

# SPRING STOCK COMPLETE. Dundas and Flavelle Brothers.

SPECIALTIES.—Our SILKS are unequalled for value. They are better than we ever offered.

## HEAVY BLACK GROSS GRAIN SILKS.

RANGE I—13 YARDS FOR \$12.00.
“ II—“ “ “ 14.00.
“ III—“ “ “ 16.00.
“ IV—“ “ “ 19.00.

This particular make of Silk we have exclusive control of, and none are genuine unless stamped "Italian warranted pure."

For \$8.00 you may purchase a lovely Colored Silk Dress of 16 yards.

A large job lot of Dress Goods. 10 yards for \$1.00.

## MALE HABITIMENTS.

CLOTHING, READY-MADE.—The noblest \$13.00 suit in the trade.

CLOTHING, ORDERED.—For fine worsteds and nobby tweeds see our \$25.00 suits, the finest and noblest goods imported into Lindsay.

Our \$1.00 Reinforced White Shirt equals those usually sold for \$1.50. Special price by the dozen.

We would also like every one to see our FELT HATS, TIES and SCARFS. We have paid great attention to these, and for Hats we never had them as cheap.

# LUNDAS - AND - FLAVELLE - BROTHERS.

### The Victoria Warder

FRIDAY, MARCH 28, 1884.

#### Local Notings.

Many carriages and express wagons have just arrived. Call and look at them.

L. O'Connor.

"Seven time" is coming and the small boy is already prepared for the fray of either the fry.

You can get 50 lbs. good cooking raisins for \$1 and one box 28 lbs. for \$1.25 at A. Campbell's.

Mr. Landy's block of new buildings on Williams street is nearly completed. Each building is being fitted up in elegant style.

WITHOUT LAYING.—If you want teeth extracted nicely and the jaws left unimpaired go to Gross. Vitrified air administered.—10-4.

The water in the river is likely to be higher this season than for some years. It is now over last year's high water mark.

SOFT OIL.—Mr. G. A. Weese has sold out his entire stock of goods to Mr. Ritchie from both north and has retired from business. Mr. Ritchie we believe is now away purchasing new goods and intends putting in a \$10,000 new stock in a few days. He evidently intends to make this end of the town "thum" this summer.

Now that we have a poundkeeper it is to be hoped Mr. Crandell's by-law imposing all cattle running at large will be enforced. It is of no use for this worthy gentleman we were going to say, but here we lay ourselves out to a heavy libel suit—councillor to be passing by-laws if they are not enforced.

BRICKWORK operations are commencing already, but mostly in frame houses, etc. The outlook in Lindsay for bricklayers and carpenters is bright now than for some seasons, especially if the erection of the new Church of England building be gone on with and the talk of enlargement of the Methodist church.

TRAFFIC for the present has been suspended on the Missing Link on account of a flood four miles west of Peterboro. The water is thirty inches above the rails, and extends for a considerable distance along the railway. The iron bridge at Beamish, near Port Hope, has been slightly disturbed by the rising water.

BOXING MATCH.—A boxing contest between R. N. Harrison and F. Cheekler, at London, took place Wednesday in a room over the vacant store next Mr. E. McFay's. There was a large attendance though no advertising was done and some betting was done. Harrison was informed won the victory easily, some say in the first round. The wager was said to be to knock Cheekler out in four rounds, and his easy victory was a surprise to even his own friends.

HEN'S what an exchange says:—"Mr. Simmonds, while cutting her corn with a sickle, in the field the other day, sadly cut her foot. Unfortunately she is not told where Mr. Simmonds resided but we have a shrewd suspicion that Peterboro must be her native place, though why she went to cut her corn with a sickle is a puzzle. A broad-acre or so also in the hands of Peterboro generally use for that purpose. It served Mrs. S. right to cut her foot for her during innovation.

