Gull river, and that I was in fact in the sum of five dollars.

5th. John Burby came into the shop while Mr. Gordon was fishing me and asked me if I was the owner of the net. I replied that I was not, but that I was aware of it, and was breaking the law by allowing the net to be used. I replied that I was not aware it was a breach of the law to set a net in the mouth of a creek which empties into the Gull river, and that I was in fact in the sum of five dollars.

6th. Something about an hour after this, Gordon again came to my shop and told me that he had a net in the river directly opposite to my shop, and that he had decided not to fine Galner, but to allow the net to be used. I objected to this course as most unfair, but he absolutely informed me that he would do as he pleased.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of an act respecting extra judicial oaths.

Declared before me at the village of Minden, in the provincial county of Haliburton, this 21st day of June, 1891.

WM. McEVOY, J.P.

AFFIDAVIT OF MR. JOHN BURBY.

Count of Haliburton, I, John Burby, County of Haliburton, in the north riding of Victoria, in the province of Ontario, and at the last general election voted for John A. Barron, esq., M. P.

2d. That on or about the 1st day of May last I placed a seine net at the mouth of a creek which empties into the Gull river, for the purpose of catching fish known as suckers, and that I was aware that I was breaking the law in so doing.

3d. That on or about the 10th day of May last one A. R. Gordon, who represented himself as a fishery inspector, and Mr. Sam Hughes, the defeated candidate at the last election, paid a visit to this village.

4th. That the said Gordon and Hughes proceeded at once to where I had the net, and brought it away.

5th. That a few minutes after this occurrence I entered the shop of my employer and found Gordon there in the act of levying a fine on my employer, he being the owner of the net in question. I at once told him that William Galner, esq., reeve of Minden, one of Mr. Hughes's leading supporters in the late election contest, had a similar net in the river, and offered to give him and point it out to him. He said he would go after dinner. I replied that if he did what was right he would be better off than he is at present. Being thus urged, he went, and I went with him. He took Mr. Galner's net and brought it with him to his hotel.

6th. I was present when at the hotel Gordon stated that Galner's net was what is known as an American cod net, and was not allowed to be used, and directed that it be taken down and destroyed both nets, which was done.

He also told Mr. Galner that he would be obliged to fine him, and directed that his assistant cut and destroy both nets, which was done.

7th. After having made this statement, Gordon, in company with Sam Hughes, William Galner, and James Mortimer, reeve of Anson, another of Hughes's

leading supporters, entered the sitting room of the hotel, and after remaining there some time came out and announced that he had decided not to fine Mr. Galner.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the act respecting extra judicial oaths.

Declared before me at the village of Minden, in the provincial county of Haliburton, this 21st day of June, 1891.

LEWIS GORDON'S REPLY. D.S.S. Acadia, (at Sea,) 14th July, 1891.

To the Deputy Minister of Fisheries, Ottawa.

Sir,—I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th July in reference to certain affidavits forwarded therewith. I beg to report thereon as follows:—

Richard Davis, who styles himself boat and shoe manufacturer, is a shoemaker in Minden, he and Burby working together. Clause (1) has nothing to do with the case, and is inserted with the view of obtaining from the Quebec government a settlement of his claim amounting to \$300,000 for work done for the old Baie des Chaleurs railway company. The government of the whole matter will do good, the settlement of his claim, and it is alleged that Mr. Paquet got \$100,000 of this, part of which went to retire notes that had been issued for the same purpose by some members of the provincial government. Such is the story of the Baie des Chaleurs railway scandal, and the Press is not going to be silent for any of the parties concerned in it. Let the entire subject be sifted to the bottom. A thorough ventilation of the whole matter will do good. The above the village, and I went up and saw it, being told that Mr. Davis was the owner. I called at the house first. After a few minutes I returned to the boat and fished with a gill net without a license. I asked him why he did not write and apply for a license, and he replied that he was not going to do so, and that he was not going to be fined for it. I told him he was convicted on view, and fined in the sum of \$5.00 and the net confiscated. He then offered me the money over to the hotel, and as described, the other man broke in "why don't you fine other people," and began to swear, and he refused to pay the fine, and I had grave doubts about touching it at all, as it was evidently a trap set for me. I subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (2) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (3) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (4) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (5) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (6) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (7) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (8) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (9) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (10) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (11) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (12) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (13) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (14) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (15) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (16) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (17) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (18) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (19) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (20) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (21) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (22) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (23) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (24) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (25) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (26) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (27) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (28) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (29) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (30) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (31) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (32) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (33) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (34) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (35) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (36) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (37) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (38) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (39) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (40) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (41) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (42) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (43) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (44) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (45) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (46) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (47) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (48) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (49) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (50) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently informed that this net belonged to Mr. Davis, who owned the other one, but that it had been set by a boy, a son of his, and I was not to touch it.

Clause (51) I visited Mr. Davis's shop to insist on the immediate payment of the fine, and when he began to object, I told him I was not to touch it, and he subsequently