

out by our means. I am sure Protestants will agree with him and his co-religionists that if they will ask nothing from the Government, or from private individuals, for immigration or for the support of their charitable institutions, or for the Catholic poor of our country, we will at once consent to take nothing from them; and I will guarantee that if he will show me how much of the \$230 is paid by Romanists, I will at once raise it by subscription and pay it over to the County Treasurer, so that they can come to no loss by Marchmont.

"Priest Brettargh" says "it is unfortunate for Protestantism and Marchmont that Mr. Flint has identified himself so thoroughly with their success." Protestants are the best judges of that, and if really bad for them, so much the better for Romanism, therefore his Reverence should be glad. When my Protestant friends wish me to retire from the Marchmont work, I shall at once bow to their request.

"Priest Brettargh" takes offence at my styling them "Romanists." Are they not so? Webster says "Romanists" is "an adherent of the Roman Catholic Church." If this be true, why is the word offensive? Do they not adhere to the Church of Rome? Is not the Pope their spiritual head? Then why repudiate the name of Romanist? Does his Reverence claim to be "Catholic" so do Protestants. We claim the word Catholic to be universal and general. They claim it exclusively for their church, and their church is the Roman Catholic Church. Is his Reverence ashamed of the name? If so let him start a church independent of Rome.

Advertisement.

To the Editor of the Trenton Courier.

SIR,—Allow me to correct a falsehood into which your hurry to injure a Catholic Charitable Institution has tempted you. The boy who stole Patrick McGorman's clothes is not and never was one of Rev. Mr. Nugent's boys. The nearest connection that he at any time had with the Rev. Mr. Nugent's Catholic Charitable Institution is, that having been accustomed to the sea, he was hired in Liverpool to attend the Rev. Mr. Nugent's children as steward during their voyage out should any of them be sea-sick.
6t H. BRETTARGH, Priest.

The Daily Intelligencer, Mon. Oct. 10, 1870

"Priest Brettargh" states that the use of abusive epithets besides being ungentlemanly, is punishable by the Statutes of the country," and that I "when addressing Catholics as Romanists was not only guilty of an offense against the laws of the Province, but also against good breeding, christian charity, and the Queen's English." Did his Reverence think of that when in the Canadian Freeman he called me a "noted bigot?" Oh no, what comes from a Priest must be right. I want to advise his Reverence to put the "laws of the country" in force against me. What a funny trial we would have before a court and jury, and how beautifully his Reverence would figure in such a suit. I pleading my own case.

I shall not proceed farther with the subject at present, only to say that while Miss Macpherson and our Protestant friends in England furnish gratuitously the means, and the boys and girls, without seeking £7 or £8 sterling from Government to bring them here; I shall for one do all in my power to aid and assist the work, and to find homes and fill this county, and adjacent ones with Protestant boys and girls, as well as men and women for servants as well as for adoption, until our wants are fully met. Let "Priest Brettargh" and Father Nugent proceed with their work, there is room for all.

Yours, &c., &c.,

BILLA FLINT.

Belleville, Oct. 8th, 1870.

P. 1.
Oct. 12, 1870
P. 3

Correspondence.

To the Editor of the Daily Intelligencer.

DEAR SIR,—Far be it from me to breathe one word of disrespect against that honorable and holy feeling, which has assumed a practical and tangible shape in Marchmont. Years ago, long before Marchmont was thought of, I had been written to try the Rev. Father Nugent on the part of many of the most influential merchants (Protestant as well as Catholic) of Liverpool, asking if some plan could not be devised for obtaining homes for the orphan and friendless children of Liverpool. Holding so humble a position in the community as I do,—knowing the constitution for the most part (though with some honorable exceptions) of our Municipal Bodies, I did not think at that time, that I could hold out any hope as far as Catholic children were concerned. The action of the County Council in the Marchmont grant, has proved the justice of my fears.