PRESENTATION.—On Thursday evening of last week quite a large number of young people of the B. C. choir met at Mr. R. Danks, to present Miss Dark, organist in the B. C. church, with a very fine set of china as a slight acknowledgment of her excellent services. After music and singing very enjoyable, a supper was partaken of, after which the following address was read:—

Miss Dark.—The members of the choir and the friends of the congregation wish to avail themselves of the present opportunity of manifesting their appreciation of your services as organist of B. C. church. Situated as you are a considerable distance from the town we cannot but remember the serious tax it is not only upon your time but also upon your energies and health. We therefore in full of the choir and others present you with this set of china, not considering it in any way as an adequate remuneration for your services, but how you will accept it as a small token of the respect in which you are held by us. We also pray that you may long be preserved to exercise the talents God has given you in this direction, and trust that whatever may be the changes in the near future wider sphere of usefulness will open out before you, and ultimately we will all join the throng, and swell the song of the upper temple:—

There shall our rejoicing tongue, Her endless praises proclaim, And sweeter voices raise the song of Moses, and the lamb."

SIGNED IN BEHALF OF THE CHORIST. Miss Dark made a very suitable reply, expressing her pleasure for being so well remembered and would, previous to permitting her, be pleased to still consider herself at their service until they would as a grand choir meet above. After a very pleasant evening all returned home well satisfied with the night's pleasure.

GONERS—extra value—from 45 cts. to 75 cts. per dozen at A. Campbell's.

The Presbyterians will worship in the opera house again next Sunday owing to improvements being made in the church building. Mr. D. McTavish will preach morning and evening at the usual hours.

#### St. Paul's Church.

(To the Editor of the Warder.)

Sir,—I intend to move the following resolution at the vestry meeting on Easter Monday:

St. Paul's church, Lindsay.—The pew-holders of this church are hereby informed that their right to the pews extends only so far as they actually occupy; and the sexton and sexton are authorized to show strangers and non-pew-holders into any vacant sittings either before or after divine service. And that a copy of the above notice, signed by the churchwardens, be displayed in a conspicuous place in the vestibule of the church.

J. H. KNOTT, Lindsay, March 25th, 1884.

#### Early Closing.

(To the Editor of the Warder.)

Sir,—With your permission I would like to say a word to the people who do not consider the inconvenience they cause grocery clerks by buying their supplies after 7 o'clock. The great difficulty in grocery stores not closing at a set time (same as dry good dealers) is caused by the people not ordering their goods before the hour designated. In behalf of the clerks I would urge their kind indulgence in trying for a time to see if they cannot be as well pleased and better served by coming early and giving the clerks a chance for a little respite, and more time to cultivate their minds in ways not if only to oblige the clerks. A word to the merchants would not be amiss in this paragraph. It is of course impossible to refuse a former goods after coming a number of miles in the evening or giving them goods left in the store, but that is no reason why they should have a back door open long enough to serve two or three families. The thing can be worked first class if the employers are only as agreeable about it as the employees. GROCERY CLERK.

Lindsay, March 27, 84.

#### The English Mission.

(To the Editor of the Warder.)

Sir,—I should be glad if you could find space in your columns for the following extracts of letters referring to the Rev. F. H. DuVernet, who is to commence his mission 10 days in St. Paul's church here on Sunday next.

Extracts from a letter to the editors of the "Evangelical Churchman" of this week, by the Rev. David Lindsay, archdeacon of Waterloo, Quebec:

"So far as the Mission in Waterloo is concerned, it has been and will be still more so, productive of good. Many of our church members have helped most heartily, some have stood quietly aloof, while the doubts and fears of others have given place to cordial approval. Many night after night, have listened with deepening fervor to the old, old story of the love of Christ. Members of other bodies have been greatly interested and acknowledged that the gospel was set forth in all its fullness within the Episcopal church, about which church and its teachings so much misconception prevails."

As far as my judgment is concerned, I would heartily recommend my brethren to have a mission conducted on the principles which the mission of the thirteenth follows."

I would only add that our missioner, the Rev. F. H. DuVernet, is a faithful, tender and most attractive preacher, eminently fitted for his work."

Copy of a letter from Bishop Bond, of Montreal, to the subscriber:

My Dear Sir,—In reply to your letter of March 17th, I have to say that the Rev. F. H. DuVernet has been employed as "missioner" in this diocese for some time past. I am not sure as to number of missions held, (I think 13) but in every case, I have received expressions of warm and hearty welcome and a mode of conducting the mission, and a thankful recognition of God's blessing on the work. I have considered Mr. DuVernet a "missioner" with much care, and agree with those clergymen who have had him with them, that he is, by his earnestness, zeal, love for souls, and wisdom, peculiarly entitled to act as "missioner." With prayer that you may have an abundant outpouring of the holy spirit. I am truly yours,

W. B. MORRISON.

F. S.—You are at liberty to use this letter as you please.

I would like to add how pleased I shall be to see as many of my fellow citizens as can get into our church at every meeting. I can assure them of a warm and hearty welcome and a rich spiritual blessing if they will take it. The church will be free to all.

Yours, etc., S. WATSON JONES, Lindsay, March 26th.

#### The Railroad "Farce."

(To the Editor of the Warder.)

Sir,—A good many people have time after time expressed surprise why the Post should try so persistently to make little of what you call the "railroad swindle."

I am one of those who heard Mr. Wood recommend Mr. Laidlaw's scheme, and truly speaking, judging by the result, it turned out to be nothing else but a scheme—one of the most unprincipled frauds ever practiced on any community.

I was a supporter of Mr. Wood. I voted for the bonds for the Victoria railroad—the colonization road that was to be—and I confess that I feel disappointed, and that we who put our trust in what leading men said have been wronged. I have read over carefully the pamphlet relating to that road and assuming that the various speeches made by Mr. Wood, Mr. Laidlaw and others have been fairly reported by the Post, I cannot conceive why that paper should assert that the effort made by our council and by some of our townsmen on behalf of the town for some relief is "a farce." I notice that the Post rather treats the matter as if it were political and digresses from the main subject to attack individuals. This is an evident weakness on the part of the Post. What have we who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

If true there is nothing improper in seeking redress, nothing but what we should do, if false it can be easily shown. From my reading, however, I am free to admit that we have been deceived by promises. The course of the Post in this whole affair is a striking example of what might not be justified in saying anything stronger, but for one I am disinterested with the course of that paper in this matter. It ought to advocate our rights and not be hostile or indifferent and not appear eager to shield those who have been their shoulders, but who are encumbered by the Victoria road tax to do with the alleged motives of individuals? The question is, are the statements in the pamphlet true or false? This is what the ratepayers of Lindsay have to consider.

election could not be taken as a guide. They had elected Mr. Smithson in good faith then, and they could see the trouble that had been given. It was to guard against a repetition of this he wanted the newspapers only four days advertising the best authority, though he had not looked into the statutes himself, that the notice for the election called for eight days before the date of polling, but he had taken particular notice according to the newspapers only four days advertising had been given before polling day.

Mr. Smithson said that matter had been discussed before by the clerk of Fenelon, who had acted as returning officer, with the county attorney and Mr. Hadspeth, and both gentlemen had stated it was within the law. The clerk he added had been exceptionally careful that the election in all ways was carried out according to law, and he believed it had been.

Considerable discussion here arose for and against Col. Deacon's motion, Mr. Miller, of Emily, holding it was not in order, and after considerable wrangling the council adjourned, to meet at 5:30 that evening, in order to give the members time to seek any information they thought proper.

The council resumed at 5:30. All the members present except Messrs. Brown and Taylor.

Mr. Tookey moved that they now proceed to take the vote on Mr. Smithson's candidature.

Mr. Fee seconded the resolution. Mr. Fairbairn would give it his ready support. He did not consider the council had any right whatever to appoint a committee to inquire into the standing and qualifications of Mr. Smithson more than any other member of the council, and their appointing a committee was certainly irregular and out of order. It was a matter entirely between the ratepayers and Mr. Smithson; they as a corporation had nothing to do with it, and they were bound to accept the certificate of the returning officer. It might be a something to have the proper man elected as warden, but when the Fenelon people returned Mr. Smithson not by even the same but by a much larger majority it was a war all right, and it was only to his qualifications, and this council could only accept the certificate as given by the returning officer conducting the election. He was confident every man there knew that Mr. Smithson's qualifications were all right, and it was only through personal pique an effort was made even now to persecute him. He was confident, however, the tactics of these gentlemen would avail them little, and that Mr. Smithson would be duly elected warden for 1884.

Mr. Adams maintained that he had a right to look into Mr. Smithson's qualifications if they so desired, and it was unreasonable for Mr. Fairbairn to pretend anything different.

Mr. Fairbairn said the council had no authority to appoint any committee before their warden was elected, and this question he was prepared to leave to any legal gentlemen they might select.

Some further conversation ensued of a wide nature and no understanding arrived at, so a motion for adjournment to 10 o'clock to-morrow morning was made and carried.

The council met at 10 a. m., all the members present except Messrs. Brown and Bailey, of Emily.

Dr. Yarric reported the receiving of the report of the surveyor employed to look up the matter by certain of the council, and if desired he would read it. He then read the report of Mr. J. B. Smith and Mr. Hugh O'Leary, both certifying to the proper and legal election of Mr. Smithson as warden of Fenelon.

Mr. Fairbairn said that Mr. Bailey not being present he would take upon himself to move his conclusion of yesterday for the re-election of Mr. Smithson, seconded by Dr. Morris.

Time was given for further nominations, but none were made. A vote was then taken and Mr. Smithson was unanimously elected as warden, only some three members not rising to their feet on the call of the clerk.

The newly elected warden was then escorted to the chair by the mover and seconder, and in a neat speech thanked the council for re-electing him so unanimously to the warden's chair. He would do his best for the county's interests so far as he knew how, and he felt certain he would have the assistance of every member of the council.

The council then adjourned.

#### In Search of Temperance.

(London Free Press.)

Dr. Dowling states in his evidence that he went to see one Wilkinson at the Walker House and returned to overtures from him. He then went to Mr. Bunting; and subsequently received several visits from him. He does not appear to have been at all obnoxious to the temptations of those three last named. On the contrary, he deliberately and diligently sought them out, and held out his hand while Lynch put into it an envelope containing \$2,000, upon the condition that he would vote against Mr. Bunting. Kirkland was of opinion that if Bunting was out he would be able to do better in the matter of timber lands, which has been withheld from

him in the interest of some favorites of the Government. A more willing victim to the wiles of self-seeking briber than Dr. Dowling has seldom been seen.

Mr. Balfour's statement is much to the same effect, only that he was sought out by Kirkland. But what is so strange respecting him is that he states that Mr. McKim "bribe" me \$1,000, which he said that Wilkinson had given him to "give to me." Only conceive of a member of the Legislature becoming the medium of a bribe from Wilkinson to a brother member of the same political party! Mr. Balfour does not say that he prepared to kick McKim down stairs for offering him such an insult. He does not tell us what McKim said when he refused the money. But it seems that McKim must have taken the money back to Wilkinson, for that person called on Mr. Balfour subsequently and offered to procure him a position of emolument, and gave him \$200, which he put in his pocket, "without my promising anything." In other words, he took from Wilkinson that which he refused to take from the hands of McKim, for, as it would appear, only sufficient reasons. The public will be in the evidence of those two worthies of the Ontario Legislature ample reasons to believe that they hunted Kirkland and Wilkinson, were quite ready to accept bribes, and only consented to make a clean breast of it when they were found out.

Mr. McKim, who had acted as returning officer, with the county attorney and Mr. Hadspeth, and both gentlemen had stated it was within the law. The clerk he added had been exceptionally careful that the election in all ways was carried out according to law, and he believed it had been.

Considerable discussion here arose for and against Col. Deacon's motion, Mr. Miller, of Emily, holding it was not in order, and after considerable wrangling the council adjourned, to meet at 5:30 that evening, in order to give the members time to seek any information they thought proper.

The council resumed at 5:30. All the members present except Messrs. Brown and Taylor.

Mr. Tookey moved that they now proceed to